

Minutes of April 2 Jakarta EE Steering Committee Meeting

The Zoom ID is:

<https://eclipse.zoom.us/j/499849869>

Attendees:

Fujitsu: Kenji Kazumura, Mike Denicola

IBM: Ian Robinson, Kevin Sutter

Oracle: Will Lyons

Payara: Not present

Red Hat: Mark Little

Tomitribe: David Blevins, Richard Monson-Haefel

Martijn Verburg

Ivar Grimstad

Eclipse: Mike and team

Review of Minutes from Prior Meeting

Minutes will be reviewed next week

Oracle and Eclipse Agreement and Other Agreements

Oracle and Eclipse reviewed the plan for concluding key Agreements.

Between Eclipse and Oracle, the intent is that:

- 1) We intend to sign MCCAs and JWGA within the next few days.
- 2) We have agreed to the following conceptual plan for licensing Oracle specification content to enable delivery of a Jakarta EE 8 specification that includes:
 - A Platform specification with TCK, with spec text, that defines functionality “as is” in the current Java EE 8 spec
 - All copyright holders must sign off on their contributions to the current Platform doc before we can publish (as discussed at the JCP)
 - Component specifications, for components that Oracle has been the spec lead for, with Javadoc, and TCKs, and a statement that the component spec meets the same compatibility requirements as the Platform spec. Providing spec text is optional (if permissions are obtained), but not required. This is an enhancement in order to speed the ability to get the platform done.

- RH and IBM will need to make corresponding spec contributions for specs they own.

3) #2 requires that Oracle sign a Specification Copyright Agreement, which we intend to complete within the next week.

4) We believe we can begin creating draft Jakarta EE 8 specs, under EPL, within the next week or two.

5) Future specifications can use the javax namespace in a compatible manner, but will not be able to evolve/modify the javax namespace. New functionality will evolve in the Jakarta namespace.

Update on other member agreements

- Update on Tomitribe's participation agreement. There is a lead on the Apache side who has been identified. The Apache Software Foundation expressed interest in being made a voting member of the Jakarta EE Working Group. This topic was discussed but not resolved.
- The Fujitsu Participation Agreement is due April 1.
 - As of last Steering Committee meeting, Kenji was pushing on this, but the Agreement is expected to be two weeks late.

Eclipse GlassFish release and TCK testing

Update on the following:

https://bugs.eclipse.org/bugs/show_bug.cgi?id=543917

Oracle reports that TCK testing seems to be stabilizing. We still have occasional troubles, but the results are looking better.

Marketing Committee Update

Update on vote on the compatibility logo in the March 28 meeting. These were selected on March 28. Deferring announcement pending comments from members who did not attend.

Waiting on guidance from Eclipse IP Advisory Committee on branding guidelines.

Developer survey closed March 25. Analysis underway.

Jakarta EE 8 Release - Not discussed

The scope of the release has been agreed to as described in the following document:

<https://docs.google.com/document/d/15rsZ5e3ONjsJjP635yev3dVjV5ZiKdlvRuHXQXpwQus/edit>

The “Next Steps” document provides an overview of the current plan:

https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1VFaaE5-HaDIdm4c-IdJTcyO0sGoYcumGchq_aoNUq2M/edit#slide=id.g4d87466c3c_0_0

The following Google doc is being updated:

<https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/15HdTmptvVIW53zm6wGwZoli5c1kRzM79G-ZDHe4FVMs/edit#gid=503170349>

General Updates:

- Jakarta EE Steering Committee Approval of the Jakarta EE Specification Process

<https://docs.google.com/document/d/1o-VmnLn3wNVcVPZTJEIWWQZo5buuhx5Bs1mG0JclpX8/edit>

From Wayne’s email on March 25:

Getting this out the door is on our critical path to creating specification projects from our existing projects. If you have immediate concerns that this process document does not address, please raise them ASAP. For now, we need to have enough of the process defined to actually engage in the creation of specification projects. We can work on a revision to address new deficiencies as we discover them. We can continue to evolve the operations document.

I will call for a proper ballot to approve this process starting this Wednesday with a goal of approving this on April 3.

Unless there is some objection, I would like to socialize this ballot with the Steering Committee on this Tuesday's call to queue them up to approve it immediately after the Specification Committee approves it.

Wayne alerted the group regarding the above, and that he intends to bring the JESP to the Specification Committee for approval ASAP, and then back to the Steering Committee for final approval following approval by the Specification Committee. Look for follow-up email from Wayne.

- Oracle intends to sign up for leading the TCK work and Eclipse GlassFish 5.2, pending conclusion of the agreements discussed above.

- Tanja noted there were revisions to time estimates for specification related work in the planning sheet. She requested that members review time estimates in their respective sections of the planning sheet.
- Martijn has collected volunteers from LJC to contribute to the Jakarta EE 8 effort.

Proposed Specification Names

This agenda item is a placeholder for now. The Spec Names list is here:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1_f-Vsl8pjCBSc0gFrltz-Axdw8oK5dfcM2H9mFrPxxE/edit#gid=157814126

Clarification from Oracle last time:

- Would project URLs need to change: e.g.
<https://projects.eclipse.org/projects/ee4j.jms>
 - The answer is yes, they would need to change. We are working on a defining a convention for this and would prefer to communicate this after Eclipse has a chance to review this.
- Would javax package names need to change e.g. javax.jms - no, there is not a requirement to change

Jakarta Summit

Consensus has been to work on defining an agenda when there is more clarity on the resolution of legal issues.