

Hi everyone. The following is an unaltered draft of Soul's scrapped response. Toodaloo! -Rotten



## Intro

### Post-Writing Note

My close friends, associates, family, and I have all been receiving non-stop phone calls, hate mail, threats, and unpaid pizza deliveries within the past ~48 hours. I have been urged not only by those who were just listed but also by legal advisors to remain silent indefinitely. However, I really do just want to put everything to rest as **I do not want to come back nor continue to keep producing games** regardless of how anything in here is received, **ever**. I'll try my hardest to be as honest, genuine, and blunt as I can be without sounding unprofessional and while still keeping things concise.

## Addressing the obvious



There are a multitude of topics that have been brought up as of recent which address numerous concerning aspects about myself, the Forsaken team, and people who I used to know in my developmental years. This document aims to not only properly hold myself accountable for some of the topics addressed, but to also clarify exaggerations, excluded information, and inconsistencies in the [video containing these accusations](#) to the best of my ability. All sections are organized into tabs which you can click on to view on the left side of your screen, so that you can focus on the information you care the most about first.

## Clarifications

As mentioned, a lot of the accusations included in the video have exaggerations, excluded information, and inconsistencies

### “Supporting a neo-nazi directly with money”

I really don't know how many times I'll have to repeat myself when I say that Fungus was not a neo-nazi. He was an insanely mentally ill child who had such a skewed moral compass to where he enacted so many different acts of obscenity (taking photos of his semen, printing out pictures of hitler, etc) all for attention, even if it was negative, because of how attention deprived he was in his earlier years. **Despite this, I will never, nor have I ever, defend anything that he's done.** The only reason why I'm so adamant about ensuring that he's not interpreted as anything other than what he really is—an insanely mentally ill, groomed child—is because I was in his same exact shoes at one point in my life. I can't help but feel awful for his circumstances considering he was a much brighter, talented kid before he started displaying concerning behavior, and I genuinely do want him to get better as he spends time in rehabilitation services. The video's author does not know anything about Fungus' history as a severe grooming victim beyond what has been publicized about him. It's deeply insulting to his family (namely his mother and sister) since they've been seeing the money spent on his rehabilitation services get treated as an action of bad faith. Attached below is what his mother thinks of this situation:



In addition, here's what he was charged with (citing from his own mother):



He was not charged for a hate crime *even after* authorities searched his computer, unlike what the video heavily tries to enforce. Do with that what you will.

“Refusing to fire a pedophile”

StopiBlue (under the current alias of Dead Salmon) was added onto the Forsaken team prior to the game coming out on the 25th of December.

During his stay on the team, almost everybody was unaware of his background until it was brought up to me on January 1st. As soon as I had this brought to my attention

yo soul


Aidanator5

in the forsakon dev discord


one of the uh

contributer/animators

do you know who he is



he goes by hexdodec4a998 on discord, his roblox account is Aidanator5



i just want to make sure you know exactly who he is



Soul 1/1/2025 8:16 PM

i mean i know him yeah

does he have a bad background though?


idk abt his history or anything

do you know who StopBlue is



Soul 1/1/2025 8:16 PM

0 clue



“Catfishing a minor”

I genuinely, wholeheartedly mean it when I said that I didn’t even know what catfishing was nor that transgender people were a real thing in 2019. I felt more comfortable identifying as female and

“Encouraging NSFW artwork of minors”

H

“Using my friends to cover up my own actions”

H

“Lying in Parlo’s video”

H

“Playing Minecraft during the creation of this document”

Ok dude r u srs

“hhfghfghfhfgbfgh”

Tfghbnfgnfgfhngfnbhfghfjn

“Distributing CSEM”

Talking about this is gonna make me sick, but here goes...

(also, as a side note, i had a lot of help from law professionals about how to properly tackle this subject legally & morally, so if you see any weirdly worded phrases that correlate to the law, then that’s why.)

(as another side note, this blurb is written assuming that the victim’s age at the time of this happening was 16, though there are conflicting sources I’ve seen that hint towards them being ~18 during this event. However, I will not trust vague memories/sketchy sources, so I’ll just write about things as they were stated in the accusations, even though the author of those claims refuses to.)

I am not here to deny the seriousness of the content in question, but to establish context and to emphasize that my own actions—in which I was only thirteen years old at the time—were not the result of serious criminal intent, but rather the outcome of prolonged grooming, psychological manipulation, and an inherent lack of maturity, judgment, and legal understanding due to my age at the time of the event.

To restate, I was only thirteen years old in 2021. According to well-established developmental psychology, including findings cited by the American Psychological Association and the Supreme Court in *Roper v. Simmons*, 543 U.S. 551 (2005), adolescents lack the capacity for fully reasoned decision-making. The Court in *Roper* held that “juveniles are more vulnerable or susceptible to negative influences and outside pressures, including peer pressure,” and are not as culpable as adults due to their developmental immaturity.

For criminal liability, *mens rea*—the guilty mind—is essential. Under most U.S. criminal statutes, particularly when it comes to the distribution of illegal content, intent or knowledge is a required component. I, again, being 13, was misled to believe that my actions were not as harmful as they really are nor even illegal. My understanding of the consequences was fundamentally shaped by the influence of various manipulators around me, those of which I took many of my bad habits from. To add on, In *In re Gault*, 387 U.S. 1 (1967), the Supreme Court ruled that minors must be given special protections in legal proceedings because they do not understand the ramifications of their actions in the same way as adults do. The same principles should apply here.

With legal clarifications out of the way,

# Accountability

Although I believe that a lot of topics featured in the video have

Fungus

I

StopiBlue

I genuinely think that my decision to not remove StopiBlue's content from the game as soon as things were brought up to me was one of the worst choices I could've ever made in regards to Forsaken's development, with Fungus' inclusion in the game being another. Regardless of how I felt while going about this situation, I still

Victim 1 (will be referred to as V1)

I

Victims 2 & 3 (will be referred to as V2 and V3)

I

Victim 4 (will be referred to as V4)

I

Victim 5 (will be referred to as V5)

I

## Closing Details

Idk what to put here yet

I cant fucking do this dude im not just gonna write some shitty bullshit like this , this is pathetic

Hey, been meaning to reach out to you but I haven't been able to as your DMs were disabled; is there any way i can speak to you about your recent video?

Sun 8:55 PM

What would you like to speak about?

Sun 9:22 PM

Preferably all of the video's contents haha, there are a few details that I feel have some bits of context excluded from them either intentionally or unintentionally that I'd want to address here incase you have a different viewpoint on any of it

Sun 9:30 PM

Sure.

Sun 9:30 PM

As well as some stuff that I'd personally like more information on because there are, admittedly, a lot of points you've made that I'm concerned about and I'd like to hold myself accountable for them in as much honesty as possible

↩ Replying to

Sure.

Is there any place I can reach out to you to voice call?

or would you prefer text


Sun 9:31 PM

No, I prefer text sorry

Sun 9:31 PM

alr, that's fine

Sun 9:36 PM



starting off small: why exclude that qx0w and I haven't been on speaking terms (nor any kind of terms whatsoever) for years? I know how you got the DMs in the attached image, and I feel like a lot of the context behind all of them was excluded either unintentionally or intentionally, and I'm just confused behind a lot of that

Sun 9:41 PM

What is that server then?

Sun 9:44 PM

It's an archive server of RLPS—I'm sure you know the server already, so I won't explain unless you need me to—with some people who I'm still friends with and others who I'm either on bad or non-speaking terms with

Sun 9:45 PM

qx0w and I haven't spoken in years apart from the few tiny interactions we've had in that server (only twice iirc)

and, in the screenshots you used in your video, it was specifically from when I was under the assumption qx0w was being lied about because of me (he had told me that people were saying he 'forced fungus to do it' and etc)

Sun 9:48 PM

I didn't want to be the cause for someone else being talked about in that kind of way so I reached out to the person who showed you those screenshots to see what was happening & to tell them about what \*I\* knew about, and they had their own reasoning behind why they wanted to be away from qx0w so I respected it and tried not to get too involved. The most I did after that was show them what qx0w wanted me to talk about, but I never rly went through with it bc, admittedly, I didn't really care much after hearing the actual reason behind why qx0w was removed from a lot of different spaces

apologies if any of my wording is poor, im not rly proofreading through much atm and im just trying to get as much info out as i can haha

Sun 9:51 PM

↩ Replying to

starting off small: why exclude that qx0w and I haven't been on speaking terms (nor any kind of terms whatsoever) for years? I know how you got the DMs in the attached image, and I feel like a lot of the context behind all of them was excluded either unintentionally or intentionally, and I'm just confused behind a lot of that



I do still want to know about this though—I don't know if you weren't given the context by the person who sent you the screenshots or if it was left out intentionally

Sun 9:55 PM

because it's not like they didn't know we weren't on speaking terms, either

u are in enn



Soul 4/19/2025 2:53 PM

sorry for asking questions i just go all detective modey whenever i talk to ppl from r2da

WITH QX0W BRO



Soul 4/19/2025 2:53 PM

enn died like 4 years ago didnt it

idk but

i can't believe ur helping qx0w



Soul 4/19/2025 2:54 PM

what

everyone hates this guy and nicknames him hitler bro



Soul 4/19/2025 2:54 PM

no bro i dont even talk to the guy

in the image you said you'd tell the peopl to fuck off and why are you guys in the same server in the first place 🤔

in the image you said you'd tell the people to fuck off  
and why are you guys in the same server in the first place 🙄




Soul 4/19/2025 2:54 PM  
it's an archive server for rips  
he's in there

i don't think i'm there but  
collab with my game bro i promise your shit is gonna go insanely viral  
cuz rn your game is dying from less content



Soul 4/19/2025 2:56 PM




I GIVE NO FUCK ADD MY GAME INTO FORSAKEN NOW

Sun 9:56 PM

You shouldn't need to jump to defend his name on his behalf immediately if you're on non-speaking terms, I don't understand personally why you would stay there

Sun 10:00 PM



I didn't defend his name, I only reached out because I was under the assumption that he was being lied about (as mentioned, i was told that there were people "spreading stuff about [him] forcing fungus to do it") in lots of different controversies because of his name being included in a previously written document of mine. When I reached out to the person, I even said that I wasn't friends with him because of how he is as a person:



[redacted]  
i don't know if he posted cp but all i know is he post alot of racism and rape and transphobia  
but i don't remember much  
i'm not saying he posted cp  
im saying the people in his server did



Soul 4/19/2025 2:48 PM

hmmm  
thats 100% fair then  
if u saw it with ur own eyes then dont let anyone tell u otherwise

[redacted]  
ok  
also one more thing



Soul 4/19/2025 2:49 PM

im only here partially on qx's behalf cuz i know i had my history with him

[redacted]  
would you like to collab with my game  
yakuza kiwami creepypasta



Soul 4/19/2025 2:50 PM

per chance

[redacted]  
add them in forsaken  
pls i love obese chance



Soul 4/19/2025 2:51 PM

bro SHUT UOOOOPOOP

+ I was only reciting what I was told by qx0w. I admittedly have no clue what happened in his server because, thankfully, I stayed far away from it (I didn't even know he had a server tbh LOL), but when the blurred out person told me abt what he saw going on in there, I backed off and started talking abt other things:

Sun 10:08 PM

I did not defend his name nor did I try to argue in favor of him, I only spoke about what I was told and moved on afterwards. To refer back to my question, though, why did you showcase this in a different narrative during ur video?

was it due to missing/exaggerated context from the person you received it from, or was it an intentional thing on your end?

Sun 10:11 PM

Replying to

+ I was only reciting what I was told by qx0w. I admittedly have no clue what happened in his server because, thankfully, I stayed far away from it (I didn't even know he had a server tbh LOL), but when the blurred out person told me abt what he saw going on in there, I backed off and started talking abt other things:



In this screenshot, you specifically say "I'm only here partially on qx's behalf", and before you said "I'll get them to fuck off". Is that not defending his name?

Sun 10:16 PM

What I *say* I'll do ~= what I'll *actually* do, as you can clearly see in the attached convo that I wasn't going out to tell the blurred user to 'fuck off' by any means. If anything, I understood their reasoning & backed off after that context was given to me.

Sun 10:17 PM

The whole point of this is the fact you went to someone to defend him, even though you told people that he was the one who doxxed you and posted CSEM under your name.

Sun 10:20 PM

Again, I did not defend him. I don't know why it's so difficult to get a single answer to whether or not this information was intentionally excluded.

Sun 10:20 PM

And in regards to the doxxing + CSEM on qx0w's end, there's a bit of background that lies within those two accusations. The doxxing comes from how qx0w would often post my address & name around, though the CSEM claim was incorrect and we both talked about it privately. It was mutually agreed that the impersonator spreading such content was Fungus rather than myself, because the only instance that qx0w was able to bring up of CSEM being posted was in a server he used to own which, TO MY KNOWLEDGE, one of fungus' many accounts resided in


Sun 10:24 PM

I don't know why so many claims were included to begin with when you didn't do any kind of research that would've involved talking to me or anyone else who's well-versed on the topics included

Sun 10:24 PM

And, to ask a third time, was the information about qx0w, my relationship with them, and the accusations against them excluded by the third party who reached out or were they intentionally excluded by yourself?

Sun 10:29 PM



The information is from the screenshots that you sent yourself, what you sent now doesn't change anything because in April you went out of your way to clear his name, that is by definition defending his name. And no, they were not intentionally excluded.

I don't want to talk about semantics with your relationship with qx, as there are more pressing matters that you need to address here.

Sun 10:36 PM

The information is from the screenshots that you sent yourself, what you sent now doesn't change anything because in April you went out of your way to clear his name, that is by definition defending his name. And no, they were not intentionally excluded.

I don't want to talk about semantics with your relationship with qx, as there are more pressing matters that you need to address here.



I don't see what the screenshot attached to your message provides other than showcasing what I was being told and what I was being put under the assumption of, which only greater proves the point I'm trying to make to you right now (being that I was only reaching out to get clarification about what happened with qx0w). I think that it's very telling of your character that you're so adamant to defend every point you make to the point where you won't admit that they're incorrect.

Sun 10:39 PM

If the confrontation that I had with the blurred user was not intentionally excluded, then does that mean you were aware of how our conversation went & that I wasn't defending qx0w? And, to add on, why are you still so adamant about defending this point in particular when I've already shown you screenshots disproving it? Like you said yourself, there are a lot more pressing matters that need to be addressed, which I want to try to talk with you about if possible. I want to reach the best possible resolution for everybody involved, but I won't be able to do that if you won't listen to what I have to say.

Sun 10:42 PM

you make to the point where you won't admit that they're incorrect.

The screenshot shows you defending him, continuing this point any further is just semantics. I'm already uncomfortable enough having to reach out to you, since qx isn't the only issue being talked about here and its already been an hour and a half since you reached out. And if you want to discuss "character" then I don't wish to continue this conversation any further, because you have your own issues that I do not want to be involved with for my own safety.

Sun 10:46 PM

↩ Replying to

The screenshot shows you defending him, continuing this point any further is just semantics. I'm already uncomfortable enough having to reach out to you, since qx isn't the only issue being talked about here and its already been an hour and a half since you reached out. And if you want to discuss "character" then I don't wish to continue this conversation any further, because you have your own issues that I do not want to be involved with for my own safety.



The screenshot does not show me defending him whatsoever, and like I said earlier, what I say ~= what I do. I've shown you the conversation that transpired following qx0w reaching out to me, and continuing to enforce that your standpoint is correct when it's been proven to be incorrect is corrupt justice.

Sun 10:48 PM

This conversation shouldn't need to take so long, especially considering this is just one point out of the entire 45 minute video, but if you're going to be so adamant about your misinformed, exaggerated, & otherwise incorrect points, then I think it's best that we do not continue this conversation further and that I instead take time to write a proper, correct response to everything (which I really don't want to do without informing you about incorrect details & gathering additional context from your end first).

Sun 10:52 PM

I agree that we should move on to more important matters, since we're never going to reach a consensus about this.

Sun 10:55 PM

What I've said holds weight in an argument and I will be including it in any possible public response, though I agree that we should move onto something else.

I do want to talk about Fungus a little. What makes you so adamant about him being a neo-nazi? Do you know about anything that led up to his attempted stabbing apart from the very few messages that you've seen of him just being Turkish?

Sun 10:59 PM

The point is that the person stabbed was Turkish. He'd continuously state his race and we both know that his intent was to attack him based off of that.

Sun 11:02 PM

When did he continuously state his race? And how are you so sure that his intent was to attack him based on that?

Sun 11:08 PM

Soul, this is going nowhere.

Sun 11:08 PM

Nowhere because I'm asking for more background to your claims?

Sun 11:08 PM

You've done a very good job putting your video together--there are a lot of concerning things mentioned in it--but another thing about it is that they're all addressed in a very particular way which discourages people from performing individual research on each point and to instead cite from your video. I, personally, just come to ask about your process behind putting these claims together, because a lot of them do not hold up when directly argued, and I can tell that's true just from how you're trying to dismiss my questions and argue against my more-than-valid proof against even just one of your accusations.

Sun 11:11 PM

I'm already well aware of your nature, and if it happens to come into reason, I don't have to stick around. You wish to push a question you already know the answer to when there are abundantly more important things to talk about.

Sun 11:11 PM

Are you insinuating that you don't want me to go through each point addressed in the video, and if so, then why?

This conversation doesn't have to end today--we can pick up on it tomorrow or whenever else you're free so that we can both talk about \*everything\* in the video together and come to a better, more peaceful solution than what you're implying I should do.

(the implication being making a public response without gathering any insight from your end--figured i should make my thoughts more apparent)

Sun 11:13 PM

Yes, I do want you to go through everything, but there is no peaceful solution to this considering what you've done. Everything in the video is from your own words or from people involved directly, and arguing against this is debunking what you've already made public.

However, what peaceful solution do you have in mind?

Sun 11:19 PM

↩ Replying to

Yes, I do want you to go through everything, but there is no peaceful solution to this considering what you've done. Everything in the video is from your own words or from people involved directly, and arguing against this is debunking what you've already made public.

This is marginally untrue, as I am currently (and have been) arguing against points which have barely any basis to them apart from the narrative you gave them in your video. I never defended (nor was even friends with) Qx0w, which goes against what you said in your video, and Fungus is also not a neo-nazi, which his friends, mother, and even sister agree with.

There are a lot of other points I can mention but I have yet to actually address any of those and would like to take my time with doing so as to prevent any kind of misinformation being sent out on my end.

Sun 11:24 PM

↩ Replying to

However, what peaceful solution do you have in mind?

The most diplomatic way that we can solve things together is by first talking about everything mentioned in the video and then coming to some sort of conclusion about what the two of us should do going forward.

Sun 11:26 PM

Upl & I have already spoken several times before, and they are still apologetic over the events that occurred during October just as am I with how I practically played 'double-agent' with them. Chi & I have already spoken on the 19th and, although that conversation took place while I was still very emotionally vulnerable, I do still think that we came to some kind of positive conclusion about what both of our parties should do going forward, which was to just move on & improve. There are lots of things that they've personally done to me which have still gone unmentioned (unlike how all of the things I have done to them have been fully mentioned), though I did add in my final message to them that, if they truly want to move on from everything, they'd address it someday just as how I was planning to before they pushed me to do so while I was \*insanely\* mentally weakened.

Sun 11:33 PM

I am currently flipping through way too many different points that I want to instead tackle one-by-one without rushing, so I want to backtrack to ask again (this time, without you trying to brush aside the question): When did Fungus continuously state the race of his target, and how are you so sure that his intent was to attack him based on that?

Sun 11:34 PM

Burner: You're focusing on all of the wrong things, Fungus was a neo-nazi, even if you do not believe otherwise, because he went out and stabbed a person based off their ethnicity and his last messages were to people within your group while constantly mentioning the race. Your group back then was heavily involved with those ideologies even if you do not share them today, and there is no doubting that. Your defense of the point on QX makes no

sense because you went out of your way to clear his name after you offered help before he even asked for it himself, and the screenshots you sent prior literally contain you saying "On His Behalf". If that is not defense to you then I don't know what else to tell you because its just semantics otherwise. As for the talk of "character" you berate me, bringing me down to the ideology of "corrupt justice" when all that is being shared is what you have continuously lied about to the public and your audience. There are multiple other matters that you need to address to your community yourself, they are incriminating enough and I do not have to sit here and listen to you talk about the small points when there are glaringly larger issues you aren't prioritizing. The only peaceful solution coming out of this is you leaving, there is no room for compromise here because the damage has already been done. And the only reason your victims have told you "it's okay" is because you have too large of a following for them to try and speak out against without being harassed into silence as has already been displayed. You have had 2 months to make a response, that is more than enough to regain your composure as you yourself have displayed by coming back as director. You're missing the point of the video entirely, as you're just trying to discuss semantics when everything has been laid out with what has been made public already, the point was that you should not be involved with a community of this size because of what you have continuously done to people. Because even in your document(s) you still talk about what people have done to you versus what you did to them, its just whataboutism and victim blaming, but never accountability.

Me: I'm focusing on things mentioned in your video one-by-one, as I have mentioned to you several times over since the start of our conversation. If

you, yourself, are saying that I'm focusing on incorrect topics, then it means you understand that your own video is flawed, as I've been trying to tell you since the start of this conversation. And if this is not what you're doing, then pretending to not know why I'm slowly addressing things while we both have all the time in the world to do so is very odd and also reveals a lot about your character & intentions here.

Fungus was not a neo-nazi. He did go out and stab someone, yes, and that in itself is undefendable & unforgivable, just as I've said numerous times over in the past and present. He did not do it based on his victim's race, even if his message implies that he would've. I've spoken to his mother and sister dozens of times before--they all know of Fungus' troubled childhood and the developmental issues he had while growing up, and all of them say themselves that it was not an act of racism but rather one of mental disorientation/illness. For you to speak on their family's behalf with no actual knowledge of the situation other than some intentionally cherrypicked screenshots that fuel your narrative is not only insulting to Fungus' family, but also, again, something that shows your intentions behind your video. To add on, my old friend group itself was not involved with any kind of ideology, and I know this to be true because none of us, other than TO MY KNOWLEDGE, Bacon, had ever encouraged Fungus to go through with any kind of malicious act against anyone else. We were all very abused & hurt children that found ourselves in a closed circle which propelled us to deal lots of harm unto those around us (which I'll tell you now is something that I really do want to hold myself accountable for, regardless of how many times I've done it in the past few years), but it never surpassed genuine hatred towards anyone for any kind of racial identity nor physical damage (until Fungus' entire situation).

My defense with qx0w's situation is completely valid and holds real weight in an argument because the screenshots that I've sent you completely disprove the narrative you're trying to paint in your video. I was NOT friends with him, I did NOT defend him, and I most certainly did not go out of my way to clear his name on ANYTHING. When he reached out to me and said that "[me] initially putting [his] name in that doc has [him] in so much drama," it put me under the assumption that he was being attacked over things that he didn't do, since a conversation preceding that one was about an incorrect label I gave him in a past document. Hearing from him that "Someone is spreading stuff about [him] forcing fungus to do it and [him] having cp", it put me under the impression that people were lying about him (mainly in regards to him pushing Fungus to 'do it') because of my own actions, which made me feel morally obliged to figure out what was happening and relay any information i was told about/already aware of to whoever might've been concerned. The conversation between me and the person who sent you the screenshots regarding qx0w proves not only that I had no relations with qx0w, but also that I never even defended him to begin with. The fact that you're refusing to back down from this point even though it's the most disproved so far, again, \*really\* goes to show your own character and intentions behind your video.

I have not berated you once in this conversation. I am trying to handle this with as much civility as I can possibly manage, even whilst I know the kind of people that you & your associates are. The contents that are being shared in your video are not "what [I] have continuously lied about to the public and [my] audience" and are rather maliciously written arguments that you refuse to accept are invalid, and that's only been proven more & more throughout my conversation with you. Not only do you get dismissive when

I try to ask you for more background behind your own claims, but you say that I'm "focusing on all of the wrong things" while I'm just trying to tackle individual points that you made in your own video one-by-one. I, unlike yourself for whatever reason, do not have a desire nor a need to rush through the claims that you've made about me, and I don't know why you can't spare some time from your days to address the inconsistencies, falsehoods, and etc. that were included in your video while still being able to dedicate so much of your time towards producing it (as you've mentioned before yourself, while implying it took an upwards of 2 months to fully put together) to begin with.

Another thing, what's making you so certain that the reason why the people in your video agreed to want to leave things behind & move on is because they're afraid of being harassed? Surely you're in contact with both parties that you addressed in your video, yes? Or are you documenting & publishing this information without having went to either party, which in itself is a controversial decision at best and deeply insulting at worst? I know that the way I'm addressing this reflects on your 'whataboutism', but that's irrelevant here because it still gets my point across: you barely know about any of the background behind any of the victims you've mentioned in your video because you, AS FAR AS I KNOW, chose not to reach out to all of them.

that's irrelevant here because it still gets my point across: you barely know about any of the background behind any of the victims you've mentioned in your video because you, AS FAR AS I KNOW, chose not to reach out to all of them.

Mon 12:52 AM

If you are genuinely interested in accountability and truth, then you should be willing to talk about & correct the demonstrably false narratives in your video. I don't know why you're avoiding that.

Mon 12:57 AM

I'm still very interested in continuing this conversation if you are—there are a lot of topics that I need additional details for in order to properly cover everything that you listed in your video

Mon 6:22 AM

I don't mean to pester nor harass you over my concerns, but I feel as though there are some very important details that you mentioned in your video that we still have yet to talk about. I want to have full clarification on every point made so that I don't unintentionally spread misinformation and so that I can properly hold myself accountable for the things I've done wrong. Please let me know if this is something I should keep asking about/waiting on or if you insist that I make my response based on the things you revealed in the video with no opportunity to ask further questions about the points made in it.

Mon 3:15 PM · Sent