# **Clark Give Page Competitor Analysis Report**

Tianci Zhang tzhang@clarku.edu 8.10.2016

## **Competitive research**

Competitors: Worcester Polytechnic Institute, Skidmore College, Brandeis University.

Attachment 1 is the organized info from their pages

Attachment 2 is the comparison of strength and weakness.

#### Conclusions:

- There are some elements that we can add to the current page: alumni stories, slideshow or videos, breadcrumb, some instructions, folded bar, pop-up page.
- It is better to give a suggested amount of money. Because first, anchoring effect; second, mobile phone user friendly.
- Instructions are necessary, where to put instructions need to be concerned
- Make the form as short as possible but foldable for rich content

#### **Organized Competitor Information - Google Sheet**

#### Competitor strengths and weaknesses

#### WPI

Strength:	Weakness:
Easy to find call to action button Rich content on give page Strengthen security online form Match customer information Secure seal	Not responsive Very small font sizes Navigation bar arrow is confusing

#### **Skidmore College**

Strength:	Weakness:
Give page is responsive Company match President's Society Leadership Giving Levels Obvious help numbers Login for previous donors	Super long menu Too many horizontal bars Log in button is too small Pictures are not responsive No footage

## **Brandeis**

Strength:	Weakness:
Rich content on give page updated constantly Could log in Folds the filed that people might not fill Pop up form Could save and continue Back to the top button Secure seal Could mail this form to a friend	Give page not responsive No bread crumb Too much information to fill

## Clark

Strength:	Weakness:
Explain what is card security code Offer options to spread the form Can log in Folded top menu Secure seal	Limited information on the give page No bread crumb on the form page Instructions are not at the right place Not showing the mandatory options Too long No confirmation information Broken links