

Cover Page:

- Title
- 2 images (from other outputs of both modelled artefacts)
- Exam number
- Not included in page count once no additional info or new view of photorealistic images are shown
- This page can be done at the end of the project

DCG Student Assignment Guide

Part (A):

(a) Carry out a design investigation of existing items highlighted in the brief, in graphic format. Your investigation should include an analysis of physical forms and shapes, geometry, materials, innovation, etc.

Output 1:

Page 1 & 2 (15 marks)

Design Research	Exploration of brief and presentation of existing artefacts in graphic format, using primary and secondary research.
-----------------	--

Design Research - Exploration of main design features using primary & secondary research; Selection of appropriate graphics; Effective layout and presentation of information combining images, sketches & annotations	
a) Extensive range of relevant criteria considered - excellent presentation	13 - 15
b) Most relevant criteria considered - very good presentation	10 - 12
c) Some relevant criteria considered - good presentation	7 - 9
d) Limited criteria considered - fair presentation	4 - 6
e) At least one criterion considered - poor presentation	0 - 3

- Lowest marks awarded compared to other outputs in part (a)
- Primary research
 - photos taken by student
 - sketches (original or scanned)
- Secondary research - googled sources (must be referenced on final page)
- Include Design Brief: highlight key words - possibly colour coded throughout output
- Key words from the brief are analysed - this is the guide for the entire project
- Good quality images and graphics that are all to scale
- Well thought through layout and neat annotation
- Timeline of artefact: include what has happened for artefact to come to about - developments in technology etc.
- Reflection on the brief
- Links between headings from part (a) description on brief i.e. "shape, size, material etc." - have something for "etc"
- The information on page should flow from one thing to the next
- Evidence of critical thinking
 - why is the artefact a certain shape/material/colour etc
 - how higher understanding
- Text should be to the point and explain inclusion of image (no waffle)
- Word Cloud: key words graphic - wordle.net (optional)
- Font size 11 (or whatever is legible when printed)

Output 1:

Page 3 (15 marks)

Design Feature Comparison	Select 2 images and illustrate/explain the main design features. Insert the main dimensions. Compare and contrast the main design features of both using suitable freehand sketches and other presentation techniques.
---------------------------	---

Design Feature Comparison - Selection of two appropriate images; Main dimensions inserted; Comparison of main design features; Contrasting of main design features; Effective layout and presentation of information combining images, sketches & annotations	
a) Extensive range of relevant criteria considered - excellent presentation	13 - 15
b) Most relevant criteria considered - very good presentation	10 - 12
c) Some relevant criteria considered - good presentation	7 - 9
d) Limited criteria considered - fair presentation	4 - 6
e) At least one criterion considered - poor presentation	0 - 3

- Two images of artefacts chosen from output 1, one of which you intend on using for the rest of outputs for part a. Both should have featured in output 1.
- Should be able to model one on SolidWorks
- Access to physical model
- Demonstrate critical thinking in analysis of artefacts
- Demonstrate understanding of design
- Include dimensions
- Freehand sketches (original or scanned) used effectively to show features of artefact in comparison. Sketches need to show more info about the artefact to justify inclusion
- Use arrows to demo functions/movement rather than a long text
- Image of artefact in hand or next to a coin/pen/ruler for scale
- Analyse/Compare/Contrast the following in depth: physical form (ergonomics), shape (form), colour, weight, material (durability, aesthetics), finish, texture, style (link to a time period), other features, etc

Output 2:

Page 4 (20 marks)

Freehand Graphical Representation	Choose one of the artefacts and make a detailed graphical presentation of this artefact. This should include a rendered freehand presentation quality drawing in 3D format.
-----------------------------------	---

Freehand Graphical Representation – Proportion; Form/Volume; Use of Tone/Line for effective rendering; Detailed communication of main design features to include 3D presentation quality drawing; Layout & presentation	
a) Extensive range of relevant criteria considered - excellent presentation	17 - 20
b) Most relevant criteria considered - very good presentation	13 - 16
c) Some relevant criteria considered - good presentation	9 - 12
d) Limited criteria considered - fair presentation	5 - 8
e) At least one criterion considered - poor presentation	0 - 4

- Freehand sketches (originals)
- Sketches in the corner of the key geometric shapes that make up the artefacts
- Show mechanisms or any info the main sketch does not convey. Needs to give reader a full sense of how the artefact works
- Sketches should focus on function and form
- Demo different sketching techniques (pencil, shading, rendering, etc)
- Key notes justifying inclusion of detailed sketches
- Rendering not colouring (rendering shows how light interacts with artefact)
- Sketches with and without coloured and pencil render
- Possibly leave the isometric box used to sketch artefact if it enhances the sketch
- Photocopy sketch and practice rendering on photocopies
- Sectional views
- 1 page

Output 3:

Solidworks Files (35 marks)

SolidWorks Parts, Assembly, Drawing, and eDrawing files	Generate computer model, comprising at least 5 parts, an Assembly, Drawing and an eDrawing of the selected artefact. Economy of design, design intent and the required filing structure will be considered in the marking process.	Electronic SolidWorks files
---	--	-----------------------------

SolidWorks Parts, Assembly, Drawing and eDrawing files		
• Adherence to required filing structure	4	
• Creation of a minimum of 5 Part files	2	
• Part models – Proficiency in Parametric CAD, including economy of design and design intent; Selection of most appropriate profiles; Sketches fully defined; Features renamed; Appropriate type of extrusions/end conditions used	10	
• Assembly – Creation of Assembly environment; Accuracy of parts to facilitate correct assembly; Correct mating of parts; Application of appropriate appearances	5	
• Factor of difficulty	5	
• eDrawing of CAD model	2	

- Part files (5 parts minimum in HL, 3 in OL) **Maximum of 10 parts**
- Assembly file
- Solidworks drawing files (for output 4)
- eDrawing of assembly
- Name the part files at the beginning of drawing part
- Rename all features as you work on them. If you leave this until later the assembly and drawing files will not open.
- Part sketches should have no blue lines (under defined), or red lines (over defined)
- Sketch - add relation - add dimension - fully define
- Display CAD skills by using a variety of features not creating many many parts.
- Only 5 marks for “factor of difficulty”

Output 4:

Page 5 (15 marks)

Hardcopy output from Solidworks	Detailed orthographic views Rendered pictorial view Exploded View
---------------------------------	---

Hardcopy outputs from SolidWorks - Detailed orthographic views of the selected artefact; Section/Detail views where appropriate; Rendered pictorial view of the Assembly; Exploded view of the CAD model; Inclusion of main dimensions, notes and symbols; Appropriate scaling, layout and presentation to be considered	
a) Extensive range of relevant criteria considered - excellent presentation	13 - 15
b) Most relevant criteria considered - very good presentation	10 - 12
c) Some relevant criteria considered - good presentation	7 - 9
d) Limited criteria considered - fair presentation	4 - 6
e) At least one criterion considered - poor presentation	0 - 3

- Create a drawing template
- Plan sheet in advance to convey information
- There should be a reason for selected views
- All views do not have to be to the same scale but do this if possible
- Views should be labelled
- Include 5 dimensions
- Detailed orthographic - no colour
- Rendered pictorial
- Exploded view
- Detailed views
- Section views
- All drawings to be generated from solidworks (no screen grabs)
- 1 page

Part (B):

Develop and graphically communicate a new concept design for a bedside alarm clock based on a selected theme or target market.

Output 5:

Page 6 & 7 (25 marks)

7	Graphical exploration of design solutions	Analysis of brief and graphical illustration of possible solutions. Justification for chosen solution(s) including aesthetics, functionality and environmental sustainability.
---	---	--

Graphical exploration of design solutions - Exploration of theme/possible solution(s); Justification of chosen solution(s); Use of appropriate images/graphics; Effective layout and presentation of information combining images, sketches & annotations	
a) Extensive range of relevant criteria considered - excellent presentation	21 - 25
b) Most relevant criteria considered - very good presentation	16 - 20
c) Some relevant criteria considered - good presentation	11 - 15
d) Limited criteria considered - fair presentation	6 - 10
e) At least one criterion considered - poor presentation	0 - 5

- Lowest marks awarded compared to other outputs in part (a)
- Students create a brief (mini brief, theme, impose a few constraints to be met)
- No link to part (a) design brief other than what the artefact actually is
- Analyse brief - personal theme/statement, identify problem/purpose, research (what, why, who, where)
- It's all about how the design is communicated. Does not have to be ground breaking design or be completely practical/realistic
- Moodboard/theme used to develop ideas
- Images convey inspiration and flow from there (could use shape, colour, theme etc)
- Outline of thought process in communication of solution
- "Telling a story" from brief to images to initial ideas and final idea on output 6.
- Evolution of design - pulling different aspects/ideas together (shape/theme etc) in a metamorphosis to come up with final solution
- Inspiration (features, observations, themes, images, questions posed) -> Progression of ideas (sketches, annotations, CAD, ICT) -> Development/Realisation of physical form (final solution)
- 2 pages

Output 6:

Page 8 (10 marks)

Presentation of Modification/Concept Design	Detailed graphical presentation of the design Modification/Concept Design. This should include a rendered freehand presentation quality drawing in 3D format.
---	---

Presentation of Modification/Concept Design – Proportion; Form/Volume; Use of Tone/Line for effective rendering; Detailed communication of modified/concept design features; Layout and presentation	
a) Extensive range of relevant criteria considered - excellent presentation	9 - 10
b) Most relevant criteria considered - very good presentation	7 - 8
c) Some relevant criteria considered - good presentation	5 - 6
d) Limited criteria considered - fair presentation	3 - 4
e) At least one criterion considered - poor presentation	0 - 2

- Same as Output 3
- Freehand sketches (originals)
- Show mechanisms or any info the main sketch does not convey. Needs to give reader a full sense of how the artefact works
- Sketches should focus on function and form
- Demo different sketching techniques
- Key notes justifying inclusion of detailed sketches
- Rendering not colouring (rendering shows how light interacts with artefact)
- Sketches with and without coloured render
- Possibly leave the isometric box used to sketch artefact if it enhances the sketch
- Sectional views
- Sketches in the corner of the key geometric shapes that make up the artefacts
- 1 page

Output 7:

Page 9 & 10 (25 marks)

9	Hardcopy output from Solidworks	CAD Model (Part/Assembly, Drawing & eDrawing) and associated hardcopies to include appropriately detailed orthographic, rendered pictorial and photorealistic views to communicate your chosen design.
----------	---------------------------------	--

Hardcopy outputs from SolidWorks – CAD Model; Detailed orthographic views of the proposed solution; Section/Detail views where appropriate; Rendered pictorial view of the CAD model; Photorealistic image; Inclusion of main dimensions, notes and symbols; Appropriate scaling, layout and presentation to be considered		
• Application of CAD skills	5	
a) Extensive range of relevant criteria considered - excellent presentation	17 - 20	
b) Most relevant criteria considered - very good presentation	13 - 16	
c) Some relevant criteria considered - good presentation	9 - 12	
d) Limited criteria considered - fair presentation	5 - 8	
e) At least one criterion considered - poor presentation	0 - 4	

- Page 9 - Solidworks drawing
- Page 10 - Photorealistic
- Not necessary to show exploded view
- Model as an assemble
- Detailed orthographic view with dimensions
- Rendered pictorial view - show exploded view if necessary
- eDrawing of assembly
- 1 page

Reference page:

- References websites, books etc where information was found
- Do not need url for images
- Identification symbol for primary sources
- Not included in page count