

MTI 543: Content Area Reading

ONLINE INSTRUCTOR-LED COURSE FOR ANDREWS UNIVERSITY READING ENDORSEMENT

Instructor Information:			
Instructor Name:	Elissa Kruse, M.Ed		
Instructor Email:	glutenfreeteacher@gmail.com		
MTI Email:	info@midwestteachersinstitute.org		
Instructor Background:	Elissa Kruse is currently an intermediate elementary instructional coach in a diverse school district in the western suburbs of Chicago, IL. Previously she served as a 5th Grade Curriculum Coordinator and an elementary teacher. She taught language arts, math, science, and social studies. She has a Bachelor's Degree in Elementary Education from Western Illinois University. She also has a Master's Degree in Teaching from Aurora University. Elissa has ESL, middle school, language arts, and reading endorsements. She loves working with teachers, supporting their understanding of learning objectives and designing implementation to support those intentions. Elissa's passion also includes designing Professional Learning opportunities and instructional resources that engage all students.		

Course Information			
Course Format (distance learning, online, webinar, experiential onsite courses):	Online Course The LMS for delivering the course will be Canvas. An invitation will be sent to you by your instructor during the week prior to the start of your course. If you have not received an invitation, please email us at the MTI email address listed above. Approximately 135 hours of instructional time is devoted to this course.		

	All questions, customer service, grades and transcript questions are to be directed to MTI, NOT ANDREWS UNIVERSITY for this course. We recommend that you take an introduction to Canvas course as well to become more comfortable with our LMS. This can be done by clicking on the <i>help</i> tab, then <u>Canvas 101</u> . If you are having trouble with Canvas, technical support is available online 24/7 under the <i>help</i> tab, then <i>report a problem</i> .
Prerequisites	All MTI courses are designed for educators who have a minimum of a bachelor's degree and professional educator license. Prior to beginning the course, check with your district office to ensure that credit will be accepted for salary increase and professional development.
Required Books and Materials	Cappeillo, Mary Ann and Dawes, Erika. Text Sets in Action: Pathways Through Content Area Literacy. 2021. ISBN 978-1625312976

Learning Outcomes/Competencies:

At the completion of this course, the learner will be able to:

- Evaluate the effectiveness of different text sets for various learner needs. InTASC 4,5
- Design lessons that integrate text sets to support literacy and learning in content areas such as science, social studies, and mathematics. InTASC 7
- Develop strategies using text sets to promote critical thinking, engagement, and comprehension among students across diverse content areas. InTASC 8
- Implement text sets for formative and summative assessment purposes to gauge student understanding and progress in content area literacy. InTASC 6

Course Description: Participants will explore how curated collections of texts can be strategically used to build student knowledge, stimulate interest, and support differentiated learning. The course covers the selection, organization, and application of text sets to foster critical thinking and engagement, enhance comprehension, and support integrated learning experiences. Educators will engage in practical lesson planning, assessment design, and reflective practice, preparing them to implement text sets in diverse educational settings.

Learning Strategies: Professional literature analysis, whole group discussions, personal reflection, application assignments and project-based learning.

Experiential Learning Opportunities: Students will be reflecting on the assessments and tools that they develop/learn about in class and measuring/estimating the intended impact on student learning in the classroom. Students will learn by doing while completing a variety of activities throughout the course.

Format of the Course and Submitting Work

You are required to complete all of the assessments with a total grade of an A or B in order to receive the credit for the course. It is recommended that the coursework be completed in the

sequence listed below in the assessments chart below. This is an ONLINE course that runs for a five (5) week duration. Each week as directed by your instructor you will have assignments due. Answer and Discuss and Reflect and Respond assignments will be completed online using our LMS(Learning Management Software) Canvas. Your application, research project and evaluation assignments will be submitted electronically to your instructor. Files can be saved in PDF's or WORD Documents. You can also share via Google Drive format. Please contact your instructor if you have any questions or need help with the Google Drive format.

Assessments	Approximate contact hours for each assessment	
Introduction to using Canvas video and pre-survey		1 hour
Required reading from the textbook.		34 hours
Answer and discuss weekly questions posted by the instructor to online classroom at Canvas forum. (5 @ 9 points per question), including time for re-reading previous assignments and posts.	45 points	10 hours
Reflect on classroom experiences and the required reading, then respond to 2 posts weekly made by colleagues online at Canvas classroom forum. (10 total @ 9 points per response).	90 points	10 hours
Studying (time to reread and take notes)		4 hours
Application assignment 2 @s (45 points each)	90 points	12 hours
Journaling of field experience in the classroom implementing concepts from the course with students and fellow teachers.	60 points	33 hours
Research project (planning, researching, and formulating)	100 points	13 hours
Research on		4 hours
Final evaluation/reflection essay	100 points	12 hours
Total	485 points	135 hours

Grading Scale: A (90-100%); B (80-89%)

If you do not receive a B or higher, your work will be returned to you for further correction and completion. You will be allowed one (1) re-submit to your instructor to achieve a grade of B or higher. If after your re-submit, you still do not achieve a B or higher you will receive a failing grade of F and therefore forfeit the 3 graduate credits.

Answer and Discuss Rubric- 5 questions @ 9 points each					
Category	Category Superior (3 pts) Sufficient (2 pts) Minimal(1pt)				

^{*}Anything below a B will not receive graduate credit and result in a failing grade of F.

Supporting Evidence in Practice/3	Response shows strong evidence of ideas and insights from this course and how they are applied to the classroom.	Response shows evidence of ideas and insights from this course and how they are applied to the classroom.	Response shows some evidence of ideas and insights from this course and how they are applied to the classroom.
Accuracy/3	All supporting facts and statistics are accurately represented.	Almost all supporting facts and statistics are accurately represented.	Some of the supporting facts and statistics are accurately represented.
Grammar and Spelling Response includes 0-1 mistakes in grammar or spelling.		Response includes a few grammar and spelling mistakes.	Response includes several grammar and spelling mistakes.

Reflect and Response Rubric- 10 responses @ 9 points each				
Category	Superior (3 pts) Sufficient (2 pts) Minimal(1pt)			
Supporting Evidence in Practice/3	Response shows strong evidence of ideas and insights from this course and how they are applied to the classroom.	Response shows evidence of ideas and insights from this course and how they are applied to the classroom.	Response shows some evidence of ideas and insights from this course and how they are applied to the classroom.	
/3 statistics are accurately		Almost all supporting facts and statistics are accurately represented.	Some of the supporting facts and statistics are accurately represented.	
Grammar and Spelling/3	Response includes 0-1 mistakes in grammar or spelling.	Response includes a few grammar and spelling mistakes.	Response includes several grammar and spelling mistakes.	

Application Project Rubric- 2 assignments @ 45 points each				
Category	Superior (15-13 pts)	Sufficient (12-10 pts)	Minimal(9-7pts)	
Supporting Evidence in Practice/20	Response shows strong evidence of ideas and insights from this course and how they are applied to the classroom.	Response shows evidence of ideas and insights from this course and how they are applied to the classroom.	Response shows some evidence of ideas and insights from this course and how they are applied to the classroom.	
Accuracy /20	All supporting facts and statistics are accurately represented.	Almost all supporting facts and statistics are accurately represented.	Some of the supporting facts and statistics are accurately represented.	
Grammar and Spelling /10	Response includes 0-1 mistakes in grammar or spelling.	Response includes a few grammar and spelling mistakes.	Response includes several grammar and spelling mistakes.	

Research Project Rubric- 100 points				
Category Superior (20-18 pts) Sufficient (17-16 pts) Minimal (15-14pts)				
Understanding of web tool/20	Shows strong understanding of activity, concept, or tool and maximize learning	Shows adequate understanding of activity,	Shows little understanding of activity, concept, or tool and may not benefit learning.	

		concept, or tool and benefit learning.	
Practical evidence	Shows strong evidence of ideas and insights gained from this course and how they are applied to classroom.	Shows evidence of ideas and insights from this course and how they are applied to classroom.	Shows little evidence of ideas and insights from this course or how they are applied to the classroom.
Completion/20	Completed in a thoughtful and meaningful manner.	Completed, but with minimal quality.	Not all projects are completed and of those that are, some are minimal quality.
Grammar and Spelling/20	Includes no mistakes in grammar or spelling.	Includes few grammar and spelling mistakes.	Includes numerous grammar and spelling mistakes.
Sequencing/20	Sequenced, showing if-then thinking and the logical order required to complete skill, solve problem, or use tool.	Not always sequenced, showing incomplete if-then thinking and understanding of logical order required to complete skill.	Confusing making it difficult to replicate activities; little understanding of logic or if-then thinking evidenced.

Evaluation/Reflection Rubric- 100 points					
Category	Superior (20-17 pts)	Sufficient (16-13 pts)	Minimal(12-9pts)	Below Standard (8 pts)	
Supporting Evidence in Practice/20	Response shows strong evidence of ideas and insights from this course and how they are applied to the classroom.	Response shows evidence of ideas and insights from this course and how they are applied to the classroom.	Response shows some evidence of ideas and insights from this course and how they are applied to the classroom.	Response shows little evidence of ideas and insights from this course and there is little evidence they are applied to the classroom.	
Accuracy/20	All supporting facts and statistics are accurately represented.	Almost all supporting facts and statistics are accurately represented.	Some of the supporting facts and statistics are accurately represented.	Many of the supporting facts and statistics are inaccurately represented.	
Grammar and Spelling /20	Response includes 0-1 mistakes in grammar or spelling.	Response includes a few grammar and spelling mistakes.	Response includes several grammar and spelling mistakes.	Response includes numerous grammar and spelling mistakes.	
Logical Sequencing /20	Response is written in a clear, concise, and well organized manner. Thoughts are presented in a coherent and logical manner.	Response is mostly clear, concise, and well organized. Thoughts are presented in a coherent and logical manner.	Response is somewhat unclear and/or disorganized. Some thoughts are presented in a coherent and logical manner.	Response is mostly unclear and/or disorganized. Many thoughts are presented in an incoherent and illogical manner.	
Reflection /20	Response demonstrates an in-depth reflection on, and personalization of, the theories, concepts, and /or strategies presented in this course.	Response demonstrates some reflection on, and personalization of, the theories, concepts, and /or strategies presented in this course.	Response demonstrates a minimal reflection on, and personalization of, the theories, concepts, and /or strategies presented in this course.	Response demonstrates no reflection on, and personalization of, the theories, concepts, and /or strategies presented in this course.	

Course Schedule-

Week 1

- Syllabi/assignment review
- Read chapters 1-2 in your textbook.
- Answer and Discussion Activity- Answer week #1 instructor posted online question by Tuesday.
- Reflect and Respond Activity- Post/communicate 2 responses online to your colleagues' answers by Thursday.

Week 2

- Read chapters 3-4 in your textbook.
- Answer and Discussion Activity- Answer week #1 instructor posted online question by Tuesday.
- Reflect and Respond Activity- Post/communicate 2 responses online to your colleagues' answers by Thursday.

Application #1- Due Sunday end of week #2 (45 points)

Create a comprehensive text set tailored for your content area topic, considering diverse learner needs.

- Identify a content area and topic for which you will develop the text set (e.g., the Civil Rights Movement for social studies, ecosystems for science).
- Curate a collection of 5-7 texts, including at least three different types of media (e.g., books, articles, video clips, infographics).
- For each text, provide a brief rationale explaining its selection, focusing on:
 - The text's relevance to the topic.
 - How it caters to different learning styles or levels.
 - Its potential to engage students and stimulate critical thinking.
- Conclude with a reflection on how this text set supports inclusive education and caters to a classroom with diverse learning needs.

Week 3

- Read chapters 5-6 in your textbook.
- Answer and Discussion Activity- Answer week #1 instructor posted online question by Tuesday.
- Reflect and Respond Activity- Post/communicate 2 responses online to your colleagues' answers by Thursday.

Research Assignment- Due Sunday end of week #3 (100 points)

Explore the effectiveness of text sets in enhancing content area literacy and compare with an example from educational research.

- Choose a successful example of text set integration into content area literacy from the book or another credible source.
- Conduct research to find an external case study, article, or report that discusses the use of text sets in a similar context.
- Write a 2-3 page paper that includes:
 - A summary of both examples.
 - A comparative analysis highlighting similarities, differences, and the observed impacts on student engagement and understanding.
 - Personal insights on how these examples inform your future teaching practices with text sets.

Week 4

- Read chapter 7-8 in your textbook.
- Answer and Discussion Activity- Answer week #1 instructor posted online question by Tuesday.
- Reflect and Respond Activity- Post/communicate 2 responses online to your colleagues' answers by Thursday.

Application #2- Due Sunday end of week #4 (45 points)

Develop an assessment activity that uses a text set to evaluate students' understanding of a content area topic.

Expectations:

- Design a text set around a specific content area and topic suitable for an upcoming lesson or unit.
- Create an assessment that leverages this text set, which can include:
 - Written responses to comprehension questions.
 - A project or presentation that requires students to synthesize information from the text set.
 - A discussion or debate using information from the texts.
- Outline criteria for assessing student work, focusing on critical thinking, comprehension, and ability to connect information across texts.
- Reflect on how this assessment supports differentiated learning and provides insights into student understanding.

Week 5

- Read chapter 9-10 in your textbook
- Answer and Discussion Activity- Answer week #1 instructor posted online question by Tuesday.
- Reflect and Respond Activity- Post/communicate 2 responses online to your colleagues' answers by Thursday.

Final Evaluation Assignment due by Sunday night end of week # 5 (100 points)

Reflect on the learning and insights gained from the course, specifically focusing on the integration of text sets into content area literacy. Expectations:

- In a 3-5 page essay:
 - Identify and discuss two major insights or strategies learned from the course that have impacted your view on teaching content area literacy with text sets.
 - Reflect on how the assignments (especially the application and research activities) have deepened your understanding of the effective use of text sets.
 - Address potential challenges in implementing these strategies in your classroom and propose solutions or approaches to overcome them.
 - Outline your personal goals for further professional development in this area.

Journaling

Journals are designed to demonstrate that students are reflecting on and implementing concepts and strategies in their own education settings. Journal entries are to be roughly 2-3 paragraphs each. Students are expected to complete 10 entries over the course of the class. These are done at your own pace and are to be shared with your instructor at the end of class. You will not need to share them with the other students. You may use the same type of journal entry more than once. Make sure to include some examples of what you have actually done to support your thoughts whenever appropriate. Some examples of acceptable journal topics include:

- How has what you learned about a strategy/topic changed the way you think?
- What were the results of trying something new that you learned in class, and how could you improve it next time?
- Reflections on conversations you had with students, colleagues or administrators about what you have learned.
- Research you've discovered on a topic and how it complements or contradicts what the author says.
- These are a few examples, but any journal entry along these lines is acceptable. Remember that you can use the same journal topic more than once to examine different concepts or strategies, or even to examine different impacts on multiple types of classes.

End of Course Survey

Now that you are finished with this class, please take the time to help us improve our product. In order to make sure that we are providing the best possible service, please take our <u>survey</u>. We appreciate your help and your commitment to the profession.

The ten INTASC standards are listed below. Specific standards for knowledge, dispositions, and performances accompany each principle, but space does not permit listing them below. For a complete copy of the INTASC standards, contact Jean Miller, Director of INTASC, Suite 700, One Massachusetts Avenue NW, Washington DC 20001-1431.

The InTASC Model Core Teaching Standards (April 2011)

The Learner and Learning

Standard #1: Learner Development

The teacher understands how learners grow and develop, recognizing that patterns of learning and development vary individually within and across the cognitive, linguistic, social, emotional, and physical areas, and designs and implements developmentally appropriate and challenging learning experiences.

Standard #2: Learning Differences

The teacher uses understanding of individual differences and diverse cultures and communities to ensure inclusive learning environments that enable each learner to meet high standards.

Standard #3: Learning Environments

The teacher works with others to create environments that support individual and collaborative learning, and that encourage positive social interaction, active engagement in learning, and self-motivation.

Content Knowledge

Standard #4: Content Knowledge

The teacher understands the central concepts, tools of inquiry, and structures of the discipline(s) he or she teaches and creates learning experiences that make these aspects of the discipline accessible and meaningful for learners to assure mastery of the content.

Standard #5: Application of Content

The teacher understands how to connect concepts and use differing perspectives to engage learners in critical thinking, creativity, and collaborative problem solving related to authentic local and global issues.

Instructional Practice

Standard #6: Assessment

The teacher understands and uses multiple methods of assessment to engage learners in their own growth, to monitor learner progress, and to guide the teacher's and learner's decision making.

Standard #7: Planning for Instruction

The teacher plans instruction that supports every student in meeting rigorous learning goals by drawing upon knowledge of content areas, curriculum, cross- disciplinary skills, and pedagogy, as well as knowledge of learners and the community context.

Standard #8: Instructional Strategies

The teacher understands and uses a variety of instructional strategies to encourage learners to develop deep understanding of content areas and their connections, and to build skills to apply knowledge in meaningful ways.

Professional Responsibility

Standard #9: Professional Learning and Ethical Practice

The teacher engages in ongoing professional learning and uses evidence to continually evaluate his/her practice, particularly the effects of his/her choices and actions on others (learners, families, other professionals, and the community), and adapts practice to meet the needs of each learner.

Standard #10: Leadership and Collaboration

The teacher seeks appropriate leadership roles and opportunities to take responsibility for student learning, to collaborate with learners, families, colleagues, other school professionals, and community members to ensure learner growth, and to advance the profession.