

General Requirement:

1. Written in English and strongly suggested to proofread.
2. Refers to Manuscript Writing Guidelines
3. Font *Aptos* size 12 and line spacing 1.5, 10-20 pages
4. The similarity level should be less than 15%
5. Write the currency code in international standard
6. Write in italic for non-English terms.

Title (Brief, Describe the Uniqueness of The Research, And Up To 20 Words, Each Word Should Begin with Capitalized Letter)

First Author's Name^{1*}, Second Author², Third Author³

¹First author's affiliation, Address, City, Country

²Second author's affiliation, Address, City, Country

³Third author's affiliation, Address, City, Country

Correspondence email: author@email.com

ABSTRACT

Abstract is a mini version of a paper written in English. Abstract is formatted in one paragraph with single line spacing and a maximum of 250 words. The abstract should contain a clear statement of (i) a brief description of the background (maximum two sentences), (ii) the aims of the research, (iii) methods, and (iv) the main result and conclusion. Abstract does not contain any reference or formula.

Keywords: *3- 5 words or phrases that reflect the content of the article (alphabetically)*

INTRODUCTION

The manuscript should be composed in clear and proper English, with careful attention to correct grammar and spelling. The introduction must be written in paragraph form without numbering or bullet points and should clearly explain the rationale behind the study. This section should include: (i) the nature and extent of the problem within a broader national, regional, or international context; (ii) a review of relevant literature; (iii) the significance, novelty, or contribution of the research to existing knowledge; and (iv) the objectives of the study. If a hypothesis is proposed, it must be stated explicitly and not framed as a question. The introduction should be concise, well-supported by appropriate references, and avoid overly detailed literature discussions, which should instead be presented in the discussion section.

RESEARCH METHOD

This section must clearly describe how the study was carried out. It should provide a comprehensive explanation covering: (i) the population and sampling procedures, (ii) the methods of data measurement and collection, and (iii) the variables and data analysis techniques. The methodology should be detailed enough to allow others to replicate the study.

For qualitative research, the methods should be presented in accordance with scientific writing conventions while still ensuring transparency and repeatability. Standard statistical procedures (such as F-tests or t-tests) do not need to be explained in detail; instead, appropriate references may be cited. Original sources of methods or procedures must be acknowledged, and any modifications should be clearly described. Symbols used in models are recommended to be explained within the narrative text.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

At the start of the **Results and Discussion** section, the findings should be presented clearly. Whenever possible, data should be displayed in Tables or Figures, avoiding duplication between them. Each table must be self-explanatory and complete, providing sufficient information without requiring additional text. The titles of Tables and Figures should be concise and clearly reflect their content. All symbols included in Tables and Figures must be fully explained to enable readers to interpret the experimental results accurately. The narrative should clarify and interpret the tabulated data without unnecessarily repeating numerical values. Furthermore, every Table and Figure must be cited in the text.

In the subsequent part of the **Results and Discussion**, the author should interpret and analyze the findings. The discussion must demonstrate the relationship among the results and compare them with previous studies. It

should remain closely connected to the presented findings, provide logical explanations, and be supported by relevant literature. The discussion should also explain whether the results are consistent or inconsistent with earlier research and clarify the reasons for such similarities or differences. It is strongly recommended to highlight the advantages or novelty of the study in comparison with prior research mentioned in the introduction.

Subtitle Subtitle Subtitle

Sub-subtitle sub-subtitle sub-subtitle

Table 1. Summary of Author Guideline

Table 1. Table title is written in Aptos 11 pt

Parameter	Vs	1/Vs	Wn	Vn (3 Month)	Qn	Wn×Qn
pH	8,5	0,1176	0,192	6,02	70,82	13,61
Temp (°C)	30	0,0333	0,054	29,9	99,67	5,38
DO (mg/L)	6	0,1667	0,272	6,05	100,83	27,43
TDS (mg/L)	500	0,002	0,003	46,3	9,26	0,03
TSS (mg/L)	50	0,02	0,033	37,6	75,2	2,48
Nitrat (NO ₃ -N)	10	0,1	0,163	1,54	15,4	2,51
Nitrit (NO ₂ -N)	0,06	16.667	27,24	0,014	23,33	6,36
Total Fosfat (mg/L)	0,2	5.000	8,17	0,53	265	21,65
Amoniak (mg/L)	0,5	2.000	3,27	0,93	186	60,82
BOD (mg/L)	3	0,333	0,54	6,99	233	125,82
COD (mg/L)	25	0,04	0,07	24,8	99,2	6,94
Disolved Iron (mg/L)	1	1.000	1,63	1,47	147	239,6
Mn Terlarut (mg/L)	0,1	10.000	16,33	0,02	20	326,6

Note: Remarks: Aptos 11




Figure 1. Comparison of Salinity Among Stations and Months (pt 11)

Note: Images must be clear and high resolution (not broken)

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

The conclusion presents a concise summary of the main findings of the study. It should be written briefly and directly linked to the introduction, research objectives, or hypotheses without reiterating the discussion section. The conclusion must be expressed in a critical, logical, and honest manner, grounded in the available evidence, and should include appropriate caution when making generalizations. Recommendations should highlight the importance of the findings and their potential practical applications. This section must be written in paragraph form and should not include numbering or bullet points.

Acknowledgments (If Necessary): This section provides the authors with gratitude to the research funder, facility, or suggestion and for the statement if the article is part of the thesis/dissertation.

Author contributions: for articles with several authors, please write each author's contribution in a brief paragraph. The authorship must be limited to those who have contributed, besides reading and agreeing on the final manuscript.

Conflict of interest: The author should declare the conflict of interest or state "the authors declare no conflict of interest." The author must identify and state the circumstances that may be considered to influence the interpretation of the research results.

REFERENCES

The reference contains the list of journals, books, or other publications referred to in this manuscript. Each reference cited in the text must be listed in REFERENCES and vice versa. References of the manuscript must be traceable, no less than 20 and consist of International Reputable Journal (E.g.: Scopus Indexed Journal), and not exceed 10 years (80%). The AQUAMARINE FISHERIES JOURNAL follows the **American Psychological Association (APA) 6th Edition**. The reference writing was strongly suggested using Mendeley References Manager, as well as writing source of references.

Examples

- Masykur, H. Z. Amin, B. Jasril, J. & Siregar SH. 2018. Analisis Status Mutu Air Sungai Berdasarkan Metode STORET Sebagai Pengendalian Kualitas Lingkungan (Studi Kasus : Dua Aliran Sungai di. *Din Lingkungan Indones.* 5 (2):84–96.
- Matta G, Naik PK, Machell J, Kumar A, Gjyli L. 2018. Comparative study on seasonal variation in hydro-chemical parameters of Ganga River water using comprehensive pollution index (CPI) at Rishikesh (Uttarakhand) India. *Desalin Water Treat.* 118:87–95. doi:10.5004/dwt.2018.22487.
- Mu D, Luo P, Lyu J, Zhou M. 2021. Impact of temporal rainfall patterns on flash floods in Hue City , Vietnam. September 2020:1–15. doi:10.1111/jfr3.12668.