REVIEWER ASSESSMENT FORM KAJIAN JURNALISME FACULTY OF COMMUNICATION SCIENCES UNPAD

Article title:			

Fill in the fields below with your answer already meet or do not meet. Explain the reason and the advice.

They also suggest improvements in the word article by way of:

- Download word article
- Block section that should be corrected
- Click review menu
- Click New Comment and fill in the comment box with suggestions for improvements or alternative word or sentence choices.

NO	DETAILS OF THE DEVIEW	
NO.	DETAILS OF THE REVIEW	SUGGESTIONS OF REVIEWERS
1	Will the article be the result of research in	
	journalism and media studies?	
2	Does the title imply a straightforward and	
	informative sentence?	
3	Does the abstract already contain the	
	complete and precise elements of the	
	intro, goals, methods, and research	
<u> </u>	conclusions?	
4	Are the keywords relevant to the article	
	content?	
5	Has the writing systematic been on the	
	guidelines (INTRODUCTION,	
	RESEARCH METHOD, RESULTS	
	AND DISCUSSION, CONCLUSION,	
	AND REFERENCES)?	
6	Does the INTRODUCTION already	
	contain the background problem, which	
	features the data taken from reliable	
7	sources?	
/	Is the INTRODUCTION already	
	complemented by various earlier research journals?	
-	3	
8	Is the INTRODUCTION already	
	complemented by relevant theories or	
	concepts?	

9	Does the INTRODUCTION already	
	contain clear research goals?	
10	Does the RESEARCH METHOD	
	already include the type of study, subject,	
	object, data collection techniques, and	
	data analysis techniques with a complete	
	and relevant methodological basis?	
11	Does the RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
	show the existence of the valuable	
	findings?	
12	Does the RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
	already complement at least one table or	
	figure that is informative and	
	complementary?	
13	Do the RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
	already contain scientific analysis,	
	synthesis, and credible references?	
14	Does the CONCLUSION already contain	
	the results of the new thinking that will	
	impact science and society?	
15	Does the CONCLUSION already contain	
	Recommendations that will contribute to	
	science and society?	
16	Does the REFERENCES consist of at	
	least 25 of the relevant sources?	
17	Does the REFERENCES comprise at	
	least 80% are journals (minimum 15	
	articles from international journals and 5	
	articles from national journals)?	
18	Does the REFERENCES consist of at	
	least 80% of sources within the last five	
	years?	
19	Have all written articles used excellent	
	and correct grammar?	
20	Provide ASSESSMENT/SCORE 1-5 for	
	the entire article.	

The Decision Results	Marked with ***
The article was accepted WITHOUT changes.	
The article is accepted WITH REVISIONS .	

The article REJECTED .	