MFA FINAL REVIEW RUBRIC - SPRING 2024

MFA Candidate Name:	didate Name: Semester/Year:			
nmittee Reviewer Name: Mentor Name:				
Final Grade: Choose One for Thesis Review PASS PASS WITH RESERVATIONS FAIL				
One week in advance of the event, the Thesis Committee was tudent will email a PDF of the statement to the committee				
*DNA = Does not apply Exemplary - 4	Accomplished - 3	Developing - 2	Beginning - 1	
ORAL PRESENTATION At the beginning, 2-3 minutes (5 minutes maximum) - should not be a live reading of the statement of intent. Also consider content and delivery throughout discussion.				
Speaker thoroughly, yet succinctly, conveys the subject/form/content/cont of the presented work	Speaker conveys most of the subject/form/content/ context of the work presented	Speaker conveys little of the subject/form/content/ context of the work presented	Speaker fails at explaining work, appearing to have given the work little thought or consideration	
Optional additional feedback on the above:				
Delivery Delivery techniques make to presentation compelling, and speaker appears polished and confident. Excellent posture gesture, eye contact and voc expressiveness, etc. Speaker dressed professionally.	presentation interesting, and speaker appears comfortable. Good posture, gesture, eye contact and vocal	Delivery techniques make the presentation understandable, and speaker appears tentative. Okay posture, gesture, eye contact and vocal expressiveness, etc.	Delivery techniques detract from the understandability of the presentation, and speaker appears uncomfortable. Poor posture, gesture, eye contact and vocal expressiveness, etc.	
Optional additional feedback on the above:				

Provided Questions Did the student arrive with discussion-provoking questions for the committee?	Arrived with multiple thoughtful and discussion-provoking questions for the committee. Avoided yes/no questions.	Had at least one question prepared and it was discussion-provoking.	Had at least one question prepared.	Did not arrive with any questions for the committee.	
Optional additional feedback on the above:					
WRITTEN STATEMENT OF INTENT 1 page, 12pt double spaced, 1-inch margins; This writing should clearly reflect the connection between your final creative work and the research from semester three. More specifically, how does this body of work depart from, or build on, your research and/or prior work in the program?					
Description of connections to, or departures from, past semester's work	The written material clearly describes the work presented in relation to building on or departing from past semester's work	The written material describes the work presented in relation to building on or departing from past semester's work - some elements could be clearer	The written material is missing part of the description connecting to or departing from past work	There is no mention of how this creative work builds on or departs from past semester's work	
Completeness	The written material discusses all the required elements of the Thesis review by clearly addressing the subject, form, technical aspects, content, and context of the work	Addresses the subject, form, technical aspects, content, and context of the work - some elements could be further resolved	Has not addressed all the required elements: subject, form, technical aspects, content, and context of the work	Multiple required elements are missing: subject, form, technical aspects, content, and context of the work	
Quality	writing is free of errors	writing is nearly free of errors	writing has several errors	writing has many errors; writing is very unclear	
Optional additional feedback	on the above:			l	

ONLINE DOCUMENTATION OF WORK				
Quality of Online Documentation of Work up for Review (approx 10-20 images - some students need more) This is the work in a separate folder from the Research Summary. This could be photos, video, audio, multi-page PDFs, 3D scans of objects/installations, etc. Considerations: lighting quality, in-focus images (not blurry), color is similar to real objects, cropped to enhance viewing, detail shots as well as overall installation shots, understandable audio if present, etc.	High-quality images of the candidate's Thesis installation are provided. Accurate and adequate documentation of the candidate's work	Generally accurate and adequate documentation (one or two items could be improved)	Some accurate and adequate documentation (several items could be improved)	No documentation presented.
Optional additional feedback on the above:				
THE CREATIVE WORK, AND EXHIBITION/PRESENTATION				
Installation/ Presentation of work *DNA	Excellent installation/presentation of work in the space (physical or virtual). Choices are clearly intentional, well- executed, and enhances the work.	Good installation/presentation of work in the space (physical or virtual). The installation/presentation choices begin to enhance and support the work.	Adequate installation/presentation of work in the space (physical or virtual). The installation/presentation somewhat distracts the viewer/participant	Poor installation/presentation of work in the space (physical or virtual). The installation/presentation is very arbitrary and unintentional.
Optional additional feedback	e on the above:			

Awareness of Form (most frequently visual, but may also include aural, scent, tactile, taste) *DNA	Demonstrates remarkable in-depth exploration of formal choices and sensory experience of the work with detailed explanation	Demonstrates good exploration of sensory experience, and some explanation of formal choices	Demonstrates little exploration and/or unclear understanding of form or sensory experience in the work presented	Demonstrates no evidence of exploration or understanding of formal/sensory experience of the work presented
Optional additional feedback	on the above:			
Awareness of Technical Explorations / Processes	Demonstrates remarkable in-depth investigation of technical explorations and/or processes with detailed explanation	Demonstrates some investigation of technical explorations and/or processes, and some explanation of choices	Demonstrates little/unclear investigation understanding of technical explorations and/or processes in the work presented	Demonstrates no evidence of investigation or understanding of technical explorations and/or processes
Optional additional feedback	on the above:			
Awareness of Content / Central Message	Central message is clear and compelling (precisely articulated, and strongly supported);	Central message is clear.	Central message is basically understandable but is unclear.	Central message is not stated in the presentation.
Optional additional feedback on the above:				
Exploration of Ideas	Demonstrates remarkable in-depth exploration of ideas; risk-taking, asking questions	Demonstrates good exploration of ideas; has some risk-taking, asks questions	Demonstrates little exploration of ideas; risk-taking or question-asking	Demonstrates no evidence of exploration of ideas; no risk-taking, no asking of questions
□ *DNA				
Optional additional feedback on the above:				
Awareness of Context	Demonstrates remarkable in-depth investigation of context from both the	Demonstrates some investigation of context from both the candidate's point of	Demonstrates little investigation of context from both the candidate's point of	Demonstrates no evidence of investigation of context from the candidate's point of view,

□ *DNA	candidate's point of view, and that of the audience/participants	view, and that of the audience/participants;	view, and/or that of the audience/participants;	or that of the audience/participants;
Optional additional feedback	r on the above:	I	I	L
MFA FINAL REVIEW	W SUMMARY Please write 3 or mor	re items in bullet points in the third person	for each area below:	
3-5 QUESTIONS FROM	M THE COMMITTEE FOR GUI	IDING REFLECTION PAPER		
1.				
2.				
3.				
4. (Optional)				
5. (Optional)				
STRENGTHS				
1.				
2.				
3.				
CONCERNS				
1.				
2.				
3.				
SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATIONS				
1.				
2.				
3.				