

The title of the article should be written as briefly as possible without reducing its accuracy in representing the contents of the text. (Cambria, Center, Bold, 16pt)

First Author¹, Second Author², Third Author³ (10 pt)

¹, Informatics Department, Faculty of Science & Technology, University, Country (9 pt)

² Informatics Department, Faculty of Science & Technology, University, Country (9 pt)

Article Info

Article history:

Received
Revised
Accepted
Published

Keywords:

First keyword
Second keyword
Third keyword
Fourth keyword
Fifth keyword

ABSTRACT (10 PT)

The abstract should be written concisely and concisely in a single paragraph, between 100 and 250 words in length. As the primary representation of a manuscript, the abstract must be able to stand alone as a stand-alone document so that readers can understand the entire research without having to read the entire article. Therefore, the abstract must comprehensively summarize the essence of the research, including the background or main objectives, the research methods applied, the most important results or findings, and brief conclusions and implications. Abstracts are generally typed using single spacing and are strictly prohibited from including citations, footnotes, complex mathematical formulas, or undefined abbreviations. Furthermore, OJS journals often require bilingual presentation (Indonesian and English). As a complement, three to five specific keywords must be included directly below the abstract, usually arranged alphabetically to facilitate the indexing and searching process. (9 pt).

Corresponding Author:

Name of Corresponding Author,
Informatics Department, Faculty of Science & Technology, University, Country
Address
Email:
Orchid ID :

1. INTRODUCTION (10 PT)

Manuscripts must be submitted as Microsoft Word documents formatted on A4 paper with a standard left-to-right single-column layout. The page margins should be set to 3 cm on the left side and 2.5 cm on the top, bottom, and right sides. All text needs to be single-spaced using a 10-point Cambria font. Document length is strictly capped at a maximum of 12 pages for original research articles and 16 pages for review or survey papers. For practical reference, authors can download the official document template directly from <http://journal.hikmahtechpress.org/index.php/jofiet>.

The title of the paper must be concise, informative, and limited to no more than 15 words to accurately capture the core subject. Because academic retrieval systems and databases rely heavily on titles for indexing, authors should avoid using complex formula subscripts, abbreviations, or acronyms. Furthermore, unless the study is specifically introducing a completely novel methodology, the actual methods utilized should not be detailed in the title. Crafting a precise and clear title is essential to ensure that the manuscript reaches its intended academic audience.

A succinct, factual abstract is required to summarize the study's main objectives, core findings, and primary conclusions. Since the abstract often functions as a standalone text in search databases, authors must avoid including references; if a citation is absolutely unavoidable, explicitly write out the author and year. Directly below the abstract, provide up to seven keywords using American English spelling. These keywords are critical for cross-referencing and must avoid generic phrases, plural forms, and connecting words, utilizing acronyms only if they are universally recognized in the field.

The manuscript generally follows the standard IMRaD structure: Introduction, Method, Results and Discussion, and Conclusion, with each major section having its own consecutively numbered header on a separate line (e.g., 1. INTRODUCTION). The introduction itself should span three to six paragraphs and clearly establish the context, problem definition, related literature, proposed solution, and the study's innovation in a manner accessible to diverse scientific fields. Additionally, all foreign words throughout the text must be italicized, and citations must strictly adhere to the IEEE format using bracketed numbers, such as [1] and [2].

Rather than isolating the literature review in its own section, authors should strategically integrate it throughout the manuscript. The literature is used in the introduction to highlight the paper's novelty compared to existing works, in the method section to justify the research procedures, and in the results and discussion section to back up the analytical findings. However, if the research proposes a highly original theory, method, or algorithm, authors are permitted to insert a brief, dedicated theoretical framework section immediately after the introduction and before the methodology [4].

2. METHOD (10 PT)

Explaining research chronological, including research design, research procedure (in the form of algorithms, Pseudocode or other), how to test and data acquisition [5]–[7]. The description of the course of research should be supported references, so the explanation can be accepted scientifically [2], [4]. Figures 1-2 and Table 1 are presented center, as shown below and cited in the manuscript [5], [8]–[13].



Figure 1. JOFIET Template

Table 1. An Overview of Best Practices for Writing an Effective Scientific Paper

Content	Should be Fulfilled	Checklist
Title	- Maximum 15 words - Explicitly contains PMR (Problem, Method, and Result, for example: "Automatic Text Summary using Deep Learning for Indonesian Language Documents") - Does not contain Locus (Case studies or locations that are too specific, for example: in SMA XX) - Does not contain words such as: Overview/brief/Introduction, Review, Case study/Study, Survey, Approach, Comparative, Analysis, Comparative Investigation, Investigation	✓
Abstract	- Maximum 250 words. - To the point explicitly explains: objectives, methodology, and results, may add a little contribution/implication. - May not contain citations.	✓

Content	Should be Fulfilled	Checklist
Keywords	1. Consists of 3-5 keywords that are easy to find on the Google search engine. 2. In alphabetical order. 3. It is highly recommended that keywords be adjusted to the title	✓
Introduction	At least 3 paragraphs containing: (1) Paragraph I contain the background/problems/facts that support the research/study; (2) Paragraph II contains the state of the art/related works which contains at least 5 related previous studies; (3) Paragraph III contains the research position/gap/differentiation and defines the purpose of the research/study.	✓
Methodology	1. Explicitly explain whether qualitative/quantitative/mix method/other specific methods/algorithms used in the research. 2. Describe the case study and data sources (data collections) used	✓
Result and Discussion	1. Written systematically according to the method used 2. Completely explain the results of the research 3. In the discussion there must be references cited as a comparison/analysis of the linkages of the findings with previous research	✓
Conclusion	1. Do not repeat the abstract 2. Contains results and discussion (findings), contributions, implications, can also explain the "lack of study" of the research.	✓
References	3. There should be suggestions for further research 1. Minimum 20 references 2. 80% are sourced from reputable journal articles (clear sources and DOI) up to date, maximum in the last 5 years 3. 20% comes from sources other than journal articles (books, news portals, etc.) 4. Using the Mendeley reference manager	✓

3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION (10 PT)

In this section, it is explained the results of research and at the same time is given the comprehensive discussion. Results can be presented in figures, graphs, tables and others that make the reader understand easily [14], [15]. The discussion can be made in several sub-sections.

3.1. Sub section 1

Equations should be placed at the center of the line and provided consecutively with equation numbers in parentheses flushed to the right margin, as in (1). The use of Microsoft Equation Editor or MathType is preferred.

$$V_v - E = \frac{h}{25.m} (v_x^3 + v_y^2) \quad (1)$$

All symbols that have been used in the equations should be defined in the following text.

3.2. Sub section 2

Proper citation of other works should be made to avoid plagiarism. When referring to a reference item, please use the reference number as in [16] or [17] for multiple references. The use of "Ref [18]..." should be employed for any reference citation at the beginning of sentence. For any reference with more than 3 or more authors, only the first author is to be written followed by *et al.* (e.g. in [19]). Examples of reference items of different categories shown in the References section. Each item in the references section should be typed using 8 pt font size [20]–[25].

3.2.1. Subsub section 1

Lorem ipsum

3.2.2. Subsub section 2

Lorem ipsum

4. CONCLUSION (10 PT)

Provide a statement that what is expected, as stated in the "Introduction" chapter can ultimately result in "Results and Discussion" chapter, so there is compatibility. Moreover, it can also be

added the prospect of the development of research results and application prospects of further studies into the next (based on result and discussion).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS (10 PT)

This research was supported/partially supported by [Name of Foundation, Grant maker, Donor]. We thank our colleagues from [Name of the supporting institution] who provided insight and expertise that greatly assisted the research, although they may not agree with all of the interpretations/conclusions of this paper.

REFERENCES (10 PT)

- [1] World Health Organization (WHO), "Hypertension." Accessed: Nov. 16, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/hypertension>
- [2] C. Abbafati et al., "Global burden of 369 diseases and injuries in 204 countries and territories, 1990–2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019," *Lancet*, vol. 396, no. 10258, pp. 1204–1222, 2020, doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30925-9.
- [3] P. Verdecchia, J. A. Staessen, W. B. White, Y. Imai, and E. T. O'Brien, "Properly defining white coat hypertension," *Eur. Heart J.*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 106–109, 2002, doi: 10.1053/euhj.2001.2657.
- [4] L. S. Chow et al., "Time-Restricted Eating Effects on Body Composition and Metabolic Measures in Humans who are Overweight: A Feasibility Study," *Obesity*, vol. 28, no. 5, pp. 860–869, 2020, doi: 10.1002/oby.22756.
- [5] A. Esteva et al., "Dermatologist-level classification of skin cancer with deep neural networks," *Nature*, vol. 542, no. 7639, pp. 115–118, 2017, doi: 10.1038/nature21056.
- [6] A. C. Ian Goodfellow, Yoshua Bengio, "Deep Learning," MIT Press, vol. 521, no. 7553, p. 785, 2017, doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-391420-0.09987-X.
- [7] A. Rajkomar et al., "Scalable and accurate deep learning with electronic health records," *npj Digit. Med.*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 1–10, 2018, doi: 10.1038/s41746-018-0029-1.
- [8] M. Dorigo and T. Stützle, *Handbook of Metaheuristics; Ant colony optimization: Overview and recent advances*, vol. 272. 2019.
- [9] J. Snoek, H. Larochelle, and R. P. Adams, "Practical Bayesian optimization of machine learning algorithms," *Adv. Neural Inf. Process. Syst.*, vol. 4, pp. 2951–2959, 2012.
- [10] R. Vargas, A. Mosavi, R. Ruiz, and S. Engineering, "DEEP LEARNING: A REVIEW," no. October, 2018, doi: 10.20944/preprints201810.0218.v1.
- [11] Muhammad Haris Diponegoro, Sri Suning Kusumawardani, and Indriana Hidayah, "Tinjauan Pustaka Sistematis: Implementasi Metode Deep Learning pada Prediksi Kinerja Murid," *J. Nas. Tek. Elektro dan Teknol. Inf.*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 131–138, 2021, doi: 10.22146/jnteti.v10i2.1417.
- [12] Asniar, N. U. Maulidevi, and K. Surendro, "SMOTE-LOF for noise identification in imbalanced data classification," *J. King Saud Univ. - Comput. Inf. Sci.*, vol. 34, no. 6, pp. 3413–3423, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.jksuci.2021.01.014.
- [13] M. F. Jensen et al., "Sea surface temperature estimates from several sediment cores in the North Atlantic," *PANGAEA*, 2017, doi: 10.1594/PANGAEA.882403.
- [14] Y. Lecun, Y. Bengio, and G. Hinton, "Deep learning," *Nature*, vol. 521, no. 7553, pp. 436–444, 2015, doi: 10.1038/nature14539.
- [15] K. Greff, R. K. Srivastava, J. Koutník, B. R. Steunebrink, and J. Schmidhuber, "TRANSACTIONS ON NEURAL NETWORKS AND LEARNING SYSTEMS 1 LSTM: A Search Space Odyssey," arXiv:1503.04069, pp. 1–11, 2015, [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1503.04069.pdf>
- [16] M. Mohamed, A. Abdullah, A. M. Zaki, F. H. Rizk, M. M. Eid, and E. M. El El-Kenway, "Advances and Challenges in Feature Selection Methods: A Comprehensive Review," *J. Artif. Intell. Metaheuristics*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 67–77, 2024, doi: 10.54216/jaim.070105.
- [17] A. Jović, K. Brkić, and N. Bogunović, "A review of feature selection methods with applications," 2015 38th Int. Conv. Inf. Commun. Technol. Electron. Microelectron. MIPRO 2015 - Proc., no. May 2015, pp. 1200–1205, 2015, doi: 10.1109/MIPRO.2015.7160458.
- [18] B. Z. Dadaneh, H. Y. Markid, and A. Zakerolhosseini, "Unsupervised probabilistic feature selection using ant colony optimization," *Expert Syst. Appl.*, vol. 53, pp. 27–42, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.eswa.2016.01.021.
- [19] E. E. Putri, B. S. Hasugian, A. Info, and D. Mining, "Pattern Analysis of Drug Procurement System With FP-Growth Algorithm," vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 70–79, 2022, doi: 10.15575/join.v7i1.841.
- [20] B. Shahriari, K. Swersky, Z. Wang, R. P. Adams, and N. De Freitas, "Taking the human out of the loop: A review of Bayesian optimization," *Proc. IEEE*, vol. 104, no. 1, pp. 148–175, 2016, doi: 10.1109/JPROC.2015.2494218.

- [21] J. Cheng and M. Lapata, "Neural summarization by extracting sentences and words," 54th Annu. Meet. Assoc. Comput. Linguist. ACL 2016 - Long Pap., vol. 1, pp. 484–494, 2016, doi: 10.18653/v1/p16-1046.
- [22] S. Li, J. Wang, and P. Liu, "Detection of Generative Linguistic Steganography Based on Explicit and Latent Text Word Relation Mining Using Deep Learning," IEEE Trans. Dependable Secur. Comput., vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 1476–1487, 2023, doi: 10.1109/TDSC.2022.3156972.
- [23] Y. Deng, M. R. Eden, and S. Cremaschi, "Metrics for Evaluating Machine Learning Models Prediction Accuracy and Uncertainty," in 33rd European Symposium on Computer Aided Process Engineering, vol. 52, A. C. Kokossis, M. C. Georgiadis, and E. Pistikopoulos, Eds. Elsevier, 2023, pp. 1325–1330. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-443-15274-0.50211-0>.
- [24] R. Fayzrakhmanov, A. Kulikov, and P. Repp, "The difference between precision-recall and ROC curves for evaluating the performance of credit card fraud detection models," Proc. Int. Conf. Appl. Innov. IT, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 17–22, 2018.
- [25] B. Ambu-Saidi, Fung, C.Y., Turner, K., and Lim, A.S.S., "A Critical Review on Training Evaluation Models: A Search for Future Agenda," J. Cogn. Sci. Hum. Dev., vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 142–170, 2024, doi: 10.33736/jcshd.6336.2024..