

Guidelines for Preparing-Camera Ready Manuscript: Journal of Research and Innovation

David Corolla¹, Michael Tata², Graham Bell³

¹Corolla University, Spanyol
E-mail: corolla@gmail.com

²University of Cambridge, United Kingdom
E-mail: tata@gmail.com

³Universitas Lancang Kuning, Spanyol
E-mail: bell@gmail.com

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received :
Revised :
Accepted :

KEYWORDS

Guidelines
Research
Journal
Innovation



ABSTRACT

This document is an example of what your camera-ready manuscript should look alike. Authors are asked to conform to the directions reported in this document. **EIDS** is a journal publishing about research and innovation studies. The Journal welcomes cross-disciplinary approaches. Jorein is published every January and June. The abstract must be concise (250 words or less). The purpose, design and nature of the study are well expressed in the abstract. Participants, data collection tools, data analysis and major findings are summarized in the abstract.

Introduction

This document is an example of what your camera-ready manuscript. Authors are asked to conform to the directions reported in this document. Only electric submission via OJS system is accepted. For more information or queries about complying to the submission process, e-mail to jorein@unm.ac.id

The length of a camera-ready manuscript (excluding abstract, table(s), figure(s), and references) should be between **6000-18000** for research papers and **5000-7000** words for review papers. **Please DO NOT put a page number in each page.** Write the manuscript in English. Write all text, including section titles and figures. Text should be centered on each page. Paper size should be A4. Furthermore, type single-spaced. Indent when starting a new paragraph. Use standard fonts such as Times New Roman or Computer Modern Roman, 10 points for text, 11 points (bold) subsection headings, 12 points (bold) for section headings, 14 points (bold) for title, 11 points for authors' names, and 9 points for their affiliations.

In the introduction section, start with a brief background of that tells us **why**: 'why did you choose that topic for research and why it is necessary. Then state your **research gaps**. You can also think of the Introduction as the section that points out the gap in knowledge that the rest of the paper will fill, or the section in which you define and claim your territory within the broad area of research. The introduction should specify the objectives of the experiment or analysis of the study described in the paper. This concluding part of the Introduction should include specific details or the exact question(s) to be answered later in the paper, and most importantly the **research novelty** and possible **contributions/implication** of the paper.

Literature Review (Optional)

This section is where you must review the *current literature* of your research variables—do not give a general theory using only one or two sources, outdated sources, or no source at all. You show your understanding by analyzing and then synthesizing the information to (a) determine what has already been written on a topic, (b) provide an overview of key concepts, (c), identify major relationships or patterns, (d) identify strengths and weaknesses, (e) identify any gaps in the research, (f) identify any conflicting evidence, and (g) provide a solid background to a research paper's investigation.

Research Method

The function of this section is to describe all experimental procedures, including controls. The description should be complete enough to enable someone else to repeat your work. If there is more than one part to the experiment, describe the methods and present the results in the same order in each section. Decide what order of presentation will make the most sense to the readers.

If you need to make a list of points, use the a, b, c format:

- a. Explain why each procedure was done, i.e., what variable were you measuring and why?
- b. Experimental procedures and results are narrated in the past tense (what you did, what you found, etc.) whereas conclusions from your results are given in the present tense.
- c. Mathematical equations and statistical tests are considered mathematical methods and should be described in this section, along with the actual experimental work.
- d. Use active rather than passive voice when possible.

Result

Illustrations: Place figures, charts, and tables in the paper near where they are first discussed, rather than at the end, if possible. Each illustration must have a description of at least one paragraph below them. Extensive illustrations may run across both columns.

Figure 1. The Appropriate Title of the Figure

All illustrations must be followed by a paragraph that explains the author's original analysis/interpretation of the displayed data. If the figure cannot be adjusted to the two-column format, use the **continuous page break** to fit it in a one column. Wrap figures or tables **in line with text**, do not put them in front of or behind the text so as to make it easier for future revisions. We are expecting a high resolution object to be displayed i.e. PNG

Table 1. The Appropriate Title of the Table

No	Name	Information
1	A2	Description
2	2B	Description
3	9S	Description

Discussion

Authors must connect your results and original analysis with the results or opinions from previous studies which have been published in the last five years. While old references are welcome, 90% of the references must be from 2017-2021 studies. There must be a minimum of 25 citations of studies in the last five years

Conclusions

Conclusion focuses the reader on the important results and how they filled the **research gap**, the **research novelty** and its **contributions and implications** to the larger area of study.

Acknowledgement

Give your gratitude to those who professionally or financially aided this research paper. Do not include the names of your family members and friends who were only your moral support.

[for example].

This research was supported/partially supported by [Name of Foundation, Grant maker, Donor]. We thank our colleagues from [Name of the supporting institution] who provided insight and expertise that greatly assisted the research, although they may not agree with all of the interpretations/conclusions of this paper.

References

- American Psychological Association. (1983). *Publication manual*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Bates, L., Lane, J., & Lange, E. (1993). *Writing clearly: Responding to student writing*. Boston: Heinie.
- Hamuddin, B., Syahdan, S., Rahman, F., Rianita, D., & Derin, T. (2019). Do They Truly Intend to Harm Their Friends?: The Motives Beyond Cyberbullying among University Students. *International Journal of Cyber Behavior, Psychology and Learning (IJCPL)*, 9(4), 32-44. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4018/IJCPL.2019100103>
- Szuchman, L. T., & Thomlison, B. (2010). *Writing with style: APA style for social work*. Cengage Learning.