Your name: Jake Gyles
Hyperlink to the draft under review: Veronica's QRG

Audience

How effectively do you feel this draft is constructed and composed to be not just informative but FUN TO READ, LISTEN TO OR WATCH, on a scale of 1 to 10? Try to keep in mind the kind of reader the subject matter would attract.

1--------2----------3----------4----------5---------6----------7----------8---------9----------10

Totally ineffective	Moderately effective	Extremely effective

If you give a score **higher than 5** and you cannot cite at least **THREE specific details** from the draft to justify that score, I'm going to **deduct one point from YOUR peer review grade** for Deadline 4. If you give a score **lower than 5** and can cite **TWO specific things** the writer needs to work on for this category, I'll award you **an extra point towards YOUR peer review grade** for Deadline 4. I **reserve the right** not to award points for under-explained or banal feedback.

Your rating for audience: 7
Please explain the reason for your score in at least 3 to 5 clear sentences. Cite specific details from the rough draft to explain your score:

I felt that this QRG was extremely informative and kept my attention throughout the entire article. The description throughout the essay was incredible, you made it feel as if I could see things you were describing (like David Daleiden) right in front of me. Another factor that made this QRG entertaining was the detail you expressed when describing certain people or Planned Parenthood itself. I've never had a firm understanding of PP, but this QRG gave me what I needed to know. The only reason I didn't rate higher than a 7 was because at some parts I was just reading a wall of text which can sometimes overwhelm the reader, especially if it starts off as a wall of text.

Purpose

How effectively do you feel this draft achieves the purpose of the assignment, on a scale of 1 to 10?

1--------2----------3----------4----------5---------6----------7----------8---------9----------10

Totally ineffective	Moderately effective	Extremely effective

If you give a score **higher than 5** and you cannot cite at least **THREE specific details** from the draft to justify that score, I'm going to **deduct one point from YOUR peer review grade** for Deadline 4. If you give a score **lower than 5** and can cite **TWO specific things** the writer needs to work on for this category, I'll award you **an extra point towards YOUR peer review grade** for Deadline 4. I **reserve the right** not to award points for under-explained or banal feedback.

Your rating for purpose: 7

Please explain the reason for your score in at least 3 to 5 clear sentences. Cite specific details from the rough draft to explain your score:

This draft absolutely achieves the purpose of this assignment. In her QRG, Veronica established both sides to the Planned Parenthood controversy. It gave the reader a view from both the pro-life and pro-choice sides, and provides information about the credibility to both. Without using any bias, Veronica was able to analyze the facts very efficiently that helped the reader understand the details of the controversy. At the end of the QRG she analyzes David Daleiden's actions, and states facts rather than opinions. I feel like Veronica effectively used the QRG to make sense of a controversy that was full of emotions, and narrows it down to the facts of who said/did what they did.

Author

How effectively do you feel this draft establishes the author's credibility and unique voice?

1--------2----------3----------4----------5---------6----------7----------8---------9----------10

Totally ineffective — Moderately effective — Extremely effective

If you give a score **higher than 5** and you cannot cite at least **THREE specific details** from the draft to justify that score, I'm going to **deduct one point from YOUR peer review grade** for Deadline 4. If you give a score **lower than 5** and can cite **TWO specific things** the writer needs to work on for this category, I'll award you **an extra point towards YOUR peer review grade** for Deadline 4. I **reserve the right** not to award points for under-explained or banal feedback.

Your rating for author: 6

Please explain the reason for your score in at least 3 to 5 clear sentences. Cite specific details from the rough draft to explain your score:

I feel that this draft establishes the author's credibility very well. The link to the actual interview shows us that she isn't making anything up, and gives us a reference to what she is discussing. The voice was shown throughout the entire essay, through the senses used. I could feel Veronica's passion in the QRG, and could tell that this subject actually means something to her. Veronica also appears to be as unbiased as possible which adds to her credibility. Planned Parenthood is such a biased topic, and I feel that she analyzed the controversy extremely well.

Context

How effectively do you feel this draft uses the genre conventions, research materials and background information to fulfill the assignment?

1--------2----------3----------4----------5---------6----------7----------8---------9----------10

Totally ineffective — Moderately effective — Extremely effective

If you give a score **higher than 5** and you cannot cite at least **THREE specific details** from the draft to justify that score, I'm going to **deduct one point from YOUR peer review grade** for Deadline 4. If you give a score **lower than 5** and can cite **TWO specific things** the writer needs to work on for this category, I'll award you **an extra point towards YOUR peer review grade** for Deadline 4. I **reserve the right** not to award points for under-explained or banal feedback.

Your rating for genre: ___6__

Please explain the reason for your score in at least 3 to 5 clear sentences. Cite specific details from the rough draft to explain your score:

I would give this a higher score, but allow me to explain why I didn't. This QRG could easily be a 10 as long as you fix a few minor details. The blank space was almost non-existent as mentioned earlier. If you break up these paragraphs by adding pictures, it will appear much smoother and cleaner. Other than that I really enjoyed the question and answer format that you made. It made understanding the controversy so much clearer, and you used this convention extremely well. The link in the beginning also provided great context to the QRG too. It was a direct research resource that brings everyone who reads this QRG to the same page, rather than not knowing what you were discussing.

Other comments?

I thought this QRG was awesome. The topic is great, and you really conveyed the controversy well. Great job overall!