

**Primo Convegno ARiSE (Brescia, 13-14/12/2024)**

**First ARiSE Conference (Brescia, 13-14/12/2024)**

# **A Qualitative and Quantitative Outline of the Semantic History of ‘Saving’ in 19<sup>th</sup>-century Political Economy**

Alice Martini <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Università di Pisa, Dipartimento di Economia e Management – Pisa

**Keywords:** Saving, Computational Linguistic, Methodological Individualism; LexEcon.

This study originally led by Alice Martini and Filippo Pietrini delves into the evolution of the concept of “saving” in 19th-century political economy, employing both qualitative and quantitative methods to trace its semantic history and economic implications. The research integrates computational linguistic analysis with a historical approach, exploring how saving was conceptualized, its association with moral and ethical constructs, and its transformation in economic thought leading to the 20<sup>th</sup> century.

## Introduction

Saving has historically been a challenging concept in economics. Early analyses often relied on a “common sense” understanding rather than a clear economic definition. Classical economists like Adam Smith associated saving with societal benefaction, considering it a moral and virtuous act tied to economic growth. However, its explicit economic definition remained elusive until the 20<sup>th</sup> century. The study examines how the meaning of saving evolved from being a virtue linked to religious and moral ideologies to a rational economic behavior.

## Historical Context and Classical Economics

The classical school of political economy treated saving as an essential component of economic growth, closely tied to capital formation. Authors like Smith, Ricardo, and Malthus described saving as the act of abstaining from immediate consumption for

## **Primo Convegno ARiSE (Brescia, 13-14/12/2024)**

## **First ARiSE Conference (Brescia, 13-14/12/2024)**

future benefits. However, these discussions were often clouded by moral and ethical dimensions. For example:

- Saving was linked to virtues such as prudence, frugality, and sacrifice.
- Opposite behaviors, like wastefulness or prodigality, were framed as vices.

The concept was deeply intertwined with moral and social norms, reflecting the prevailing view that saving benefited society. This framing often led to a lack of clarity in its economic analysis.

### The Problem of Definition

Until the late 19<sup>th</sup> century, saving was not rigorously analyzed as a standalone concept. Instead, it was seen as an element of capital, overshadowed by debates on production, consumption, and distribution. Edwin Cannan, a prominent scholar, highlighted the confusion surrounding the term, criticizing classical economists for their lack of precision. Saving was often conflated with other ideas, such as abstinence or investment, which blurred its distinct characteristics.

The authors identify a key challenge: the conceptual overlap between saving and capital. Saving was seen as an act of deferring consumption, yet it was not always clear how this translated into measurable economic outcomes.

### Moral and Ethical Overtones

The study explores how the language surrounding saving reinforced its moral implications. Terms like “parsimony”, “prudence”, and “frugality” emphasized the virtues of restraint and discipline. Economists like Nassau Senior introduced the concept of “abstinence”, framing saving as a deliberate *sacrifice* for future gain. This moral framing persisted through much of the 19<sup>th</sup> century, shaping public and academic perceptions of saving.

However, these associations also created analytical challenges. The moral undertones often obscured the economic mechanisms underlying saving, such as its role in investment and growth.

### Shifts in Economic Thought

The late 19<sup>th</sup> and early 20<sup>th</sup> centuries marked a significant shift in the understanding of saving. The marginalist revolution introduced new analytical tools and perspectives:

- Alfred Marshall's *Principles of Economics* (1890) emphasized individual decision-making and the demand-supply dynamics of saving.
- Marginalist economists like Böhm-Bawerk and Fisher formalized saving as a rational intertemporal choice, moving away from moral and ethical interpretations.

These developments paved the way for a more precise and measurable understanding of saving. Economists began to focus on:

1. Intertemporal preferences: Saving as a choice between present and future consumption.
2. Individual rationality: Methodological individualism replaced class-based approaches.
3. Economic modeling: The introduction of models that analyzed saving behavior in terms of utility and time preferences.

### Computational Linguistic Analysis

The study used computational tools, such as Sketch Engine, to analyze the semantic evolution of “saving” in key economic texts. They examined:

- Keywords and collocations: Terms like “frugality”, “prudence”, and “abstinence” were strongly associated with saving in early texts. By the 20<sup>th</sup> century, terms like “time preference” and “future income” gained prominence, reflecting a shift to economic rationality.

## **Primo Convegno ARiSE (Brescia, 13-14/12/2024)**

## **First ARiSE Conference (Brescia, 13-14/12/2024)**

- Diachronic trends: A decline in moral and ethical terminology over time, replaced by more neutral and technical language.

This analysis revealed a clear transition from saving as a virtue rooted in morality to saving as an economically rational behavior.

### Conclusions

The semantic and conceptual history of saving reflects broader shifts in economic thought. Initially framed within moral and ethical contexts, saving evolved into a technical concept analyzed through models and individual preferences. This transition underscores the increasing formalization and specialization of economics as a discipline.

The study concludes that saving remains a complex and multifaceted concept. While modern analyses emphasize its economic dimensions, its historical associations with morality and virtue continue to influence public and academic discourse.

### Key Contributions

The study provides a nuanced understanding of the evolution of saving, highlighting its role as a bridge between moral philosophy and modern economic science. By integrating computational linguistics with historical analysis, the authors offer a novel approach to exploring the intersections of language, ideology, and economic theory.

### **Bibliography**

J. C. Ahiakpor. 1995, «A Paradox of Thrift or Keynes's Misrepresentation of Saving in the Classical Theory of Growth?», *Southern Economic Journal*, 62, 1, pp. 16–33.

P. Alessandrini, A. Zazzaro. 1997, *Risparmio*, in *Enciclopedia delle Scienze Sociali*, Roma, Istituto Italiano della Enciclopedia Treccani fondata da Giovanni Treccani, vol. VII, pp. 445–456.

A. Arnon. 2011, *Monetary Theory and Policy from Hume and Smith to Wicksell. Money, Credit and the Economy*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.

## Primo Convegno ARiSE (Brescia, 13-14/12/2024)

## First ARiSE Conference (Brescia, 13-14/12/2024)

- T. Aspromourgos. 2009, *The Science of Wealth: Adam Smith and the Framing of Political Economy*, Routledge Studies in the History of Economics Book 95, Taylor & Francis, Kindle Edition.
- T. Aspromourgos. 2012, «The Machine in Adam Smith's Economic and Wider Thought», *Journal of the History of Economic Thought*, 34, 4, pp. 475–490.
- V. S. Avtonomov. 2022, «Three Sources and Three Heroes of the Marginal Revolution», *Herald of the Russian Academy of Sciences*, 92, Suppl. 13, pp. S1263–S1272.
- F. Barbieri, M. Lourenço Filho. 2024, «From 'Tired Muscles' to 'Might-Have-Beens': A Debate on the Nature of Costs in the Late Nineteenth Century», *Journal of the History of Economic Thought*, 46, 1, pp. 27–47.
- H. Baumgartner. 2010, «Bibliometric Reflections on the History of Consumer Research», *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 20, 3, pp. 233–238.
- J. Black, N. Hashimzade, G. Myles. 2017, *A Dictionary of Economics*, Oxford, Oxford University Press.
- M. Blaug. 1962, *Economic Theory in Retrospect*, Homewood, Irwin.
- M. Blaug. 1987, «Classical Economics», in *The New Palgrave: A Dictionary of Economics*, edited by J. Eatwell, M. Milgate, and P. Newman, vol. 1, London, Macmillan, pp. xx.
- M. Blaug. 2002, «Misunderstanding Classical Economics: The Sraffian Interpretation of the Surplus Approach», in *Competing Economic Theories*, edited by S. Nisticò and D. Tosato, London, Routledge, pp. 96–112.
- M. Blaug. 1986, *Who's Who in Economics: A Biographical Dictionary of Major Economists, 1700–1986*, Brighton, Wheatsheaf Books.
- M. Bowley. 1937, *Nassau Senior and Classical Economics*, London, George Allen and Unwin Ltd.
- A. Brewer. 1995, «The Concept of Growth in Eighteenth-Century Economics», *History of Political Economy*, 27, 4, pp. 609–638.
- P. Bridel. 1987, *Cambridge Monetary Thought: The Development of Saving-Investment Analysis*, Basingstoke, Macmillan.
- P. Bridel. 2020, «Saving Equal Investment», in *The New Palgrave Dictionary of Economics*, edited by M. Vernengo, E. Perez Caldentey, and J. B. Rosser Jr., London, Palgrave Macmillan UK, p. 11942.
- E. Cannan, et al. 1932, «Saving and Usury: A Symposium», *Economic Journal*, 42 (165), pp. 123–141.
- E. Cannan. 1888, *Elementary Political Economy*, London, Frowde.
- E. Cannan. 1894, *A History of the Theories of Production and Distribution in English Political Economy from 1776 to 1848*, London, Rivington.
- E. Cannan. 1910, «Saving», in *Dictionary of Political Economy*, edited by R. H. I. Palgrave, vol. III, London, Macmillan and Co., p. 356.
- T. N. Carver. 1893, «The Place of Abstinence in the Theory of Interest», *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 8 (1), pp. 40–61.

## Primo Convegno ARiSE (Brescia, 13-14/12/2024)

## First ARiSE Conference (Brescia, 13-14/12/2024)

- T. N. Carver. 1903, «The Relation of Abstinence to Interest», *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 18 (1), pp. 142–145.
- A. W. Coats. 1987, «Carver, T. Nixon (1865–1961)», in *The New Palgrave Dictionary of Economics*, London, Palgrave Macmillan; [https://doi.org/10.1057/978-1-349-95121-5\\_567-1](https://doi.org/10.1057/978-1-349-95121-5_567-1).
- F. Dal Degan. 2018, «Sismondi's Political Economy: Translating Power into Sociability», in *Power in Economic Thought*, edited by M. Mosca, *Palgrave Studies in the History of Economic Thought*, Cham, Palgrave Macmillan.
- F. Dal Degan. 2019, *The Birth of Economics as a Social Science: Sismondi's Concept of Political Economy*, London–New York, Routledge.
- N. De Marchi. 2020, «Abstinence», in *The New Palgrave Dictionary of Economics*, edited by M. Vernengo, E. Perez Caldentey, and J. B. Rosser Jr., p. 14.
- N. De Marchi. 2020, «Senior, Nassau William (1790–1864)», in *The New Palgrave Dictionary of Economics*, edited by M. Vernengo, E. Perez Caldentey, and J. B. Rosser Jr., pp. 12182–12186.
- M. De Vroey. 1975, «The Transition from Classical to Neoclassical Economics: A Scientific Revolution», *Journal of Economic Issues*, 9 (3), pp. 415–439.
- W. Eltis. 2000, *The Classical Theory of Economic Growth*, London, Palgrave Macmillan.
- R. B. Ekelund, R. F. Hébert. 2002, «Retrospectives: The Origins of Neoclassical Microeconomics», *The Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 16 (3), pp. 197–215.
- M. J. Gootzeit. 1992, «Senior's Abstinence Theory of the Supply of Business Savings», *Journal of the History of Economic Thought*, 14 (2), pp. 244–256.
- M. J. Gootzeit. 1995a, «Marshall's Views on Savings as an Individual Demand Concept», *Marshall Studies Bulletin*, 5, pp. 17–34.
- M. J. Gootzeit. 1995b, «The Evolution of the Savings Concept», *History of Economic Ideas*, 3 (1), pp. 71–92.
- M. J. Gootzeit. 2006, «Finance vs Ownership: Mill's Two Abstinenances and the Link Between Profit and Interest», *Contributions to Political Economy*, 25, pp. 49–61.
- V. Gupta, G. S. Lehal. 2009, «A Survey of Text Mining Techniques and Applications», *Journal of Emerging Technologies in Web Intelligence*, 1 (1), pp. 60–76.
- K. H. Hennings. 2020, «Waiting», in *The New Palgrave Dictionary of Economics*, edited by M. Vernengo, E. Perez Caldentey, and J. B. Rosser Jr., p. 14428.
- K. H. Hennings. 2020, «Böhm-Bawerk, Eugen von (1851–1914)», in *The New Palgrave Dictionary of Economics*, edited by M. Vernengo, E. Perez Caldentey, and J. B. Rosser Jr., pp. 986–995.
- J. M. Keynes. 1936, *The General Theory of Employment, Interest and Money*, London, MacMillan and Co.
- A. Kilgarriff, V. Baisa, J. Bušta, M. Jakubíček, V. Kovár, J. Michelfeit, P. Rychlý, V. Suchomel. 2014, «The Sketch Engine: Ten Years on», *Lexicography*, 1 (1), pp. 7–36.

## Primo Convegno ARiSE (Brescia, 13-14/12/2024)

## First ARiSE Conference (Brescia, 13-14/12/2024)

- H. Kurz, N. Salvadori. 1998, *The Elgar Companion to Classical Economics*, Cheltenham–Northampton, Edward Elgar Pub.
- H. Landreth, D. C. Colander. 1989, *History of Economic Theory*, Boston, Houghton Mifflin Co.
- L. Lefebvre. 2000, «Classical vs. Neoclassical Economic Thought in Historical Perspective: The Interpretation of Processes of Economic Growth and Development», *History of Political Thought*, 21 (3), pp. 525–542.
- I. V. Lima. 2019, «Foucault on the Marginal Revolution in Economics: Language and the Cartesian Legacy», *Review of Political Economy*, 31 (1), pp. 60–74.
- S. M. Macvane. 1887, «Analysis of Cost of Production», *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 1 (4), pp. 481–487.
- T. R. Malthus. 1836, *Principles of Political Economy Considered with a View to Their Practical Application*, London, William Pickering.
- M. C. Marcuzzo, A. Rosselli. 1994, «Ricardo's Theory of Money Matters», *Revue Économique*, 45 (5), pp. 1251–1267.
- A. Martini. 2022, *Tra crescita e parsimonia. Il risparmio nel dibattito economico dai classici all'Ipotesi del ciclo di vita*, Milano, FrancoAngeli.
- T. Mayer. 1972, *Permanent Income, Wealth, and Consumption: A Critique of the Permanent Income Theory, the Life-Cycle Hypothesis, and Related Theories*, Berkeley, University of California Press.
- F. Meacci. 1989, «Different Divisions of Capital in Smith, Ricardo, and Marx», *Atlantic Economic Journal*, 17, pp. 13–21.
- F. Meacci. 2012, «On Adam Smith's Ambiguities on Value and Wealth», *History of Political Economy*, 44 (4), pp. 663–689.
- J. S. Mill. 1920 [1848], *Principles of Political Economy* (Ashley ed.), London, Longmans, Green, and Co.
- A. Morselli. 2019, «William Nassau Senior and the Relationship Between Abstinence, Capital and Interest», *Theoretical and Practical Research in Economic Fields*, 10 (20), pp. 96–104.
- H. Ondřej, V. Kovář. 2013, «Methods for Detection of Word Usage Over Time», in *Seventh Workshop on Recent Advances in Slavonic Natural Language Processing*, Brno, Tribun EU, pp. 79–85.
- R. H. I. Palgrave, ed. 1910, *Dictionary of Political Economy*, vol. III, London, Macmillan and Co.
- J. Pullen. 2022, *The Macroeconomics of Malthus*, London, Routledge.
- B. Sandelin, H.-M. Trautwein. 2023, *A Short History of Economic Thought*, Taylor and Francis Ltd.
- J. A. Schumpeter. 1954, *History of Economic Analysis*, London, George Allen and Unwin Ltd.
- N. W. Senior. 1965 [1836], *An Outline of the Science of Political Economy*, New York, Augustus M. Kelley.
- A. Smith. 2010 [1776], *The Wealth of Nations: An Inquiry into the Nature and Causes of the Wealth of Nations*, Hampshire, Harriman House Limited.
- I. Steedman. 1997, «Jevons's Theory of Political Economy and the Marginalist Revolution», *Journal of the History of Economic Thought*, 4 (1), pp. 43–64.

**Primo Convegno ARiSE (Brescia, 13-14/12/2024)**

**First ARiSE Conference (Brescia, 13-14/12/2024)**

J. Tobin. 2020, «Fisher, Irving (1867–1947)», in *The New Palgrave Dictionary of Economics*, edited by M. Vernengo, E. Perez Caldentey, and J. B. Rosser Jr., pp. 4765–4777.

A. M. Thomas. 2023, «Classical Economics and the Question of Aggregate Demand», *Review of Political Economy*, DOI: 10.1080/09538259.2022.2156160.

K. Tribe. 2019, «Edwin Cannan (1861–1935)», in *The Palgrave Companion to LSE Economics*, edited by R. A. Cord, London, Palgrave Macmillan; [https://doi.org/10.1057/978-1-137-58274-4\\_7](https://doi.org/10.1057/978-1-137-58274-4_7).

M. Vernengo, E. Caldentey, J. B. Rosser Jr., eds. 2020, *The New Palgrave Dictionary of Economics*, London, Palgrave Macmillan.