ritie	& key research questions/approach	Sectors & experiments	Interested author(s)	Progress (time line)
Synt	hesis across sectors			
<u>Zoor</u>	m in: Difference between 1.5 and 2°C for important or iconic systems			
This	can be multiple papers, one per system/place:	II and/or III experiments		
	 Identify places or systems where the additional half degree is expected to make a substantial difference. Could be based on previous literature (e.g. Schleussner et al., 2016, ESD) or intuition. 	all sectors		
	 Then quantify the difference, based on GMT bins. Examples (just for illustration, not based on science): Himalayan ecosystems; water availability or drought in Central America, the Mediterranean, Middle East; margins of permafrost areas; fisheries in reef-based marine ecosystems; to be extended. 			
Uoto	and of climate change at 1 E°C (undate of Dioptok et al. 2012)			
• • •	consider thresholds for each sector and calculate the number of sectors where this threshold is exceeded. Calculate frequency of extreme weather/climate events in each grid cell and compare to frequency of threshold-crossing impact events. Look at timing of events in different sectors: are there many in one year? How does the multi-sectoral, spatio-temporal distribution of impact events change under climate change? Maybe this could be done with some kind of network analysis paring impacts of climate change and other human influences (at 1.5)			
•	Calculate pure climate effect against background of socio-economic changes Is the impact of land-use changes bigger than the impact of climate change (e.g. RCP6.0 includes less bioenergy than does RCP2.6)? Trade-off with bioenergy: are land-use changes better/worse than climate change?	Group 2 & 3 experiments: Water, Biomes, Forests, Crops, needs group 3	yes	
		experiments		
•	ate change and El Nino Consider how CC amplifies disparities between La Nina & El Nino years. Compare group 2 and group 1 simulations: for each avg. damages for El Nino & La Nina years Quantify CC effects in units of historical avg. difference between El Nino & La Nina years.	Biomes, global water	Zhao, Veldkamp, Funk, Greve, Wada	
Clim	ate change impacts on human migration/population distribution	Group 2 for 2)	I Schowo	
	 Impacts in different sectors can erode (or improve) livelihood conditions and make certain places less (or more) attractive for human habitation. Comparison with no-impacts counterfactual can identify potential areas of anomalous out- or immigration. Start with set of countries where drivers of migration are relatively well known empirically 	Group 3 (or 2) experiments: Water, Crops, Fisheries, Health, potentially Biomes, Energy, Forests	J. Schewe	

		1	1	
	Warming in the Arctic is predicted to proceed at a faster rate	Biomes,	A. Ito	
	than the global average: i.e. more vulnerable.	others (e.g.		
	• Comparison of the impacts between 1.5°C and 2.0°C cases	water, forests)		
	show the importance of mitigation.			
	• Long-term responses of vegetation and soil carbon stock would			
	be addressed.			
	Inter-sectoral aspects such as carbon and water budgets and			
L	ecosystem service-related properties should be assessed.			
Ecor	nomic costs of climate change			
Proc	ess-based damage functions			
•	Use impact simulations to derive relationship between historical	Water (flood	K. Frieler, T.	yes
1	monetary costs of weather extremes and impacts, i.e. damage	events),	Kahil, Y.	
1	functions.	Tropical	Wada,	
	Also quantify in terms of 'additional people affected'.	Cyclones,		
	Compare CC direct damages to money in the GCF.	biomes		
	When is a threshold reached where countries can no longer recover			
	from extreme events?			
•	Quantify changes in CO ₂ emissions from forests or land-use changes			
	based on carbon price and include in overall damage costs.			
Hist	orical responsibility			
Nati	onal contributions to direct damages			
•	Attribute direct damages from CC based on historical national			
	emissions.			
The	contribution to CC from national-level biosphere sinks	T		
•	Include climate-change induced-biosphere sink change in	Biomes		
	calculations of historical emissions. What fraction of changes in			
	ecosystem-based emissions is due to climate change?			
Mod	del evaluation			
Eval	uation of model behavior in presence of low-level CO ₂			
•	How do the models perform under low, pre-industrial CO2	Group 1 & 2	M. Dury,	
	compared to biogeography/proxy data?	experiments:	AJ.	
	What is the influence of the rate of change of CO2 (between	Biomes,	Henrot, L.	
1	RCP2.6, RCP6.0 and the CO2 sensitivity runs) (if we include Group 2)	Water	François	
	Investigate differences between pre-industrial and present-day		A. P	
•	conditions to quantify impacts of climate change that has already		o u	
1			u r	
	occurred.		m	
1			0	
			k	
			h +	
			t a	
			a ri	
			a	
			n	
_	acts of extreme events			
Con	pound impacts of extreme events including heatwaves, different typinges	es of droughts, wa	ater scarcity, ar	nd water storage
•	Express impact on food security in terms of lost edible calories	Fisheries,	P. Greve, Y.	
•	Impact of lack of water on power-plant cooling	Crop, Water,	Satoh, E.	
	Impacts of compound extreme events compared to single extreme	Energy	Byers, T.	
1	event	needs Group	Veldkamp,	
		3, not feasible	Y. Wada	

•	Multi stressors on different sectoral impacts	without			
•	Compound impacts of extreme events under different scenarios	future			
	(group 1, 2, & 3)	socioeconomi			
		c scenarios			
Dro	ught & adaptation	1	1		
•	Calculate lower and upper bounds of costs of droughts: Lower: cost	Crop, Water			
	of extracting groundwater, upper: loss of yields due to lack of water	needs group 3			
		(or more general			
		extremes &			
		adaptation)			
Cha	nges in occurrences of extreme events				
•	How does the occurrence of climate extremes change with 1.5°C		T. Geiger,		
	(2°C) warming (look at TCs, floods, extratropical storms, droughts?)		K. Frieler,		
•	Same question but for impact extremes.		L. Warszawsk		
•	Do the impact extremes always coincide with the climate/weather		i, S. Lange,		
	extremes?		J. Volkholz,		
•	can also be applied socio-economically with reversed argument:		T. ,		
	How does probability change to affect the same number of people (Veldkamp		
	by event, annually) for cc only, soc only, cc+soc?				
•	Relate to societal tipping points (COPAN)?				
•	Describe Earth as 'aging quickly' E.g. 1 in 500 years becomes 1 in				
	100 years -> aging 5x more quickly than without CC.				
	100 years -> aging 5x more quickly than without cc.				
Driv	vers of forest productivity changes				
Into	vactions of impacts across sectors				
inte	eractions of impacts across sectors				
Imp	act of agricultural fertilizer use on fishing				
•	Using fertilizer-input projections and hydrology (global or regional?)	Water,			
	models, investigate transport of chemicals to the sea and	Fisheries,			
	subsequent impacts on fisheries.	Crops			
Hov	v irrigation contributes to sea-level rise		ı		
•	Use land-water storage to calculate contribution of irrigation to	Coastal Infrastructure			
	sea-level rise for 1.5°C warming and other CC scenarios.	, Water, Crops			
Clin	nata change impacts on accountant and foods exploring marine terror	· ·	diete		
	nate change impacts on ecosystems and food: exploring marine-terres nbining climate change impacts from fisheries with fast track	Agriculture,	Julia		
2011	agriculture results for crops, grasslands and previously published	Fisheries	Blanchard		
	livestock outputs with country-level diet portfolios.		et al.		
	investock outputs with country-level diet portionos.				
	as a nexus for global food production, biodiversity and climate chang	ge	1		
A re	view paper focussed on fisheries but contrasting with agriculture to	Agriculture,	Julia		
	address SDGs, uses fish-Mip and ag-Mip (fast-track) results in a	Fisheries	Blanchard		
	cross-sectoral map of climate change impacts and vulnerability		et al		
	ptation				
Kol	e of adaptation in reducing impacts	l infrastructura	lochen		
	By how much does adaptation reduce impacts within & across and how and how are officient in this?	infrastructure, (health, other	Jochen Hinkel		
	sectors and how cost-efficient is this?	sectors?)	i iii iiiCi		
Ada	ptation space to cope with impacts of a 1.5 °C warmer world	<u>'</u>	<u> </u>	-	
	er regime shifts in highly valued ecosystems under 1.5 and 2 degree cl	imate change			_
		<u> </u>	Malalla		
	antify how many rivers (share of river stretch) experience river regime	Water, biomes?	Veldkamp, Mueller		
snit	t due to 1.5 and 2 degree climate change and evaluate how many of	Dioliles:	Schmied		

these riv	er (stretches) are located in highly valued or protected			
ecosyste	ms.			
1)	How many rivers (stretches) experience river regime shift			
	under 1.5 and 2 degree CC, how many of these stretches are			
	located in highly values areas (e.g. RAMSAR)			
2)	What is the difference between the 1.5 and 2 degree CC			
	conditions: i.e. what is the added value in terms of avoided			
	river regime shifts			
3)	Up to what level do different 1.5 degree worlds result in			
'	different outcomes in terms of river regime shifts?			
	pecific impacts			
1.5°C vs.	2.0 °C warming impacts on global terrestrial primary production			
•	Comparison of 1.5°C vs. 2.0 °C warming impacts on NPP and	Biomes	Hanqin	
	carbon storage	Crops	Tian	
•	Climate extreme impacts on NPP and carbon storage			
•	Climate warming interaction with other drivers (land use, CO2			
	and N deposition)			
Inches -to	of town change on energy demand			
Impacts	of temp change on energy demand How does warmer winters and hotter summers affect overall	Energy	Ed Byers,	
		Lifeigy	Alessio	
	demands for heating and cooling?		Mastrucci	
•	Use advanced degree day method to determine the impacts			
	for energy demand between 1.5/2.0 C.			
•	Use ensemble of GCMs to establish where uncertainty			
	dominates			
•	SSP population datasets to highlight socioeconomic impacts			
Hotspots	of hydroclimate variability on the power sector			
	lysis that identifies critical areas where significant changes in	Energy, Water	Ed Byers,	
	roclimate variability can be expected to impact power sector		Matt Gidden	
Con	siders peak flows, low flows, variability, air temps			
Diff	erence between 1.5/2.0			
-	ons on temperature-related mortality impacts under climate char	ge scenarios cons	sistent with the	Paris
Agreeme	ent To estimate projections on temperature-related mortality	Health	Antonio	
		ricaitii	Gasparrini,	
	impacts under scenarios consistent with Paris Agreement (1.5°C, 2°C) and more extreme (2°C, and 4°C increase in GMT)		Ana M.	
	(1.5°C, 2°C) and more extreme (3°C, and 4°C increase in GMT).		Vicedo-Cab	
•	To compare future impacts, in terms of attributable risks for		rera	
	non-optimal temperatures and for cold and heat separately,			
	computed across the selected climate change scenarios.			
•	To assess the geographical distribution of these impacts.			
Impacts	of climate and land use change on global biodiversity of vertebra	l tes	<u> </u>	
	ent of impacts of different warming scenarios (1.5° and others),	biodiversity.	Christian	
	ecially with regard to spatially interacting threats of climate and	biomes,	Hof,	
-	I-use change (if possible, special focus on bioenergy crops) for	agriculture	Thomas	
	pal hotspots of vertebrate biodiversity, e.g. hotspots of species		Hickler,	
_			Alke	
	ness, richness of threatened and range-restricted (endemic)		Voskamp, Matthias	
spe	Lies		Biber,	
			Katrin	
			Böhning-G	
		I	aese et al.	

Water resources volatility: Estimating the adaptation space to cope with v pathways	water scarcity under unreren	t chinate fintigation
In this contribution we quantify the (temporal) window of opportunity for water managers to implement adaptation strategies dealing with water resources scarcity. In doing so, we evaluate when water scarcity becomes critical in terms of volatility using three critical thresholds: (1) the frequency of water scarcity events; (2) the (accumulated) annual average affected GDP/GVA, (3) the annual average population affected.	Veldkamp Greve, Byers, Wada, ISIMIP 2b Modelling Teams	
Subsequently, we compare the difference in timing and range of these windows of opportunity when following different climate mitigation pathways (RCP2.6 and RCP6.0). In parallel, we estimate how exposure to water scarcity differs under different 1.5 and 2 degree worlds. Using multiple GCMs and GHMs we test, finally, the sensitivity of timing and severity of water scarcity as well as the variation in window of opportunity to cope with water scarcity, to the use of different datasets and models.		