

Election Voting System Agreement

Now suggested as an agreement because the Moderation didn't want to allow it to be voted on as an amendment until it is too late.

Instead of using FPTP for an election with multiple winners. Where this could split a parties share of seats if they have too many candidates, they may lose out on them. Which is less than optimal, I suggest using a electoral list proportional method electing based on percentage of the vote and not absolute numbers. Let's say there are 5 seats, that means if a list gets 20-39%, they get a seat. If there are still seats over and only lists below 20% remain, the remaining seats will go to the lists with largest fraction (closest to nearest 20% (20, 40...)). So List 4 with 16% gets the 4th seat and the 5th seat goes to List 1 which had 34% (6% to nearest 20%).

- 1. The Legislature will be elected in the first election by using the Largest remainder proportional method using the Hare quota with candidates winning getting seats until all positions are filled.
- 2. The Executive Ministry will be elected in the first election by using the Largest remainder proportional method using the Hare quota with candidates winning getting seats until all positions are filled.
- 3. For both these elections, the following applies:
 - a. To take part in the election as a candidate, there must be an electoral list with your name on it. Anyone can submit a electoral list to the Moderation. The list will have candidates ranked with numbers, seats will be awarded from top to bottom according to the list.
 - i. The electoral list will only be allowed to take part in the election if the top 3 (if there is 3 or more, else however many names are on it) names on it confirms their participation in that particular list. The Moderation have a duty to ask candidates confirmation.

Signed by

RB33

.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....