UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
COUNCIL ON STUDENT FEES

Notice of Meeting and Agenda
Levi Cannon, Chairperson
Jun Jang, Vice Chairperson

Zoom Executive Board Meeting

Participants and members of the public have the option to join remotely at:

Zoom Webinar ID: 487 438 9695
Join by URL: https://berkeley.zoom.us/j/4874389695

One tap mobile

- +12133388477,,4874389695# US (Los Angeles)
- +16692192599,,4874389695# US (San Jose)

Wednesday, February 12, 2025
6:00 pm - 7:00 pm
All times are approximate and the order of business is subject to change at the discretion of CSF.

6:00 pm *2 minutes*	**Campus Roll Call** Levi Cannon (CSF Chair) UC Berkeley - **Present** UC Davis - **Present** UC Irvine - **Absent** UC Los Angeles - **Present** UC Merced - **Present** UC Riverside - **Present** UC San Diego - **Present** UC San Francisco - **Absent** UC Santa Barbara - **Present** UC Santa Cruz - **Present** **8** out of 10 campuses in attendance, quorum established
6:02 pm *3 minutes*	**Approval of the Agenda** Jun Jang (CSF Vice Chair) Motion to approve by **Merced** Seconded by **San Diego** Unanimous consent, **motion passes**

6:05 pm *5 minutes*	**CSF Summit Discussion** Levi Cannon (CSF Chair) **Merced** ● Bit of a coin flip, there may be some interest from new members **Santa Barbara** ● Finals week ● Only 3 members staying on the committee, not a lot of interest **Riverside** ● Some interest from members if it was a little earlier in the quarter **Davis** ● Not a lot of interest
6:10 pm *5 minutes*	**UC Santa Cruz Miscellaneous Student Fee Discussion** Marshall Ibañez (UC Santa Cruz Chair) **Santa Cruz** ● Santa Cruz is looking at implementing a mandatory miscellaneous student fee - document fee for students to access things like transcripts ● Attempting to bypass the referendum process and appeal directly to the Chancellor ● A few campuses may also have this kind of fee — Berkeley, for one ● Appears to be motivated by an inability to hit the 20% threshold required by PACAOS **Chair** ● Have any campuses had a similar issue with administrators attempting to bypass the referendum process? ○ Berkeley, Irvine, Los Angeles **Davis** ● At the moment, are students not paying for documents at Santa Cruz? ○ **Santa Cruz** - at the moment, fee per document request, would change into a fee assessed quarterly
6:15 pm *10 minutes*	**Potential Amendment to CSF Standing Policy 2** Levi Cannon (CSF Chair) **Santa Barbara** ● Agrees with the amendment, ASUCSB already has a lot of lock-in fees to draw from **Los Angeles** ● USAC and GSA can request SSF funds, but as an informal policy, almost never awards funds

	Chair ● May be good to work a little flexibility into the language since no AS is majority SSF-funded ● Having student governments as Class Two may be helpful for future SFACs Motion to approve by **Los Angeles** Seconded by **Santa Cruz** Roll call vote: Berkeley - **Yes** Davis - **Abstain** Irvine - **Not present** Los Angeles - **Yes** Merced - **Yes** Riverside - **Abstain** San Diego - **Yes** San Francisco - **Not present** Santa Barbara - **Yes** Santa Cruz - **Yes** 6-2-0, **motion passes**
6:25 pm *10 minutes*	**Potential Amendment to CSF Standing Policy 6** Levi Cannon (CSF Chair) **Chair** ● Is there a University policy that already requires SFAC representation on a campus-based fee committee? ○ **Vice Chair** - No, PACAOS only requires the existence of a campus-based fee committee **Merced** ● Is this just for campus-based fees or all fees? ○ **Chair** - Campus-based fees since they're required to have committees per PACAOS **Chair** ● Are there any campus SFACs that aren't aware of what campus-based fee committees are doing? ○ **San Diego** - Not really, working to change that ○ **Los Angeles** - Setting up a framework to investigate campus-based fees, outreaching for the moment ○ **Riverside** - SFAC is primarily for SSF, shouldn't there be other committees for the other fees? ■ **Chair** - Hope is that SFAC can lend fee expertise to campus-based fee committees ● CSF Standing Policy 8 outlines how campus-based fee committees should be run, potential change to allow SFACs to audit them

	Davis ● Potential concern with conflicts of interest - if a COSAF member is put on a campus-based fee committee as a voting member, may influence their decisions on COSAF **Davis** ● Open to requiring a non-voting member/ex-officio member to minimize potential conflicts of interest **Chair** ● Would it be better to generally require a "member" rather than a non-voting or voting member? Tabled to CSF Winter 2025 Regular Meeting
6:35 pm *20 minutes*	**Finalizing Campaign Draft Guidelines** Marshall Ibañez (CSF Campaign Chair) **Campaign Chair** ● Reformatted the draft guidelines ● Added an opt-in option **Davis** ● What does impartial language necessarily mean? ○ **Campaign Chair** - Information should be unbiased, present just the data ○ **Davis** - COSAF tends to include student comments in reports, would this ○ **Merced** - Can we amend the language to allow student comments that aren't impartial while also restricting administrator comments? **Chair** ● Would we lose anything if we remove the clause referring to impartial language? ○ **Davis** - Probably not much, data has to be impartial anyhow ○ **Merced** - May incentivize administrators to hide specific information in different sections of the report ● On the opt in language, campus SFACs should have the opportunity to prepare the financial report instead of the Budget Office or Student Affairs Motion to approve by **Riverside** Seconded by **Merced** Roll call vote: Berkeley - **Yes** Davis - **Yes**

	Irvine - **Not present** Los Angeles - **Yes** Merced - **Yes** Riverside - **Yes** San Diego - **Yes** San Francisco - **Not present** Santa Barbara - **Yes** Santa Cruz - **Yes** 8-0-0, **motion passes**
6:55 pm *5 minutes*	**New Around-the-State Reports** Jun Jang (CSF Vice Chair)
6:55 pm	**Adjournment** Meeting will adjourn earlier if all business is complete. **Merced** ● Please send any information about dietary restrictions ASAP so we can coordinate catering :) **Riverside** ● Would it be recommended to fly and then drive to Merced or just drive to Merced? Meeting adjourned at 6:53 pm.