

Team:		<p><a href="#"><u>Part 2 Description</u></a></p> <p><i>10/26 11:59pm on Canvas and the Team Page</i></p> <p>We will check that teams: Show iterative, systematic, evidence-based deliverables.</p>
Points Earned	Points Possible	<b>Rubric Criteria 100 Total Points</b>
	5	<p><b>Project Description and Requirements Summary</b></p> <p>Updated design context of your project.</p> <p>Discuss the general area of application, intended tasks it will support and the intended user population.</p> <p>Summary of the key requirements for your system.</p> <p>A complete section will include:</p> <p><b>what the problem is-- agnostic of the interface (people/place/activity)</b></p> <p><b>What the <span style="color: green;">solution</span> is—agnostic of interface (people/place/activity)</b></p> <p><b>NOTES:</b></p>
	10	<p><b>Current UIs Critique &amp; Evaluation</b></p> <p>UI Critique 1: Pick a UI from the Market Research: Big picture strengths (2 points) and weaknesses (2 points) of the current design. Note: if there isn't a comparable system, you can consider one that addresses the task or one that is close conceptually. Application of functional (1 point) and non-functional characteristics (1 point). <b>(6 points)</b></p> <p>UI Evaluation: Pick another UI from the Market Research: A task analysis from an EXISTING SYSTEM consisting of a description of the important characteristics of the tasks performed by users in context (2 points). A</p>

		simple structured task analysis or some other appropriate diagram or description of the task structures (2 points). <b>(4 points)</b>
	<b>20</b>	<p><b>Ideation</b></p> <p>Prototype #1 Presentation (Point distribution SAME FOR ALL PROTOTYPES)</p> <p>A rationale for this design choice. Including how the <b>IDEATION</b> exercise (Crazy 8, <b>4 points</b>) affected the decision, how the idea is related to <b>DATA</b> reported in Report 1 (this may require that you provide an appendix with a data summary and/or the entire questionnaire, <b>4 points</b>) <b>OR NEW DATA</b>.</p> <p>Illustrations of the design (storyboards, sketches ...). Explanation of how this technique impacted your design. <b>(6 points)</b></p> <p>At least <b>one scenario (6 points)</b> from an end-user's perspective. Scenarios should show end-to-end use of the system; bonus if you explore potential interaction breakdowns.</p> <p><b>NOTES:</b></p>
	<b>20</b>	<b>Prototype #2 Presentation</b>
	<b>20</b>	<b>Prototype #3 Presentation</b>
	<b>10</b>	<p><b>Design Assessment</b></p> <p>List your utility/usability criteria and measurement goals and compare your three prototypes against those criteria.</p> <p>A table comparing the three designs on the previous criteria.</p> <p>PROVIDE EVIDENCE THAT THIS IS DATA DRIVEN</p> <p><b>Summary/Reflection/Limitations</b></p>

		<p>A summary of your modifications to your requirements specification and your usability criteria (e.g., how did these change from P1).</p> <p><b>NOTES:</b></p>
	5	<p><b>Reflection</b></p> <p>A reflection on your process for creating and assessing the prototypes. Include any limitations you see in your process.</p>
	10	<p><b>Overall Presentation (2 points), Quality of the sketches (4 points), Cohesiveness of the report (2 points), Grammar/style (2 points)</b></p>
		<p><b>TOTAL</b></p>