

PHILIPPINE MULTI-SECTORAL NUTRITION PROJECT
SUB-PROJECT COMPLETION REPORT

A. General Information

Name of sub-project: Sub-Project ID:			
Sub-project category:		Target Physical Accomplishment:	98.46 sq. meter
		Actual Physical Accomplishment:	98.46 sq. meter
Barangay/s covered	APANANGON	Estimated Total Cost:	₱ 760, 700.00
		Actual Total Cost:	₱ 712, 534.21
Municipality & Class		Total Grant Received:	₱ 608, 934.21
Province	NEGROS ORIENTAL	Total Counterpart Delivered:	₱ 103, 600.00
Total no. of Women of Reproductive Age (15-49 y/o) served by the Sub-Project:	930		
Total no. of IP Women of Reproductive Age (15-49 y/o)	N/A		
Total no. of Children under 5 y/o served by the Sub-Project:	100		
Total no. of IP children under 5 y/o	N/A		
Total no. of Pregnant Women served by the Sub-Project:	10		
Total no. of IP Pregnant women served by the Sub-Project:	N/A		
Total no. of Lactating Women served by the Sub-Project:	38		
Total no. of IP Lactating women served by the Sub-Project:	N/A		
Total no. of HH served by the Sub-Project:	30	Date Started:	October 16, 2023
Total no. of Families served by the Sub-Project:	30	Date Completed:	
No. of Pantawid HH Beneficiaries served by the Sub-Project:	30	Date of Inauguration:	

PMNP CBIM Form No. 32
Sub-project Completion Report Pro-forma Template

No. of Pantawid Women with children under 5 y/o served by the Sub-Project:	30		
Total no. of IP 4Ps women beneficiaries with children ages below y/o served by the Sub-Project:	N/A		
No. of Pantawid Children under 5 y/o served by the Sub-Project:	30		
Total no. of IP 4Ps children below 5 y/o served by the Sub-Project:	N/A		
No. of Pantawid Families served by the Sub-Project:	30		
Total Population in the barangay:	Male <u>1,227</u> Female <u>1,019</u>	No. of SLP HH Beneficiaries served by the Sub-Project:	25
Total Population served by the sub-project:	Male <u>13</u> Female <u>17</u>	No. of SLP Families served by the Sub-Project:	25
Total IP Families served by the sub-project	Male <u>N/A</u> Female <u>N/A</u>	Total IP HH served by the Sub-Project:	N/A

B. Sub-project Description

The sub-project is completed with the following work items and activities constructed/implemented by the concerned community/ies. (insert additional rows if needed)

Item of Works	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total
1. SITE CLEARING AND LAYOUT	43.105	sq.m.	43.48	₱ 1, 874.00
2. EARTHWORKS	3.366	cu.m	5, 231.73	₱ 17, 610.00
3. REINFORCING STEEL BAR	381.91	kgs	99.04	₱ 38, 418.50
4. FORMWORKS AND STRUCTURAL CONCRETE WORKS	4.00	cu.m	12, 545.88	₱50, 183.50
5. MASONRY WORKS	55.35	sq.m	2, 324.23	₱128, 646.21
6. PAINTING WORKS	208.93	sq.m	232.54	₱ 48, 585.00
7. STRUCTURAL STEELWORKS	764.46	kg	59.92	₱ 45, 803.00
8. TINSMITHRY WORKS	27.56	sq.m	1, 342.29	₱ 36, 993.50
9. CEILING WORKS	88.00	sq.m	485.30	₱ 42, 706.00
10. TILE WORKS	58.43	sq.m	1,129.98	₱ 66, 024.50
11. WINDOWS AND DOORS	1.00	Lot	37, 611.00	₱ 37, 611.00
12. PLUMBING WORKS	1.00	Lot	39, 222.5	₱ 39, 222.50
13. SEPTIC TANK	13.50	sq.m	2, 901.20	₱ 39, 166.25
14. ELECTRICAL WORKS	1.00	Lot	31, 003.25	₱ 31, 003.25
15. AMENITIES	1.00	Lot	28, 500.00	₱ 28, 500.00
16. PROJECT BILLBOARD	1.00	Lot	3, 500.00	₱3, 500.00

Direct Cost				₱ 655, 847.21
Indirect Cost				₱ 56, 687.00
Total Project Cost				₱ 712, 534.21

C. Method of Sub-project Implementation

c.1 Procurement mode and procedures used in the sub-project implementation.

- ***Request for quotation for goods***

c.2 Please state the major problems encountered during implementation and actions taken by the ACT and the community volunteers/leaders to solve the issues.

D. Labor Generated

Particular	Number	Person Days	Rate/Day	Total Amount Paid
Foreman/Project Supervisor (men)	1	30	550.00	₱ 16, 500.00
Skilled (men)	5	25	450.00	₱ 56, 250.00
Skilled (women)	4	6	450.00	₱ 10, 800.00
Foreman/Project Supervisor (women)	0	0	0	₱0.00
Unskilled (men)	3	25	387.00	₱ 29, 025.00
Unskilled (women)	2	25	387.00	₱ 19, 350.00
	1	5	387.00	₱ 1, 935.00
TOTAL PAID LABOR				₱ 133, 860.00

E. Project Benefits: Highlight the initial impact provided by the sub-project to the covered community/ies.

e.1 Condition of the community before the Project intervention (How long were you deprived of the service? How costly was it to access the service? How far?)

e.2 Condition of the community after the sub-project completion.

F. Environmental Aspect: Discuss any environmental impacts during the construction and the mitigating measures complied, implemented, or provided by the community. (refer to the Environmental and Social Management Management Plan (ESMP), ESMP Reports)

G. Capability Building Impact: What are the trainings provided by the project to the community and the result observed.

H. Community Volunteers: In recognition of the community volunteers who in one way or another made the sub-project possible, list their names and the corresponding team they were involved with.

Name of community volunteers	Sitio/Barangay	Designation/Team
Juler S. Pacunla	IRENE, APANANGON	PPT CHAIR
Judenal H. Torres	IRENE, APANANGON	PPT MEMBER
Ronalyn J. Calpiz	IRENE, APANANGON	PPT MEMEBR
Mercy G. Gantalao	IRENE, APANANGON	BAC CHAIR
Sunshine D. Calpes	IRENE, APANANGON	BAC MEMBER
Owen G. Fabillar	IRENE, APANANGON	BAC MEMBER
Wimboy E. Pacunla	IRENE, APANANGON	AIT CHAIR
Junyl H. Sotomayor	IRENE, APANANGON	AIT MEMBER
Lemmuel C. Pedro	IRENE, APANANGON	AIT MEMBER
Salvador L. Fabillar	IRENE, APANANGON	O&M CHAIR
Analyn M. Aniñon	IRENE, APANANGON	O&M MEMBER
Je S. Fabillar	IRENE, APANANGON	O&M MEMBER
Jeser F. Gonsaga	IRENE, APANANGON	PT CHAIR
Charlie H. Calpes	IRENE, APANANGON	PT MEMBER
Angely F. Faburada	IRENE, APANANGON	PT MEMBER
Meralyn J. Calpiz	IRENE, APANANGON	PIT CHAIR
Jenet P. Torino	IRENE, APANANGON	PIT MEMBER
Eliza A. Sindo	IRENE, APANANGON	PIT MEMBER
Judelyn P. Sotomayor	IRENE, APANANGON	MIT CHAIR
Renier P. Pacunla	IRENE, APANANGON	MIT MEMBER
Renmar P. Calpis	IRENE, APANANGON	MIT MEMBER
Arcelin S. Fabillar	IRENE, APANANGON	CNSPMC Chairperson

I. General Assessment

i.1 **Cost Effectiveness:** Actual overall cost compared to similar projects in the locality. Indicate cost of similar project constructed by the agency. What % (Higher/Lower) compared to completed SPs? Indicate also the Cost per Population served.

i.2 **Plan vs. Actual:** Did the SP incurred overrun or savings? By how much? If savings incurred, how was it utilized?

Ans. The sub-project incurred savings from actual procurement of a total of PHP 29, 630.79.. The barangay decided not to request the contingency of the total amount of PHP 18, 535.00. Since there are no other pending obligations, the barangay returned the total savings amounting to PHP 48, 165.79.

i.3 **Financial Aspect:** On-time releases of PMNP Grant (On the average, how many days did it take from the ACT receipt of community requests to the date of fund release to the community account? List contributing factors of the case.

i.4 **Participation:** On the average, How many household representatives participated in deliberations of the Sub-project and its endorsement to the Municipal Nutrition Committee (MNC)?

i.5 **Governance:**

- a. LCC as % of the total
- b. Was LCC on time?
- c. Commitment vs delivery/fulfillment of all LCC commitments
- d. Supporting ordinances for O&M activities
- e. Technical Assistance provided by the LGU such as those during planning and implementation
- f. Commitment of Local Government Units for O&M. (Please attach O&M Partnership Agreement)

i.6 **Multi-Stakeholder Partnerships:** Name other organizations, agencies and individuals that provided contributions to the Sub-project. Please indicate the amount in cash and in-kind.

i.7 **External Monitoring:** (Name external monitors that visited the Sub-project)

- a. WB missions _____ Date/s _____
- b. NGO members _____ Date/s _____
- c. Others, specify _____ Date/s _____

i.8 If there was any Grievance/Complaint that arose during implementation, how was it resolved?

J. Lessons Learned: Please share any lesson/s and good practice/s learned from your implementation of the Sub-project and the PMNP in general.

Prepared by: <p style="text-align: center;"><u>ARCELIN S. FABILLAR</u> CNSPMC Chairperson</p> Date: _____	Certified by: <p style="text-align: center;"><u>ISAGANI H. SOTOMAYOR</u> BNC Chairperson</p> Date: _____
--	---

VERIFICATION/CONFIRMATION:

<p>1. Project Signboard Updating and Reporting</p> <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 60%;">a. Billboard:</td> <td style="width: 20%;">Yes ____</td> <td style="width: 20%;">No ____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>b. Statement of expenditures posted in the community board?</td> <td>Yes ____</td> <td>No ____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>c. Expenditures reported to Barangay Assembly?</td> <td>Yes ____</td> <td>No ____</td> </tr> </table> <p style="text-align: right; margin-top: 20px;"><u>GLICEL T. DUMAGO</u> Community Empowerment Facilitator</p>	a. Billboard:	Yes ____	No ____	b. Statement of expenditures posted in the community board?	Yes ____	No ____	c. Expenditures reported to Barangay Assembly?	Yes ____	No ____
a. Billboard:	Yes ____	No ____							
b. Statement of expenditures posted in the community board?	Yes ____	No ____							
c. Expenditures reported to Barangay Assembly?	Yes ____	No ____							
<p>2. Did the community meet basic financial reporting standards in the FM & A manual? Yes ____ No ____</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-top: 20px;"><u>TERESA R. LEGARTO</u> Municipal Financial Analyst</p>									

3. Did the community implement the sub-project as per approved technical plans & specifications? Yes _____ No _____	
Was it within the budget? Yes _____ No _____	
<u>VON LOUIE D. ANIAR</u> Technical Facilitator	
Noted by: <u>RENE D. PASIOLAN</u> Area Coordinator	
Approved by: _____ Community Development Officer	

- To be submitted together with:
- a. Site Validation Report
 - b. Final Inspection Report
 - c. Sub-Project Proposal
 - d. Mutual Partnership Agreement