

Background Briefing – Accuracy Scoping team – Assignment #3

Assignment #3: Effectiveness: *The Scoping Team will, on the basis of its assessment under 1. and data resulting from 2., undertake an analysis of the accuracy levels measured to assess whether the contractual data accuracy obligations are effective at ensuring that Registered Name Holders provide “accurate and reliable” contact information.*

Hereunder, the assignment has been broken down into two parts with relevant background information listed that should allow the scoping team to address that specific part of the assignment. If there is any pertinent information missing, scoping team members are encouraged to identify this information so it can be added to the table.

Part 1.

“The Scoping Team will, on the basis of its assessment under 1. and data resulting from 2.....”

See background briefings for assignment #1 and #2

Part 2.

“...undertake an analysis of the accuracy levels measured to assess whether the contractual data accuracy obligations are effective at ensuring that Registered Name Holders provide “accurate and reliable” contact information..”

Scoping team to provide input on:

What is necessary to undertake such an analysis?	ALAC: Perhaps I am missing something, but the core thing missing is access to the data we are supposed to be assessing. Of course we need to define the various terms(accurate, reliable). But that is a futile exercise if it is not clear there will be data to analyse.
What is the definition of “effective”?	
How are “accurate and reliable” to be interpreted (see also assignment #1 re. working definitions)?	

Proposed Data Point for Future Input	Michael Palage: The original instructions to the Working Group included “it may be beneficial to commission a study on how accuracy of registration data might be measured.” Therefore I believe a preliminary question to ICANN Org is how much budget is available for a potential study.
--------------------------------------	--