

## The Title of the Article Should Convey a Sense of Sophistication and High Academic Rigor (Palatino Linotype 14)

Author's Name (Palatino Linotype 12) <sup>a\*</sup>, Author's Name<sup>a</sup> & Author's Name<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Authors' Institution (Palatino Linotype 10)

<sup>b</sup> Authors' Institution

\*The email address of the corresponding author

### Abstract (Palatino Linotype 12)

The abstract should consist of approximately 200–250 words and provide a concise yet comprehensive overview of the study. It must clearly outline the central academic problem addressed, the research objectives, the theoretical framework, and the methodological approach employed. The abstract should also briefly present the principal findings of the research and highlight their key theoretical and/or practical implications. In particular, authors are encouraged to emphasize how the findings contribute to the development of scholarly discourse on Islamic legal thought within the specific context examined. The abstract should focus on the most salient issues explored in the study and clearly communicate the originality and academic significance of the research, enabling readers to quickly grasp its purpose, approach, and contribution. (Palatino Linotype 12)

### Keywords

First Keyword;  
Second Keyword;  
Third Keyword;  
Fourth Keyword;  
Fifth Keyword

\*Received:

xx-xx-20xx

\*Revised:

xx-xx-20xx

\*accepted:

xx-xx-20xx

### Introduction (Palatino Linotype 12)

The introduction should provide a clear, logical, and accessible foundation for the study. Authors are expected to clearly explain the importance of the chosen research topic by demonstrating its academic relevance, theoretical contribution, and significance within contemporary scholarly discussions. This opening paragraph should immediately orient readers to the core issue and establish the intellectual context of the study. Palatino Linotype 12

*(Formatting note: the first paragraph of the Introduction should start flush left, without indentation.)*

The selection of the research object must then be clearly justified. Authors should explain why this particular object, case, or context is appropriate and meaningful for investigating the research topic. The justification should emphasize

the object's theoretical relevance and empirical value, showing how it contributes to a deeper understanding of the issue under study rather than serving merely as a descriptive example.

*(Formatting note: beginning with the second paragraph, all subsequent paragraphs should be indented using a 1 cm tab.)*

Furthermore, the introduction should engage with relevant previous studies and scholarly literature, particularly those published in reputable international journals. Authors are encouraged to synthesize and critically discuss key findings, dominant perspectives, and ongoing scholarly debates related to both the main topic and the research object. This discussion should lead to a clear identification of research gaps, theoretical limitations, or unresolved empirical issues that the present study seeks to address.

Finally, the introduction must explicitly state the academic problem underlying the study, followed by clearly formulated research question(s) and well-defined research objectives. These components should be logically interconnected and aligned with the identified research gap, ensuring that the overall argument of the article is coherent, focused, and easy to follow.

## **Method (Palatino Linotype 12)**

The Method section should clearly and systematically explain how the study was conducted, enabling readers to understand, evaluate, and potentially replicate the research. Authors are required to explicitly state the research design and approach adopted in the study (e.g., qualitative, quantitative, mixed methods, or conceptual), along with a clear justification for why this approach is appropriate for addressing the research problem and objectives.

Authors must also describe the research setting, object, or participants in sufficient detail. This includes explaining the criteria for selecting the research object, data sources, or study context, as well as clarifying its relevance to the research questions. Such descriptions should demonstrate how the chosen object or context meaningfully supports the aims of the study rather than serving as a convenient or incidental case.

Furthermore, the Method section should clearly outline the procedures for data collection. Authors should specify the techniques used (such as interviews, observations, document analysis, surveys, or archival research), the instruments employed, and the steps taken to ensure data credibility, validity, and reliability, where applicable. For qualitative studies, strategies such as triangulation, member checking, or prolonged engagement should be explained when relevant.

In addition, authors are expected to describe the data analysis procedures in a transparent and systematic manner. This includes explaining how the data were processed, coded, analyzed, or interpreted, as well as identifying any analytical frameworks or models used. The explanation should demonstrate a clear logical connection between the collected data and the findings presented in the study.

Finally, the Method section should address ethical considerations where relevant, including informed consent, confidentiality, and responsible data handling. Overall, this section must be written clearly and coherently, ensuring that methodological choices are logically aligned with the research questions, objectives, and overall argument of the article.

### **Results and Discussion (Palatino Linotype 12)**

The Results and Discussion section should present the research findings and their interpretation in an integrated, systematic, and analytically rigorous manner. Authors are expected to report their results with clarity and precision, while simultaneously providing critical analysis that situates these findings within a broader scholarly and theoretical context. This integrated approach is intended to move beyond descriptive reporting and to demonstrate the substantive academic contribution of the study.

When a study addresses multiple research questions, this section must be carefully organized to ensure that each question is explicitly and adequately addressed in direct relation to the stated academic problem and research objectives. To maintain analytical focus and coherence, authors should structure the Results and Discussion into clearly defined thematic or analytical subsections, with a maximum of five (5) sections. Each subsection should represent a coherent analytical unit that meaningfully advances the overall argument of the article.

Research findings should be presented in a clear, logical, and well-ordered manner. Authors are encouraged to use tables, figures, and other relevant visual materials where appropriate to clarify patterns, relationships, or key insights emerging from the data. All visual elements must be clearly labeled, consistently formatted, and explicitly referenced within the text, and they should be placed as close as possible to the corresponding discussion to enhance readability and comprehension.

The discussion component should provide a critical and in-depth engagement with the findings by relating them to relevant theoretical frameworks and existing

scholarly literature, particularly studies published in reputable international journals. Authors are expected to demonstrate how their findings align with, extend, refine, or challenge existing research and theoretical assumptions. This comparative engagement should clearly highlight the study's contribution to ongoing academic debates rather than merely restating previous conclusions.

Furthermore, authors are encouraged to elaborate on the theoretical and/or practical implications of their findings, especially with regard to the development and contemporary articulation of Islamic legal thought. This may include implications for conceptual development, policy formulation, institutional practice, or methodological innovation. The section should also identify unresolved issues or emerging questions that warrant further scholarly investigation, thereby opening pathways for future research and contributing to the cumulative advancement of knowledge.

### **Word Limit**

*The total length of the manuscript must range from a minimum of 4,500 words to a maximum of 7,500 words, covering all sections of the article from the Introduction to the Conclusion, with references excluded from the word count.*

**Table 1.** Your Text (centered).

| No. | Theme     | Description |
|-----|-----------|-------------|
| 1   | Your text | Your text   |
| 2   | Your text | Your text   |
| 3   | Your text | Your text   |

**Figure 1.** Your Text (centered).



*Source: Your text*

## Conclusion

The Conclusion section should comprise at least two essential components. First, authors are expected to present a concise and integrative synthesis of the research findings, drawing directly from the Results and Discussion sections. This synthesis should highlight the study's main arguments, key insights, and principal academic contributions, clearly demonstrating how the research has addressed the stated objectives and research questions.

Second, authors must provide a critical reflection on the limitations and weaknesses of the study. This reflection should be presented analytically rather than defensively, acknowledging methodological, theoretical, or contextual constraints that may affect the interpretation or generalizability of the findings. Based on this critical evaluation, authors are encouraged to offer well-founded scholarly recommendations and to outline potential directions for future research. These suggestions should indicate how subsequent studies might refine, extend, or deepen the present findings, thereby contributing to the continued development of the field.

## Acknowledgement

Authors may acknowledge individuals, institutions, or organizations that have provided academic, technical, financial, or institutional support for the research. This section should be limited to contributors who offered meaningful assistance to the study but do not meet the criteria for authorship. Any form of support acknowledged should be directly related to the completion of the research or the preparation of the manuscript.

## Disclosure Statement

Authors are required to fully disclose any potential conflicts of interest that may be associated with the research. This includes, but is not limited to, financial interests, institutional affiliations, personal relationships, or any involvement of third parties that could influence, or be perceived to influence, the objectivity, interpretation, or presentation of the research findings. Full and transparent disclosure is essential to uphold academic integrity, ensure ethical publishing practices, and maintain the trust of readers and reviewers.

## Bibliography and Citation

Authors are required to manage all citations and references in strict accordance with the **Chicago Manual of Style, 17th edition (author–date)**. All sources cited in the text must be included in the bibliography, and the bibliography should contain only

works that are explicitly referenced in the manuscript. References must be arranged alphabetically by the authors' surnames and formatted consistently throughout the article to ensure clarity, accuracy, and editorial uniformity.

Authors are expected to apply the chosen citation style accurately and consistently in both in-text citations and the bibliography. Inaccurate, inconsistent, or incomplete referencing may result in the manuscript being returned for revision prior to the peer-review process, as proper citation is a fundamental component of academic rigor and ethical scholarship.

In addition, authors are required to prioritize up-to-date and high-quality scholarly sources. References should predominantly consist of journal articles published within the last ten (10) years to ensure engagement with current academic debates and recent developments in the field. Sources should be drawn from reputable peer-reviewed journals, with a minimum standard of SINTA 5 or higher, as well as internationally indexed journals where relevant. The use of outdated, non-academic, or non-peer-reviewed sources should be limited and must be clearly justified when included.

Overall, careful attention to citation accuracy, source quality, and bibliographic consistency is essential to maintaining academic integrity, supporting the credibility of the research, and ensuring the manuscript meets the editorial standards of the journal.

## **Bibliography**

About El Fadl, Khaled. 2004. 'Islam and the Challenge of Democracy'. *The Guardian*, March 25.

Al-Shāfi'ī, Muhammad Bin Idrīs. 2005. *Al-Risālah*. Maktabah Al-Turāth.

An-Na'im, Abdullahi Ahmed. 2002. 'Islamic Law, International Relations, and Human Rights'. Paper presented at The Annual Meeting of the American Society of International Law, Washington, DC. March 27.

Fadel, Mohammad. 1998. 'Public Reason as a Strategy for Principled Reconciliation: The Case of Islamic Law and International Human Rights Law'. Dissertation, University of Chicago.

Feldman, Noah. 2008. 'Why Shariah?' *The New York Times Magazine*, March 16.

Hallaq, Wael B. 2001. *Authority, Continuity and Change in Islamic Law*. Cambridge University Press.

Prihantoro, Hijrian Angga. 2025. 'Interfaith *Maqāṣid* as Religious Value Syncretism: The Case of the Nyadran Ritual in Getas Village, Indonesia'. *Islam and Christian-Muslim Relations* 36 (1): 19–45.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/09596410.2025.2506033>.

Schacht, Joseph. 1974. 'Law and Justice'. In *The Legacy of Islam*, edited by Joseph Schacht and C. E. Bosworth. Oxford University Press.