

Research Article



The paper's title should be the fewest possible words that accurately describe the content of the paper (Center, Bold, 13 pt)

Suratno ^a, Siti Zubaidah ^{b,*} , Chun-Yen Chang ^c

^a Biology Education, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education, Universitas Jember, Jember, Indonesia

^b Department of Biology, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Universitas Negeri Malang, Malang, Indonesia

^c Graduate Institute of Science Education, National Taiwan Normal University, Taiwan, Province of China

Article Info	ABSTRACT (10 pt)
Article History: Submitted: yyyy-mm-dd Revised: yyyy-mm-dd Accepted: yyyy-mm-dd Published: yyyy-mm-dd	Use this document as an instruction set. Ensure all listed authors meet writing criteria and contribute based on CRedit (Contributor Roles Taxonomy) . Complete the full names of each author. The author's last name should not be abbreviated. An abstract is often presented separately from the article, so it must stand alone. A well-prepared abstract enables the reader to identify the basic content of a document quickly and accurately, to determine its relevance to their interests, and thus to decide whether to read the document in its entirety. The abstract should be informative and completely self-explanatory, provide a clear statement of the background, objectives, methods, results (not discussion), conclusions, and implications (optional) . The Abstract should be 100 to 200 words in length . References should be avoided, but if essential, then cite the author(s) and year(s). Standard nomenclature should be used, and non-standard or uncommon abbreviations should be avoided, but if essential, they must be defined at their first mention in the abstract itself. No literature should be cited. The keyword list provides the opportunity to add 5 keywords, used by the indexing and abstracting services, in addition to those already present in the title (9 pt).
Keywords: First keyword Second keyword Third keyword Fourth keyword Fifth keyword	
Copyright © 2025 Suratno et al. This is an open-access article under the CC-BY-SA license 	
How to Cite: First author, Second author, etc. (202x). The paper's title should be the fewest possible words that accurately describe the content of the paper. <i>Edubiotik : Jurnal Pendidikan, Biologi dan Terapan</i> , Vol(No), pages xx-xx. https://doi.org/10.33503/ebio.vxxixx	
* Corresponding Author: Siti Zubaidah Department of Biology, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences Universitas Negeri Malang Jl. Cakrawala No. 5, Sumbersari, Lowokwaru District, Malang City, East Java 65145, Indonesia Email: siti.zubaidah.fmipa@um.ac.id	

1. Introduction (11 pt)

The main text format consists of flat left-right columns on A4 paper (quarto). Text margin on all sides is 2.5 cm. The manuscript is written in Microsoft Word, using single line spacing, and Times New Roman 10 pt. Sample published manuscripts are available on the Edubiotik website: <https://ejurnal.uibu.ac.id/index.php/edubiotik>.

The title of an article should consist of the fewest possible words that accurately describe the content of the paper. The title should be succinct and informative, and no more than about 12 words in length. Do not use acronyms or abbreviations in your title, and do not mention the method you used, unless your paper reports on the development of a new method. Titles are often used in information-retrieval systems. Avoid writing long formulas with subscripts in the title. Omit all waste words such as "*A study of ...*", "*Investigations of ...*", "*Implementation of ...*", "*Observations on ...*", "*Effect of....*", "*Analysis of ...*", "*Design of...*", etc.

A concise and factual abstract is required. The abstract should state briefly, provide a clear statement of the background, objectives, methods, results (not discussion), conclusions, and implications (optional). An abstract is often presented separately from the article, so it must be able to stand alone. For this reason, References should be avoided, but if essential, then cite the author(s) and year(s). Also, non-standard or uncommon abbreviations should be avoided, but if essential, they must be defined at their first mention in the abstract itself. Immediately after the abstract, provide a maximum of 5 keywords, using American spelling and avoiding general and plural terms and multiple concepts (avoid, for example, 'and', 'of'). Be sparing with abbreviations: only abbreviations firmly established in the field may be eligible. These keywords will be used for indexing purposes. Indexing and abstracting services depend on the accuracy of the title, extracting from it keywords useful in cross-referencing and computer searching. An improperly titled paper may never reach the audience for which it was intended, so be specific.

The Introduction section should provide: (i) a clear background, (ii) a clear statement of the problem, (iii) the relevant literature on the subject (state of the art) & research gap, (iv) the proposed approach or solution, (v) the new value of research which is innovation & contribution, and (vi) objective, clearly state the specific objectives of your research (within 3-6 paragraphs). It should be understandable to colleagues from a broad range of scientific disciplines. Organization and citation of the bibliography are made in [American Psychological Association \(APA\) style](#) in sign (Muku et al., 2025), and (Iwasaki, 2022) so on. The terms in foreign languages are written italic (*italic*). The text should be divided into sections, each with a separate heading and numbered consecutively. The section or subsection headings should be typed on a separate line, e.g., 1. Introduction. A full article usually follows a standard structure: **1. Introduction, 2. Methods, 3. Results and Discussion, and 4. Conclusion.** The structure is well-known as the **IMRaD** style (Coletta, 2023; Sierra-Barón et al., 2023) (10 pt).

2. Methods (11 pt)

Explaining the research chronologically, including the research design, research procedures (in the form of algorithms, Pseudocode, or other), how to test, and data acquisition. Data collection: provide a detailed explanation of how the data was obtained for the research. Include information about the data source, data collection techniques used, and the number of samples involved in the study. Data preprocessing: describe the steps taken to prepare the data before analysis. Explain the process of removing invalid data, merging data from various sources, or performing data transformations. If questionnaires are used, explain the validation and testing steps conducted (Fatimahwati et al., 2021).

Algorithms and analytical methods: explain the algorithms or analytical methods used in the research. Provide a detailed description of the steps taken, including the formulas or equations used and relevant parameters. If questionnaires are used, also explain how the questions were developed and their validity tested. Evaluation: explain how the research was evaluated to measure the effectiveness of the methods or algorithms used. Discuss the evaluation metrics used, such as accuracy, precision, recall, or other relevant metrics. Also, explain the procedures used to compare the results with related studies or existing baselines. Use of questionnaires or research instruments: if questionnaires or research instruments are used, provide a detailed description of the questions or items included. Explain the validity and reliability of the instruments and the steps taken to ensure consistency and accuracy in using the questionnaires or instruments. If there are references to the questionnaires used, mention the source and ensure necessary permissions are obtained (Wang et al., 2022).

Research ethics: explain that the research was conducted in accordance with applicable research ethics guidelines, including the protection of the privacy of research subjects and the obtained ethical approvals, if relevant. Also, describe the steps taken to ensure respondent anonymity and data confidentiality. Statistical analysis: if necessary, describe the statistical analysis performed on the data. Explain the statistical techniques used, such as hypothesis testing, regression analysis, multivariate analysis, or other techniques. Also, include the software or libraries used for the analysis (Purwasih et al., 2025).

The description of the course of research should be supported by references, so the explanation can be accepted scientifically (Ninsiana et al., 2024; Astawan et al., 2025; Atmojo et al., 2023). **All figures must be presented in English. Tables and figures must be cited in the manuscript.** Figure 1 is presented in the center, and Table 1 is presented on the left, as shown below and cited in the manuscript (Kuruppuarachchi et al., 2023; Zamzami et al., 2025). The student responses based on their region of origin have been illustrated in Figure 1.

Internal consistency reliability of the biology test in Table 1. Tables and figures must be cited in the manuscript (Polistina, 2022). Equations should be centered on the line and provided consecutively with equation numbers in parentheses, flushed to the right margin, as in Eq. (1). The use of Microsoft Equation Editor or MathType is preferred.

$$E_v - E = \frac{h}{2.m} (k_x^2 + k_y^2) \quad (1)$$

The explanation of equations should be in paragraph form, with parameters or variables italicized. Explain what E_v is?, what E is?, what h is?, what m is?, what kx^2 is?, and what ky^2 is?. Every mention of an illustration, whether at the beginning, middle, or end of a sentence, should start with a capital letter. Please create each illustration in high resolution to ensure that the quality remains sharp and clear, allowing for clear visibility (10 pt).

- 2.1 Subsection 1
yyy
- 2.2 Subsection 2
yyy
- 2.3 Subsection 3
yyy
- 2.4 Subsection 4
zzz
- 2.5 Subsection 5
zzz




Figure 1. Student Responses based on Their Region of Origin

Table 1. Internal Consistency Reliability of the Biology Test

SN	Indicator	Value
1	Number of items	60
2	Kuder Richardson (KR-20)	0.620
3	Cronbach's alpha based on standardized items	0.617
4	Mean item difficulty	0.56
5	Mean item difficulty	0.4

3. Results and Discussion (11 pt)

Results: In this section, the research findings are presented along with relevant illustrations such as tables and figures. The results should focus on the presentation of the obtained data rather than manual calculations. Any significant findings should be explained in detail and accompanied by relevant analysis. **The illustrations, such as tables and figures, used should have high resolution to ensure clarity and visual sharpness** (Xay et al., 2025; Ma et al., 2023; Lubis et al., 2022). **Tables and figures must be cited in the manuscript** (Bleier, 2023; Nguyen et al., 2025; Iwan et al., 2025).

Discussion: In the discussion section, **information regarding the research findings is presented and discussed**. The results of the research will be compared with previous studies to confirm whether this research produces better or worse results. Factors influencing good or poor results should also be identified and discussed with supporting references and scientific evidence. **Discussion section should also address the key elements of scientific merit: What/How, Why, and What else** (Hendratno et al., 2025; Pouresmaieli et al., 2024; Kazazoglu, 2025; Christensen, 2023). Research limitations must identify key constraints—such as sample size, sampling techniques, research design, instrument reliability, geographic scope, and time boundaries—and

explain how they impacted the findings. Rather than merely listing these constraints, authors should critically discuss their potential biases and threats to validity (e.g., generalizability or internal control) (Prayogi et al., 2025; Kayani et al., 2026). Furthermore, each limitation must be explicitly linked to actionable recommendations and clear directions for future research (Muhali & Asy'ari, 2026; Indrarosa et al., 2025) (10 pt).

4. Conclusion (11 pt)

In this section, provide a statement that what is expected, as stated in the "Introduction" section can ultimately result in the "Results and Discussion" section, so there is compatibility. Moreover, the prospects for the development of research results and the application of further studies can also be added to the next (based on the results and discussion). Please present the conclusion in paragraph form instead of numbering or bullet points. The conclusion is usually more concise than other sections but is not limited to a specific word count (10 pt).

Acknowledgment (11 pt)

This section should acknowledge individuals who provided personal assistance to the work but do not meet the criteria for authorship, detailing their contributions. It is imperative to obtain consent from all individuals listed in the acknowledgments (10 pt).

Funding Information (11 pt)

This section should describe sources of funding agency that have supported the work. Authors should state how the research described in their article was funded, including grant numbers if applicable. Include the following (or similar) statement if there is no funding involved: Authors state no funding involved (10 pt).

Author Contributions Statement (11 pt)

This journal uses the Contributor Roles Taxonomy (CRediT) to recognize individual author contributions, reduce authorship disputes, and facilitate collaboration (10 pt). **The recommended number of authors is at least two, with one of them designated as the corresponding author.** The corresponding author will be responsible for all correspondence related to the paper and must ensure that the other authors are included in the communication regarding submission, revision, and publication processes. We encourage authors to include a statement in the paper that shares and accurately describes each author's contribution. **To be eligible for authorship, each individual must have contributed to at least one of the following: conceptualization, methodology, formal analysis, or investigation, as well as at least one aspect of writing (either original draft preparation or writing reviews and editing).**

Name of Author	C	M	So	Va	Fo	I	R	D	O	E	Vi	Su	P	Fu
Author 1 name	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓			✓	
Author 2 name		✓				✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
Author 3 name	✓		✓	✓			✓			✓	✓		✓	✓
.....														
Author x name					✓		✓			✓		✓		✓

C : Conceptualization
M : Methodology
So : Software
Va : Validation
Fo : Formal analysis

I : Investigation
R : Resources
D : Data Curation
O : Writing - Original Draft
E : Writing - Review & Editing

Vi : Visualization
Su : Supervision
P : Project administration
Fu : Funding acquisition

See the examples below:

Name of Author	C	M	So	Va	Fo	I	R	D	O	E	Vi	Su	P	Fu
Suratno	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		✓	✓	✓			✓	
Siti Zubaidah		✓				✓		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
Chun-Yen Chang	✓		✓	✓			✓			✓	✓		✓	✓

Declaration of Generative AI and AI-Assisted Technologies in the Writing Process (11 pt)

Authors must declare the use of generative AI in scientific writing upon submission of the paper. The following guidance refers only to the writing process, and not to the use of AI tools to analyse and draw insights from data as part of the research process (10 pt).

See the examples below:

During the preparation of this work the author(s) used Deepl and Grammarly in order to improve language and readability, with caution. After using this tool/service, the author(s) reviewed and edited the content as needed and take(s) full responsibility for the content of the publication. Moreover, the final version of this manuscript has been proofreading by a professional English proofreading service.

Conflict of Interest Statement (11 pt)

To ensure fair and objective decision-making, authors must declare any associations that pose a conflict of interest (financial, personal, or professional) in connection with manuscripts. Non-financial competing interests include a declaration of political, personal, religious, ideological, academic, and intellectual competing interests. The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper. If there are no conflicts of interest, please include the following author's statement: The authors state no conflict of interest (10 pt).

Informed Consent (11 pt)

The protection of privacy is a legal right that must not be breached without individual informed consent. In cases where the identification of personal information is necessary for scientific reasons, authors should obtain full documentation of informed consent, including written permission from the patient prior to inclusion in the study. Incorporate the following (or a similar) statement: We have obtained informed consent from all individuals included in this study (10 pt).

Ethical Approval (11 pt)

When papers talk about using people or animals, authors should make it clear that the research followed all national rules and institutional policies, and it was approved by the authors' institutional review board or a similar committee. The Helsinki Declaration's tenets must guide all investigations involving human subjects. Authors must also identify the committee or review board approving the experiments and provide a statement indicating approval of the research. Incorporate the following (or a similar) statement: The research related to human use has been complied with all the relevant national regulations and institutional policies in accordance with the tenets of the Helsinki Declaration and has been approved by the authors' institutional review board or equivalent committee; or: The research related to animal use has been complied with all the relevant national regulations and institutional policies for the care and use of animals (10 pt).

Data Availability (11 pt)

The data availability statement is a valuable link between a paper's results and the supporting evidence. It is a brief statement about whether the authors of an article have made the evidence supporting their findings available, and if so, where readers may access it. Data availability statements help to promote transparency and reproducibility in research and to increase the visibility of valuable evidence produced or gathered during the course of research. As part of our commitment to supporting open research, our journal now requires all manuscripts to include a data availability statement in order to be accepted for publication (10 pt).

Examples:

- The data that support the findings of this study are openly available in [repository name] at [http://doi.org/\[doi\]](http://doi.org/[doi]), reference number [reference number].
- The data that support the findings of this study will be available in [repository name] [URL / DOI link] following a [6 month] embargo from the date of publication to allow for the commercialization of research findings.
- The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author, [initials, AB]. The data, which contain information that could compromise the privacy of research participants, are not publicly available due to certain restrictions.
- Derived data supporting the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author [initials, AB] on request.
- The data that support the findings of this study are available from [third party]. Restrictions apply to the availability of these data, which were used under license for this study. Data are available [from the authors / at URL] with the permission of [third party].
- The authors confirm that the data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article [and/or its supplementary materials].

- The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author, [initials, AB], upon reasonable request.
- Data availability is not applicable to this paper as no new data were created or analyzed in this study.

References (11 pt)

The main references are international journals, nationally accredited journals (e.g., SINTA 1 and SINTA 2), and international proceedings. All references should be to the most pertinent, **up-to-date sources**, and the **minimum number of references** should be **25** for original research papers. References are written in APA style. You can access a more comprehensive guide at <https://apastyle.apa.org/style-grammar-guidelines/references/examples/journal-article-references#1>. Use a tool such as EndNote, Mendeley, or Zotero for reference management and formatting; choose APA style. **Ensure that all metadata in your reference management software (EndNote, Mendeley, or Zotero) are completed accurately and thoroughly.** Please use a consistent format for references (10 pt).

See examples:

- Astawan, I. G., Margunayasa, I. G., Jayanti, L. S. S. W., Fakhriyah, F., & Deng, J. (2025). The impact of problem-based learning on reducing science misconceptions and enhancing scientific literacy: Integrating Balinese local wisdom and cognitive style. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 14(3), 522–535. <https://doi.org/10.15294/jpii.v14i3.25083>
- Atmojo, S. E., Rahmawati, R. D., & Anggriani, M. D. (2023). The impact of sets education on disaster education on student mitigation skills and resilience. *Nurture*, 17(3), 240–252. <https://doi.org/10.55951/nurture.v17i3.313>
- Bleier, M. (2023). Beyond neat classifications: A case for the in-betweens. *Cultural Studies of Science Education*, 18(2), 483–497. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11422-023-10184-1>
- Christensen, D. (2023). Computational thinking to learn environmental sustainability: A learning progression. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 32(1), 26–44. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10956-022-10004-1>
- Coletta, V. P. (2023). Evidence for a normal distribution of normalized gains. *Physical Review Physics Education Research*, 19(1), 010111. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevPhysEducRes.19.010111>
- Fatimahwati, Yusrizal, Fitri, Z., Rahmatan, H., & Khaldun, I. (2021). Application of problem based learning model with SETS vision to increase students' learning motivation on environmental pollution material. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan IPA*, 7(3), 310–316. <https://doi.org/10.29303/jppipa.v7i3.715>
- Hendratno, Istiq'faroh, N., & Yasin, F. N. (2025). Effectiveness of local culture supplement books in improving students' ecoliteracy in coastal areas. *Perspectives of Science and Education*, 73(1), 202–216. <https://doi.org/10.32744/pse.2025.1.13>
- Indrarosa, D., Mariyono, J., Santoso, S. I., & Sutaryo, S. (2025). The effectiveness of community-based eco-farm waste management training on enhancing farmers' environmental awareness: The role of government support and sustainability attitudes. *Cleaner Waste Systems*, 12(August), 100394. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clwas.2025.100394>
- Iwan, I., Jeni, J., & Nurfathurrahmah, N. (2025). Strengthening conservation literacy through integrating local wisdom into problem-based learning in secondary school students. *Participatory Educational Research*, 12(6), 108–122. <https://doi.org/10.17275/per.25.81.12.6>
- Iwasaki, S. (2022). Effects of environmental education on young children's water-saving behaviors in Japan. *Sustainability*, 14(6), 3382. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14063382>
- Kayani, F., Sallomy, C., Ora, A., Rissanen, T., Laitinen, T., Lahtela-Kakkonen, M., & Kallioinen-Mänttari, M. (2026). Natural polyphenol-modified cellulose: Adsorption, antibacterial activity, and release behavior. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 332(March), 123994. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2026.123994>
- Kazazoglu, S. (2025). Environmental Education Through Eco-Literacy: Integrating Sustainability into English Language Teaching. *Sustainability*, 17(5), 2156. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su17052156>
- Kurupparachchi, J., Hemadila, P., & Madurapperuma, B. (2023). Comparison of the literacy level on major environmental issues of the G.C.E. (A/L) students of different disciplines in Kandy District, Sri Lanka. *Sustainability*, 15(5), 3968. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15053968>
- Lubis, S. P. W., Suryadarma, I. G. P., Paidi, P., & Yanto, B. E. (2022). The effectiveness of problem-based learning with local wisdom oriented to socio-scientific issues. *International Journal of Instruction*, 15(2), 455–472. <https://doi.org/10.29333/iji.2022.15225a>
- Ma, L., Shahbaz, P., Haq, S. ul, & Boz, I. (2023). Exploring the moderating role of environmental education in promoting a clean environment. *Sustainability*, 15(10), 8127. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15108127>
- Muhali, & Asy'ari, M. (2026). Conceptual problem-based learning model: Promising intervention to enhance

- prospective science teachers' critical thinking skills. *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*, 13(59), 102509. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2026.102509>
- Muku, I. D. M. K., Sudiarta, I. G. P., Nitiasih, P. K., & Warpala, I. W. S. (2025). Entrepreneurship training model based on Sad Kerthi Loka Bali perspective. *Journal of Ecohumanism*, 4(1), 940–951. <https://doi.org/10.62754/joe.v4i1.5899>
- Nguyen, Q. L., Cao, T. K., Tran, Q. H., & Nguyen, T. N. (2025). Developing students' scientific competence through the STSE model: an active learning intervention. *Discover Education*, 4(1), 363. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44217-025-00766-2>
- Ninsiana, W., Septiyana, L., & Suprihatin, Y. (2024). Introducing eco-literacy to early childhood students through digital learning. *Journal of Education and Learning (EduLearn)*, 18(1), 89–96. <https://doi.org/10.11591/edulearn.v18i1.20678>
- Polistina, K. (2022). Bullying to sustainability: Human behaviour barriers to local ecological sustainability. *SN Social Sciences*, 2(10), 206. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43545-022-00507-4>
- Pouresmaeli, M., Ataei, M., Nouri Qarahasanlou, A., & Barabadi, A. (2024). Building ecological literacy in mining communities: A sustainable development perspective. *Case Studies in Chemical and Environmental Engineering*, 9(November 2023), 100554. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cesce.2023.100554>
- Prayogi, S., Verawati, N. N. S. P., Bilad, M. R., Samsuri, T., Hunaepi, Asy'ari, M., Yusup, M. Y., Azmi, I., & Ernita, N. (2025). Emphasizing reflective processes in scientific inquiry and its impact on preservice science teachers' critical thinking skills. *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*, 12(January), 101895. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2025.101895>
- Purwasih, D., Wilujeng, I., Wiyarsi, A., & Zakwandi, R. (2025). The socio-scientific issue based on local potential in integrated learning instruction to improve student ecological literacy. *Science & Education*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11191-025-00671-y>
- Sierra-Barón, W., Olivos-Jara, P., Gómez-Acosta, A., & Navarro, O. (2023). Environmental identity, connectedness with nature, and well-being as predictors of pro-environmental behavior, and their comparison between inhabitants of rural and urban Areas. *Sustainability*, 15(5), 4525. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15054525>
- Wang, Y., Sommier, M., & Vasques, A. (2022). Sustainability education at higher education institutions: Pedagogies and students' competences. *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education*, 23(8), 174–193. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJSHE-11-2021-0465>
- Xay, P. Van, Khanh, M. Q., Kien, P. T., Tinh, T. T., & Nguyen, D. Le. (2025). Bridging art, culture and ecology: Innovative environmental education practices in university settings. *International Journal of Ecosystems and Ecology Science (IJEES)*, 15(2), 231–240. <https://doi.org/10.31407/ijeess15.230>
- Zamzami, N., Fatah, L., Nuryadin, M. R., & Hafizianor, H. (2025). The local wisdom of Bajau people in Pulau Laut Kotabaru for sustainable environmental management. *Environment and Ecology Research*, 13(3), 440–446. <https://doi.org/10.13189/eer.2025.130312>

Biographies of Authors (11 pt)

In this section, authors are required to provide their professional biography, which should include their academic background, current position, research interests, and any significant contributions to the current study. Additionally, authors should include links to their professional profiles, such as ORCID (*mandatory*) and, *if applicable*, Google Scholar, or Scopus Author ID. This helps establish the author's academic identity and enhances the visibility of their research.

Required Information:

- **Full name:** Include the author's full name as it appears in official records. If preferred, authors may use the format consistent with his/her Scopus profile.
- **Email address for each author:** Provide the author's professional email address to facilitate correspondence.
- **Social media account:**
 - **ORCID iD:** This is a mandatory. Each author must include their ORCID iD (<https://orcid.org/>), which helps link his/her research output to their identity.
 - **Google Scholar Profile:** Include the link to the author's Google Scholar profile. If the author does not have a Google Scholar profile, they may create a new one and include the link.
 - **Scopus Author ID:** If available, include the Scopus Author ID to enhance visibility on Scopus.

- **Brief biography:** Provide a concise overview of the author's academic background, research interests, notable publications, and contributions to the current paper. This should be no longer than 150 to 200 words (10 pt).
- **Professional achievements:** If available, mention any important awards, recognition, or research projects the author has been involved in.
- **Photo Submission:** Authors must submit a clear, professional headshot (3x4 cm). The photo should be of high quality, well-lit, and not blurry. Avoid using photos that are overly casual or low resolution.

Below is an example of how to format the biography section for each author:

Biographies of Authors (11 pt)

Author photo	Author name    Please submit a short biographical note highlighting your academic background, qualifications, professional experience, and major achievements. He/She can be contacted at email: ... (9 pt).
Author photo	Author name    Please submit a short biographical note highlighting your academic background, qualifications, professional experience, and major achievements. He/She can be contacted at email: ... (9 pt).
Author photo	Author name    Please submit a short biographical note highlighting your academic background, qualifications, professional experience, and major achievements. He/She can be contacted at email: ... (9 pt).