

Issue Submitted re PA 233	Stakeholder
"Affected local unit" is incorrectly and inconsistently defined in the Staff Draft	- Michigan EIBC/United - represents utility-scale solar, wind, and storage developers. They want ALUs to be defined as "local unit of government with zoning jurisdiction"
Townships could "adopt a CREO but impose onerous conditions upon any project approval, rendering the approval useless."	- Michigan EIBC/United - represents utility-scale solar, wind, and storage developers.
"remains unclear if a CREO can only be adopted by a municipality that exercises zoning authority, or if a CREO can be adopted through a police power ordinance."	https://michigantownships.org/renewable-energy-siting-and-permitting/#:~:text=Public%20Acts%20233%20and%20234,29%2C%202024.

Questions I have?	
Is the Commission's jurisdiction too narrow?	Per this , the commission only has jurisdiction when an organization can't obtain zoning approval from a LUOG despite the project being in compliance with Section 226(8) of PA 233.
Does PA 233 sufficiently function alongside the MZEA?	

What are “Solar Overlay Districts”	
What is the “Farmland Preservation Program”	

Cathy Cole - MPSC

Local Policy Issues:

- Ann Arbor and Washtenaw County Energy Plans
- [Carbon Neutrality Home](#)
- [A2Zero Week](#)
- [Fact Sheet](#)
- [Memorandum](#)
- [Full Plan](#)
- [Resilient Washtenaw Plan](#)
- [Washtenaw County Home Weatherization Program](#)

[EGLE Grant Funding Opportunities](#)

Other Bills in the 2023 Package:

- [PA 229](#)
- [PA 230](#)
- [PA 231](#)
- [PA 235](#)