Your name: Alexis Morrison Hyperlink to the draft under

review: http://niherard.blogspot.com/2016/02/draft-of-project-1.html

Audience How effectively do you feel this draft is constructed and composed to be not just informative but FUN TO READ, LISTEN TO OR WATCH, on a scale of 1 to 10? Try to keep in mind the kind of reader the subject matter would attract. Totally Moderately Extremely ineffective effective effective If you give a score higher than 5 and you cannot cite at least THREE specific details from the draft to justify that score, I'm going to deduct one point from YOUR peer review grade for Deadline 4. If you give a score lower than 5 and can cite TWO specific things the writer needs to work on for this category, I'll award you an extra point towards YOUR peer review grade for Deadline 4. I reserve the right not to award points for under-explained or banal feedback. Your rating for audience: 7 Please explain the reason for your score in at least 3 to 5 clear sentences. Cite specific details from the rough draft to explain your score: While I had to mostly imagine what this podcast may sound like it, it certainly has potential to be very interesting. The word choices seem as though they'd be good to listen to and not something that would get lost in listening. Primarily I think the subject chosen is what will make this an interesting podcast for someone to listen to, it has an intriguing topic, a sort of ridiculous plot and leaves the audience wondering where their line is.

Purpose

How effectively do you feel this draft achieves the purpose of the assignment, on a scale of 1 to 10?

1 2	367	8 0 10
Totally	Moderately	Extremely
ineffective	effective	effective
the draft to just grade for Dead the writer need peer review gr	core higher than 5 and you cannot cite at least I tify that score, I'm going to deduct one point fredline 4. If you give a score lower than 5 and can less to work on for this category, I'll award you an trade for Deadline 4. I reserve the right not to an end or banal feedback.	rom YOUR peer review n cite TWO specific things n extra point towards YOUR
Please explain t	purpose:9 he reason for your score in at least 3 to 5 clear so draft to explain your score:	entences. Cite specific details
controversy full biopsied with d	ts the role of a controversy postmortem very very solly, a bit from both sides and their reasoning. I leep discussion of not only background informative feelings about the reactions that have be	The controversy is fully nation but also some of the

controversy.

Author			
How effectively do you feel this draft establishes the author's credibility and unique voice?			
13	67	8910	
Totally	Moderately	Extremely	
ineffective	effective	effective	
the draft to justify tha grade for Deadline 4. the writer needs to wo	gher than 5 and you cannot cite at least THE t score, I'm going to deduct one point from If you give a score lower than 5 and can cit ork on for this category, I'll award you an extended and the company of the right of the award and feedback.	YOUR peer review te TWO specific things tra point towards YOUR	
Your rating for author: Please explain the reas from the rough draft to	on for your score in at least 3 to 5 clear sente	ences. Cite specific details	
important figures wit organizations like the himself, not only liter	es his credibility moderately well within the hin the story that hold credibility and sites NIH for his sources. The author also beging ally, in his descriptions he provides gives in a portant features and things to know about	s trustworthy ns establishing a voice for nsight into what the	
	Context		
	ou feel this draft uses the genre conven- ground information to fulfill the assignm	•	
13		810	
Totally	Moderately	Extremely	
ineffective	effective	effective	

If you give a score higher than 5 and you cannot cite at least THREE specific details from the draft to justify that score, I'm going to deduct one point from YOUR peer review grade for Deadline 4. If you give a score lower than 5 and can cite TWO specific things the writer needs to work on for this category, I'll award you an extra point towards YOUR peer review grade for Deadline 4. I reserve the right not to award points for under-explained or banal feedback.
Your rating for genre:3 Please explain the reason for your score in at least 3 to 5 clear sentences. Cite specific details from the rough draft to explain your score:
While this is a rough draft without having any actual audio it's hard to judge on the conventions of the genre. The words and phrasing seem to be applicable to the genre, but the author doesn't give the audience of this rough draft any ideas of the other conventions he may employ, like music and sound effects.
Other comments?
26/40