

# 1. Meeting Information

Date/Time of the Meeting:	January 20, 2014, 11:00-12:30
Inviting person:	Juanjo Hierro
Minutes takers:	Juanjo Hierro, Miguel Carrillo. All the rest helping
Name of the meeting:	WPLs/WPAs follow-up confcall (Jan 20, 2014)
Place of the meeting:	Virtual
Phone details (if PhC):	powwownow (PIN: 050662)
Version	1.0

## 2. Attendees

Please unmark your name in the table below if you have attended the meeting.

Name	Company / WP-Chapter	Morning	Afternoon (N/A)
Pierangelo Garino	Telecom Italia / I2ND	X	X
Stefano De Panfilis	Engineering / WP10-Testbed	X	X
Davide Dalle Carbonare	Engineering / Tools	X	X
Alex Glikson	IBM / Cloud	X	X
Pascal Bisson, Daniel Gidoïn	Thales / Security	X	X
Hans Joachim Einsiedler	Deutsche Telekom / I2ND	X	X
Matthias Baumgart	Deutsche Telekom / I2ND	X	X
Markus Heller, Torsten Leidig, Thorsten Sandfuchs	SAP / Apps	X	X
Thierry Nagellen	Orange / IoT	X	X
Juan Bareño	Atos / Exploitation	X	X

Juanjo Hierro	Telefónica I+D	X	X
Miguel Carrillo	Telefónica I+D	X	X
Manuel Escriche	Telefónica I+D	X	X
Kay Hänsge	Deutsche Telekom / I2ND	X	X
Carlos Ralli	Telefonica I+D / IoT	X	X
Sergio Garcia	Telefónica I+D / Data	X	X
Christof Marti, Thomas Bohnert	ZHAW / MiWi	X	X
Osama Sammodi	UDE / Tools	X	X

### 3. Objective and topics addressed during the meeting

#### Part I (morning)

##### Open issues related to the month 30 review

An email has been sent to Arian asking about questions discussed in our previous confcall:

- how to handle interactions with the UCs and other matters, taking into account that development of some of the FI-WARE GEIs has been stopped by the EC (i.e., how does this affect support?)
- what does “packaging” means.

Still waiting for the answer. No news about the review report.

AP on Juanjo: to send email to Arian elaborating on our understanding on the decisions taken regarding development of GEIs and asking him for confirmation. Also asking him how decisions made impact on the support to UC projects as well as other non-technical activities.  
- Done

AP on Juanjo to send an official mail to Arian - Done (was a repetition of previous)

**AP** to define packaging in the course of this week and close decision in the following confcall  
- pending of response by Arian.

Nevertheless, within “packaging activities” Juanjo understands there are two kind of activities. One has to do with finalizing the productization of each GEi standalone. This would include closing a rather good product-level documentation and guidelines as well as developing the necessary recipes or blueprint definitions that help to deploy the GEi on FI-WARE compliant clouds (either private clouds or public clouds like FI-Lab). The second kind of “packaging activities” have to do with packaging of several related GEis, creating the necessary recipes or blueprint definitions that el to deploy+configure them in a single shot on FI-WARE compliant clouds. Also providing guidelines about who such packages can be deployed manually. Juanjo will come with a proposal on this matter, but WPLs/WPAs must be proactive in proposing some packages of GEis they believe that make sense. Such packages of GEis should lead to new entries on the catalogue properly documented. Decision on the coming WPL/WPA call

## **Amendments under way**

**AP Juanjo**: to circulate over the WPL-WPA mailing lists the version of amendment 6 to be sent to the EC tomorrow. This will not be the final version, partners can provide additional changes to be incorporated.

Juanjo: We passed a interim draft to Davide but not to the rest because still major changes pending. We expect to send it late this evening.

SAP asks to have a paragraph in amendment 6 that SAP leaves in M36.

Friendly reminder to Pier regarding possibility to add partner dealing with connection of Torino city. Pier to send info to Juanjo along the day.

### **=== Relevant background:**

The summary of topics to be covered in the amendment are the following:

- Incorporation of Startup Weekend
- Addition of new beneficiaries involved in activities linked to connection of smart cities to FI-LAB
- Changes regarding PMs allocation and activities definition within WP9 (as raised by Davide during confcall)
- Reallocation of PMs in the Cloud WPA (as agreed with Alex)
- Activities linked to the concept of FI-WARE Top-gun programmers (this will require to find how they could be funded)
- Dropping the MAC (since the new constituency of the Advisory Council somehow covers that)
- New governance model

SAP objected to include new governance model because the company cannot sign it. Inclusion of new governance model is to be postponed.

Once we close the current NEF session devoted to reporting on costs we will open a new amendment (Amendment #6).

## **Campus Party Brazil**

Campus Party Brazil 2014 (CPBR) will take place from Jan 27th until February 2nd in Sao Paulo.

Prominent presence of FI-WARE in the program:

- Presentation on main stage about FI-WARE, FI-Lab and the FI-PPP: Jan 28th, 11:00am
  - It will probably be Carlos Domingo or Francisco Jariego, from Telefónica, who will participate in this session talking on behalf of the consortia
- More technical general presentation on developers stage (introduction but certain details about arch): Jan 28th, 17:00
- Two training workshops ("Developing your first application using FI-WARE", parts I and II): Jan 29 and 30, 16:45 - 18:45
- Round table on "M2M, Smart Cities and FI-WARE" (probably we will retitle to be "IoT, Smart Cities and FI-WARE"): Jan 29, 17:00
- Round table on "FI-LAB: Building a true open innovation ecosystem": Jan 30, 11:15
- Speech on "FI-WARE applied in Robotics": Feb 1st, 15:45
  - made by Angel Hernández
- Jan 31 is mostly devoted to evaluation of finalists of the challenges
- Prizes to FI-WARE challenge finalists to be given on February 1st.

No hackathon will be run. We will be there to provide support to finalists of the 1st FI-WARE Challenges and training for developers.

The second round of FI-WARE Challenges will be announced at the beginning of the CPBR to stimulate attendance of developers to the workshops.

A multidisciplinary representation of the FI-WARE team is required as mentioned a couple of times before. So far, the following partners have confirmed:

- TID: Carlos Ralli (IoT), Fermín Galán and Paco Romero (Data), Fernando López (Cloud)
- URJC/Naveatec: several developers aiming to provide support to the Media stream processing GEi
- UPM: development team of Javier Soriano (Store/BusinessFramework and Wirecloud)
- UPM: development team of Juan Quemada (Cloud Portal, IdM and Access Control framework)

It would be nice that others confirm their participation or contact to deal with active support while at the CPBR.

Futura Networks and Ogilvy have been asked to send a summary with info about stand, communication material, etc.

## Other dissemination and Community building activities

Confirmed presence in CeBIT. There will be a full dedicated day in the program to the Future Internet PPP which will be sponsored by FI-Content2 and FI-WARE.

While in Brasil, there will a high-level meeting between the Brasil government and the EC to discuss opportunities about expansion of the FI-PPP initiative to Brasil.

## Delivery schedule

Update on 20/Jan

\* **Architecture** announced and links created

- WPs report work ongoing
- But the truth is that we cannot see work under "Recent changes"

\* **Open Specs** - links to be created urgently (and schedule to be sent)

No complaints - we will send the guidelines and we will create the links

\* **3rd party innovation** - WP6/WP7 send contributions, the others acted as usual (no contribution)

- Thierry spots one difference between WP7 and the original model (a table with extended details of the GEIs). We like it and we will keep it but putting it in an annex. **AP**: to send this to the WPL/WPA lists

- Apart from WP6 and WP7 no one has meet the deadline for the 3rd time. No apparent reason.

\* **Roadmap**: not a big deal of activity: have the WPL instructed their people ??

- **AP**: the coordination will send a reminder to the "fiware" general list

\* **State of the art**: no news

=====

**ARQ (ALL) & Open Specs (partners voluntarily not extending activities or closing on M33)**

=====

**The schedules will be sent to the general mailing list once the placeholders are there**

**Where**: Private wiki - placeholder links links to be created shortly

Juanjo will send the list of GEIs with the status in relation with the closures requested by the EC

The following schedule applies to Architecture for all partners.

Applicable to Open Specs for those who do not extend their activities. And those who will be closed in M33 by the EC. Partners in any of these two cases.

24/Jan/2014 - First draft of contributions by chapter ready for peer review  
 - edition in PRIVATE WIKI  
 - WPL to generate word doc for review  
 27/Jan/2014 - .docx first version of chapter ready  
 03/Feb/2014 - Comments on first draft (.docx with traced changes)  
 07/Feb/2014 - .docx second version of chapters ready for the review of the coordinator  
 From 7 to 17, interactions with the coordinator to refine the docs.  
 17/Feb/2014 - Final .docx version ready for delivery + delivery to the EC  
 24/Feb/2014 - Public wiki updated 100% with new architecture & Open Specs contents

WP9 express that they would work a bit behind this schedule. Juanjo asks for a version with no changes if needed. Maybe we can add things in parallel with the peer reviews or the coordinator's review. We could even add changes to the wiki afterwards, even if they do not go to the formal deliverable.

SAP claims that this schedule could made shorter because there should not be major changes. The proposal would to make the first milestone earlier (let's say end of this week) and close the peer-reviews by end of this month.

Round table:

- Apps - End of this week should be ok (or Monday next week also ok → reviews?)
- Cloud [Alex]: seems that the schedule might be shortened a bit, but delivering by the end of January doesn't seem to be feasible (assuming that peer review needs to be conducted), so the proposed schedule seems reasonable.
- IoT - Not present
- Data- Three new Generic Enablers (Kurento -- URJC, Unstructured Data Analysis -- ATOS, Context Broker Semantic Extension -- ORANGE). It's not likely to be finished (including internal reviews) much before the current deadline. Current planning is OK.
- I2ND [Pier]: proposed schedule is preferable, however an anticipation of (max) one week would be possible
- Security - Delay (i.e., keep the proposed calendar by TID if we are going to introduce description of how cross-chapter functionality has impacted the architecture). Suggest to organize promptly a dedicated audio conference chaired by CA with WPAs in order to discuss major changes in the direction of cross-chapter functionalities announced vs implemented. Overall we need to be clear on the changes reported in this last deliverable on FI-WARE Architecture (including final polish we have to for such a final deliverable)
- Tools - the chapter is working for the delivery of D9.3.3 and D9.4.3 by the end of Jan (M33) and it would be difficult to add in parallel also the update of the architecture. Tools chapter can provide the architecture updates by Feb 7th (Feb 10th)
- Miwi - not present

Based on the different inputs and the fact that the Architecture is a single deliverable, the schedule proposed by TID will be put in place. **AP** on Juanjo to setup a confcall as soon as possible, trigger the discussion earlier.

Regarding deliverables linked to Open Specs

### **Open Specs (just for partners extending activities up to M40)**

=====

**Where:** Private wiki - placeholder links to be created shortly  
Juanjo will send the list of GEs with the status in relation with the closures requested by the EC

It applies just to those partner whose developments were not stopped by the EC **AND** those who are staying until M40 (both conditions must be met)

14/Mar/2014 - First draft of contributions by chapter ready for peer review

- edition in PRIVATE WIKI

- Generate word doc for review

17/Mar/2014 - .docx first version of chapter ready

24/Mar/2014 - Comments on first draft (.docx with traced changes)

31/Mar/2014 - .docx second version of chapters ready for the review of the coordinator

From 31/Mar to 28/April, interactions with the coordinator to refine the docs. (note the that Easter is in this interval)

28/Apr/2014 - Final .docx version ready for delivery + delivery to the EC

5/May/2014 - Public wiki updated 100% with new architecture & Open Specs contents

### **3rd party (D2.5.2)**

=====

Directly on word

No one has contributed anything apart from WP6.

In previous meetings and in response to a question from Markus, each chapter decides what to send. There isn't a centralised "theme" at present. After a bit of discussion, we decided to consider the suggestions from Markus and incorporate all or part of them to the TOC, following a uniform layout.

Makus proposed a number of topics that could be added to the current text per chapter.

**These are not sections names, just thing to take into account .** The suggestion by Markus was:

- **1. Architecture Changes:** Description of changes in Architecture during reporting period that helped somehow the uptake/enabement of 3<sup>rd</sup> parties.
- **2. Licenses/T&C Status:** Diagram/Table showing overview of all WP3 license software assets and T+C info open specs (like in M24 review) and text explaining this
- **3. FI-WARE Catalog & FI-LAB / Testbedas Status:** Diagram/Table showing current state of availability in FI-WARE Catalog and FI-LAB (BUT: Two columns, one is "submitted for approval / available for publication", the other column "approved and

published” à because current pipeline status would otherwise work against WP3 interests

- **4. Software Releases Status:** Exec Summary-like short overview of Software Releases 2 and 3 (as in the reporting period)
- **5. Example success stories:** how the WP3 e.g. for some UC enabled them to work...(optional, or leave out here and refer to reports on UC successes reported somewhere in other deliverables?).

Ideas?

17/01/2013 - new and final deadline to send contributions

### **Roadmap (D2.4.3)**

=====

Directly on public wiki.

Deadline: 21/January

When a feature is not going to be developed (stopped or not) we do not delete them and put a standard sentence:

- “These features will be considered in coming releases but not in R3.3 ”

### **State of the art (D2.6.3)**

=====

Due on M36 - only change: executive summary per chapter + changes if strictly necessary  
Directly on word, no wiki here.

Deadline to send contributions from WPL to the coordinator: March,14

AP1 - Juanjo to send list of GEs and status

AP2 - Coordination to create links in private wiki and send announcement

AP3 - WPL to coordinate internally and create finer grain links in the placeholders

## **Delivery of FI-WARE Third Release**

Manuel and Miguel will have an internal meeting tomorrow to coordinate between themselves and start the reviews. Just one GE from WP6 partially approved on the grounds of an urgent need from a UC

The reviews will be tracked on:



- [https://docs.google.com/spreadsheet/ccc?key=0AqLSWp0KXaaDdGZ0ekFhREgyMFdoT1owZ1BYdFlnbGc&usp=doclist\\_api#gid=0](https://docs.google.com/spreadsheet/ccc?key=0AqLSWp0KXaaDdGZ0ekFhREgyMFdoT1owZ1BYdFlnbGc&usp=doclist_api#gid=0)

Round table on 20/01/2014

- **Apps** Markus sent on Tuesday a confirmation of a subset of GEs ready
  - submitted to Miguel for approval R3.2:- Marketplace GE (,Repository GE, Registry GE), Mediator, RS, Store
  - will be released for approval to Miguel soon: BEMES (BM + BC): tomorrow
  - No status update received: Composition Editor and Execution Engines (App Mashup, Light Semantic Composition)
- **Cloud** - no news, just a query from Alex. A partner (Inria) causing serious concerns
  - In principle, no R3.2
- **IoT** - Thierry sent an update last week
- **Data** - updated today. Docs for Orion, CAP, CEP, CDVA, MdPP, LOCS, MQB released for review. Sw packages sent: Orion, CAP, PubSubSemExt, CEP, CDVA, LOCS, MeQB, Kurento.
  - One GE (Kurento) nearly approved.
- **I2ND** - WPL review on going on currently available pages, ready to be sent to Miguel tomorrow
- **Security** - no news. And not present in the call.

Update provided by WPL after this Call: Content has been provided on the Private Wiki for the GEs for R3.2 this with the exception of IdM GEi DT which content was still missing by check of last Friday (performed by WPL).

NB: Installation and Administration Guide are not due for GEs delivered as a service (aka IdM GE NSN, DT) and Malware Detection System (from INRIA).

- **MIWI** - list of ready GEi will be sent today.

=====

**Reminder:** deliverables are planned for End of January ul Software R3.2 +IAG, UTP & UPG

Reviews will start immediately

**Thierry** - Open question: do we have to provide some comments regarding packaging?

**Status:**

- **Apps** - need to assess the situation. UPM seems the only ones who have internally delivered
- **Cloud:** ask not to deliver R3.2 as they will go for R3.3 directly
- **IoT:** not in a good shape, some docs are always missing. Thierry will send an email

on Tuesday morning (14th of January) to provide the status

- **Data:** all the GEs have delivered. Internal WP review still pending. At least one or two GEs have to change content. I would expect end of this week (depending on GE owners promptness) to have it ready for review.
- **I2ND:** most of docs available, Pier needs to complete their review. First ones will be made available for Miguel's review by tomorrow. Question: shall we use the shared sheet (R3.2 Review Status [https://docs.google.com/spreadsheet/ccc?key=0AqLSWp0KXaaDdGZ0ekFhREgyMFdoT1owZ1BYdFlnbGc&usp=docslist\\_api#gid=0](https://docs.google.com/spreadsheet/ccc?key=0AqLSWp0KXaaDdGZ0ekFhREgyMFdoT1owZ1BYdFlnbGc&usp=docslist_api#gid=0) ) to keep track of the status of pages?
- **Security:** check done at last Friday audio. Pending IDM GEi (One-IDM, DigitalSelf from NSN and GCP from DT). Reminder sent today to both NSN & DT to upload their content. The rest has been uploaded and is under completion. I'm here referring to SecMon GE/Viz features, Privacy GE, CBS Opt GE and Malware Detection Service (Op GE). The following GEs One-IDM, DigitalSelf, GCP and Malware Detection Service requested to stop at the end of R3.2 (and being delivered as a Service will not have Installation and Administration manual). With respect to [https://docs.google.com/spreadsheet/ccc?key=0AqLSWp0KXaaDdGZ0ekFhREgyMFdoT1owZ1BYdFlnbGc&usp=docslist\\_api#gid=0](https://docs.google.com/spreadsheet/ccc?key=0AqLSWp0KXaaDdGZ0ekFhREgyMFdoT1owZ1BYdFlnbGc&usp=docslist_api#gid=0) it appears from this google spreadsheet should removed the SecMon GE features not planned to be delivered for R3.2 (most except the Viz one ) also the SSS GE as indicated not planned to be released for R3 (since released at R2 even if lately)
- **Tools** - The tools that finished the development at end of december '13 (as requested by the EC) are included in D9.3.3 and D9.3.4 that are going to be delivered at M33. The other tools that will continue to evolve (mainly Catalogue, eLearning platform) are included in D9.1.4 and delivered by M36. All the public downloads will be available from the Files section of *Tools* project in the forge (as done for the previous versions); The only one (Trace Analyzer) that is restricted to the programme will be uploaded into the Files section of *FI-WARE PPP Restricted* project.
- **MiWi - not present**

**AP** Miguel to send an update by e-mail urgently. In principle, those whose final delivery is R3.2 would deliver now and those who will deliver R3.3 can do so later (end of March). Of course, someone in R3.3 may decide to formally deliver R3.2. But we will confirm.

**AP** to clarify the versioning. Part of the partners do not see as a good practice to delete R2 (files + manuals). Put somewhere that we do not support old releases. We will see what to do.

## WP10 status

Deployment:

[https://forge.fi-ware.eu/plugins/mediawiki/wiki/testbed/index.php/Testbed\\_V3\\_Implementation\\_Cockpit](https://forge.fi-ware.eu/plugins/mediawiki/wiki/testbed/index.php/Testbed_V3_Implementation_Cockpit)

Thierry: should we have a column for FI-LAB? We need to have this somewhere

Alex: expresses concerns that they are seriously hindered in the integration if they cannot get

few hosts for their internal work (integration between Cloud GEs). This could need contingency plans if the situation does not get resolved soon.

Integration Plan:

[https://forge.fi-ware.eu/plugins/mediawiki/wiki/testbed/index.php/Testbed\\_V3\\_Integration\\_Plan](https://forge.fi-ware.eu/plugins/mediawiki/wiki/testbed/index.php/Testbed_V3_Integration_Plan)

D10.3.2 Integration Plan delivered on 2013-11-30

D10.4.2 Integration Report delivered on 2013-11-30

## FI-WARE Agile practice

2014-01-20

### -> Deliverable:

→ Pending last submission - **due end of December**

- missing updates yet
  - Apps (>30 -> 31 items), Cloud (>50 -> 45), IoT (46 -> 40),
  - Data (35 -> 23), I2nd (>70 -> 42), Sec(7 -> 4), MiWi (>40 -> 27)
- missing in MiWi:
  - **GEI's names and Product Owners**
  - Christof: Sorry, will send an official info with all data today.

### -> Backlog content - HOT TOPIC = M33 DELIVERY

Apps(38 Items -> 38) - remains - **7 GEIs with NO content**

- Nothing ( Business Calculator-iMinds, Business modeler-iMinds, Compel-ATOS, Marketplace-SAP, Registry-SAP, Repository-SAP )
- Content (Wirecloud, Mediator, RSSS, WStore)
- **Markus? - are those GEI's no delivering?**

Cloud(9 items -> 10 ) - **4 GEIs with NO content**

- Nothing (Cloud Proxy - Tech, Resource Manager - IBM, Object Storage- Intel, Pegasus - TID,
- Content (CloudEdgePass - Thales (1), JobScheduler-Inria(3), TID-Monitoring(1), Scalability Manager(1), Cloud Portal (1), Sagitta (2)
- **Alex: Delivering in R3.3 - Not in R3.2**

IoT(4 items -> 6) - **8 GEIs with NO content**

- Nothing (IDAS-TID, Backend IoT Broker-NEC, Sol/CEP-Atos, IoTGateway-Ericsson, COAP (who?) - EPGE(who?), ZPA-TI , Gateway Security)
- Content(IoTDiscovery- Surrey, Esper4FastData-Orange, )
- **Thierry? - aren't those GEI's delivering?**

Data(27 items -> 25) - **3 GEIs with NO content**

- Nothing( IBM Proactive Technology, Context Awareness Platform - TI, Unstructured Data Analysis - Atos)
- Content( seems OK)
- **Sergio? Are some enablers non delivering?**

I2nd(37 items -> 44 items) - **5 GEIs with NO content**

- Nothing(Cloud Edge-Tech, API Mediation-Orange, S3C-MMS-DT S3C-Open EPC-DT, S3C-SMS-Orange)
- Content(A-CDI-Intel, NetIC-Altoclient-AL, NetIC-OFNIC- UniRoma, NETIC-AL)
- **Pier? Are those enablers not delivering?**
  - Pier: 4 out of 5 of those GEIs are components of the same GEI (i.e. S3C), and three of them will not provide updates, as they have been completed in past Release.List of them: API Mediation-Orange, S3C-SMS-Orange, S3C-MMS-DT (this latter is same as S3C-SMS-Orange, it is not a different component)

Security(11 items) - **Most of them with NO content**

- Nothing(All others-18? )
- Content(OSE-CBS-Thales, SM-Visualization Framework-Thales, DBA-SAP)

Miwi(0 items) - **ALL (13 GEIs) with NO content**

- Nothing
- Christof: roadmap is in public wiki and quite up to date for R3.3 but not linked from the roadmap page. Will add the link if this is OK.

[https://forge.fi-ware.eu/plugins/mediawiki/wiki/fiware/index.php/Roadmap\\_of\\_Advanced\\_Middleware\\_and\\_Web\\_UI](https://forge.fi-ware.eu/plugins/mediawiki/wiki/fiware/index.php/Roadmap_of_Advanced_Middleware_and_Web_UI)

## -> Backlog Content - SPRINT PLANNING

- Sprint 3.3.1 planning closed last Friday (day 10.Jan)-> extended because of holidays
- Chapters have content scheduled in the backlogs but...

Apps(74 Items->46),

(Business Modeler = 0, Registry = 0, Repository = 0)

Cloud(52 Items -> 67),

(Cloud Proxy = 0, Job Scheduler = 0, Scalability Manager = 0)

IoT(45 Items -> 47),

(Sol/CEP = 0, Gateway Data Handling = 0, Ericsson IoT Gateway = 0, EPGCE = 0, Gateway Security =0)

Data(75 Items->86),

(LOCS-Thales = 0)

I2nd(65 Items->98),

(API Mediation = 0, S3C-MMS = 0, S3C - Open EPC = 0, S3C-SMS = 0)

Pier: **Only S3C - Open EPC** should have features under execution. The others have been completed in past Release.

Security(42 Items -> 43),

(IDM-GCP =0, IDM-KeyRock = 0, One-IDM = 0, Flowoid = 0, Morphus = 0, Secure Storage Service = 0, 6 Low Fuzzer = 0, Attack Paths Engine = 0, Multiattack paths engine = 0, Ovaldroid = 0, Remediation = 0, Scored Attach = 0)

Miwi(33-> 34) - 7 GEI

2D-UI = 0, 3D-UI = 0, Augmented reality = 0, Cloud Rendering = 0, Display as a Service = 0, GIS Data Provider = 0, Interface Designer = 0

-> JIRA Migration

- Progress
  - text migration done this week - almost ok
  - url assigned : <http://jira.fi-ware.eu> - one
  - fixing how to leave atlassian instance in read-only mode - working

=====

### **Relevant background from previous meetings:**

Following was the analysis of deliverables rejected that have to do with FI-WARE Agile performance:

- D2.1.3 - FI-WARE Requirements Backlog (not submitted, no re-submission required)
- D2.1.4 - FI-WARE Requirements Backlog (no re-submission required)
- D2.1.5 - FI-WARE Requirements Backlog (no re-submission required)

This is a sign that we have to be more serious with description of the backlog. We insist once more: looking at the backlog of each chapter in its tracker within a given month (matching a Sprint) it would be feasible to understand what every company in that chapter is doing. You should track all kind of activities through WorkItems as well as development activities (development of user-stories or at least refinement of Epics and Features).

Note that the next (and theoretically last) release of this deliverable is end of this month ! (with an extension of 4 months to the project, it may lead to definition of an additional release of the deliverable, but we should definitively be able to address this in this release)

At the confcall, Manuel reported that a lot of efforts have been done so that the new release of it will be reasonable better but still there is space for enhancement. At least we will be able to show that things have improved.

The deliverable to be submitted will incorporate sections to describe how their comments have been addressed and how the pending “bugs” have been fixed. We will also provide analysis, based on several graphic tools developed by Manuel, about how the backlog has evolved over time to make it visible the effort that we have made.

Alex: it is important to present the analysis that can be derived from the tools in a positive way. Agree.

**AP** on all WPLs to contribute and cooperate in this effort until the end of the month.

Work should not finish by end of this month but continue until the very end minor releae of release 3 ends. We will see whether this will lead to delivery of additional formal submissions of the backlog deliverable to the EC.

- D2.4.2 - FI-WARE Technical Roadmap (no re-submission required)

Still analyzing the comments. However, my view on this is that the reviewers don't criticize the approach but point out several aspects in which there is actually a space of improvement:

- The Technical Roadmap ends referring to the backlog, so that every weakness in the backlog propagates to the roadmap. In particular, there is a lack of detailed description for some features of several GEIs (they are too high-level or vague) including non-functional features (e.g., it is stated that "mass provisioning of users" should be supported, but no target values are provided). There are also some inconsistencies found which has been reported by the reviewers.
- Correlation with demands from UC projects. This proved to be unimplementable with phase 1 projects, but should improve in phase 2 with the implementation of the JIRA projects for each of the FI-WARE GEI. We may require UC projects to provide feedback through JIRA and, if not provided, at least we would have a justification for the lack of traceability with respect to requirements by UC projects (hopefully it won't be the case but UC projects will actually provide their feedback).
- Cross-chapter Epic/Features and cross-GEIs Epic/Features within a chapter should be captured

Next release of this deliverable is in month 33 so we have time to incorporate evidence about how feedback from UC projects in phase 2 has been taken into account.

Thierry asked about documenting the interaction with UC projects. This is Ok but it is not strictly related to the Technical Roadmap but would be helpful for generating the new releases of the Validation deliverable.

**AP** on sending a proposal about how to track record of interaction with the UC projects (mailbox suggested for that purpose). We will see afterwards if we would use it and how to use it.

## Training

Check status of action point:

Juanjo suggested to develop a dashboard to follow-up progress on training. ( **AP** on Davide to prepare that and **AP** on Davide to re-send guidelines to [fiware@lists.fi-ware.eu](mailto:fiware@lists.fi-ware.eu)). It should be done in a way that it can later be incorporated in the FI-PPP cockpit on FI-WARE GEI planned usage and General Information.

## Campus Party Brazil

Brief update on current activities, schedule and role of FI-WARE.

## Other dissemination and Community building activities

Confirmed presence in CeBIT.

### FI-LAB and FI-PPP Testbed.

Juanjo sent an e-mail in the past with the requirements to go public on FI-LAB:

- Documentation must be ok
- Catalogue must be ok
- Images/Blueprints available (with exceptions, identified and listed in his e-mail)
- Training material available

We may try to create materials/tutorials explaining this

The hardware we expect from Red.es has got delayed by months.

- Seville: The devices are installed on Seville now but they need power supply and network connection (this will take us to the end of the month at least)
- Málaga: The devices are there but they need engineering works (dig and physically install tubes on the street). This will take months.

The hardware we have is at the limit of its capacity. We will get contingency servers from Red.es for Campus Party Brazil. These servers are powered now and we need to fully incorporate them to FI-LAB: connect them to the network, install the OS, add the to Open Stack etc. They will be removed as soon as thje Campu Party finishes.

### Project Extension

In an informal context Arian replied positively to all questions from Juanjo. But he failed to provide a formal answer later. Juanjo proposes a doodle vote assuming that the answer is positive and if the final decision is to extend, propose Arian the extension under these conditions.

SAP feels that they need more info to be able to vote positively. (Note from Markus: SAP has voted with the “do not object” (option 3) now shortly after M30 review date.)

## 6. Reference documentation

- Planned usage of FI-WARE GEIs by UC projects (phase 1 of the FI-PPP):
  - <https://docs.google.com/spreadsheet/ccc?key=0AqGGeaQGro3fdEd6bGhLQWtNai1jeGN5UnJMeEdxZ0E#gid=0>