

Suggested edits V4 ([T239690](#))

Suggested edits V4 will introduce a new task that lets users add computer suggested tags to images. The work described below is based on previous efforts of the SDC team. Check out their work on [Phabricator](#) or in this [Design Brief](#).

👉 [Usability test results](#) have been processed in [T239691](#).

Table of contents

[Table of contents](#)

[Approach](#)

[Adding image tags](#)

[In Suggested edits](#)

[In articles](#)

[Updates to V1 and V2](#)

[Future](#)

[Appendix](#)

[Journal](#)

[Related links](#)

[Questions](#)


[Todos](#)

Approach

- Research methods
 - [Comparative review of micro contribution products](#)
 - Study previous work from SDC team, collaborate to understand their decision making and identify overlaps ([T214414](#) | [Brief](#))
 - Process [previous design research](#) and identify relevant areas for V4 ([T240198](#))
 - Consider [analytics data](#) for decision making ([T239706](#))
- Design exploration: Draft flows, draw moodboards, create prototypes, review with Android and Design team
- Create [prototype](#) for usability testing
- Write and publish design brief
- Conduct usability testing ([research prep](#))
- Process usability test findings ([T239691](#))
- Refine designs based on findings from usability testing

Adding image tags

In Suggested edits



A snackbar teases the new task.






Image tag task is added to the top of the task list.



An onboarding dialog introduces users.




Tags can be confirmed by tapping.




Images are zoomable




After tapping a suggested tag: disclaimer appears and publish button is enabled.



Publish interaction 1/2
(Details in [T239698](#))



Publish interaction 2/2



After publication, the next image with suggested tags appears

Conceptual notes

- The above drawn flow reduces publishing steps to a minimum. Everything is happening on one screen with different states.
- Interaction model known from V1 and V2 lets users swipe through suggestions.
 - ~~We are evaluating if arrows are clear enough or if an additional label is needed (skip, previous, next) in usability testing.~~
 - Meaning of arrows was clear in usability testing.
 - Technical constraint: back functionality is not available on server side – it needs to be built on client side.
- Tags
 - 5 tags are retrieved from [Google Cloud Vision AI](#). We should experiment with the number of tags in the actual implementation. Real data and various languages will influence the “right amount of tags”. We expect this value to be between 3-6 tags per image.
 - When publishing:
 - Tags that haven’t been selected are marked as rejected. This is a technical constraint.
 - Tags that are not selected (left in disabled state) are marked as rejected. If users do not select any tag


suggestions and hit publish, they'll have to confirm a dialog that those tags will all be marked as rejected.

- Why tags instead of Yes/No?
 - Contribution tasks should be optimized for Mobile. Optimization for Mobile does not simply equate to making a task as quick/simple as possible. [Reviewing micro contribution products](#) has taught us that a certain interaction time with a task at hand is crucial in order to create engaging experiences.
 - The hypothesis is that a contribution that is as quick and easy as "Yes/No" will quickly seem boring and repetitive, and won't lead to long-term engagement.
 - The proposed solution addresses these issues and engages people, makes them think about a contribution and keeps them motivated for a longer period of time.
- Image cropping
 - The concept respects the variety of image formats on Commons.
 - The idea is to keep as much of the image as possible, landscape stays landscape – portrait stays portrait.
 - Images are not cropped, should be fully visible from the start and are zoomable.
- Zooming images

- Ideally, zoom behavior will work like [this example](#). Why?
 - It will ensure that zooming is not interfering with the main interaction model of Suggested edits (swiping left/right).
 - In addition, it will also guarantee that previously learned interaction patterns (tapping a card in V1/V2 to add) will continue to work seamlessly in V3.
- Loading/success feedback ([T239698](#) | [Animation prototype](#))
 - Consists of loading screen and success message, then transitions to the next suggestion.
 - The above shown approach (interstitial) has the advantage that users will experience direct feedback that their tags have been published. Timing and transition are crucial in the final experience. It needs to be fine-tuned during the implementation.
 - Animation: After image tags have been successfully published, the next suggestion should be presented with a slide left animation (same as swiping left).
 - Haptic user feedback and sound design should be considered in future iterations, and unified across SE tasks.
 - Transitions and animations are not defined completely. We're also considering a snackbar approach as in previous versions. Depends on usability test results.

In articles

Publishing tags from an article header image:



Williamsburg Bridge

Suspension bridge crossing the East River between Manhattan and Brooklyn, New York


The **Williamsburg Bridge** is a [suspension bridge](#) in [New York City](#) across the [East River](#) connecting the [Lower East Side](#) of [Manhattan](#) at [Delancey Street](#) with the [Williamsburg](#) neighborhood of [Brooklyn](#) at [Broadway](#) near the [Brooklyn-Queens Expressway \(Interstate 278\)](#). It once carried [New York State Route 27A](#) and was planned to carry [Interstate 78](#), though the planned I-78 designation was




"Add image tags" CTA on article pages




Will lead users to the same flow as in the Suggested edits feed



Publish animation (uploading)



Success message; alternatives to be explored in [T239698](#)



Williamsburg Bridge

Suspension bridge crossing the East River between Manhattan and Brooklyn, New York

The **Williamsburg Bridge** is a [suspension bridge](#) in [New York City](#) across the [East River](#) connecting the [Lower East Side](#) of [Manhattan](#) at [Delancey Street](#) with the [Williamsburg](#) neighborhood of [Brooklyn](#) at [Broadway](#) near the [Brooklyn-Queens Expressway \(Interstate 278\)](#). It once carried [New York State Route 27A](#) and was planned to carry [Interstate 78](#), though the planned I-78 designation was

CTA won't be displayed anymore after tags are added.



Publishing tags from the image gallery view:



"Add image tags" CTA in gallery view



Tapping it leads to the familiar publishing flow...






CTA won't be displayed anymore after tags are added.

Conceptual notes

- Only one image contribution opportunity should be shown at a time. This is how call to actions are prioritized on both article header image and in gallery view:
 - 1. When there is no structured file caption available in the primary app language, "Add image caption" is shown.
 - 2. When there is a structured file caption available in the primary app language, "Add image tags" is shown.
 - 3. If both image caption and tags exist for a file in the primary language and (a) secondary app language(s) is/are set, "Add image caption (%language)" is shown.
 - 4. If both image caption and tags exist for a file in the primary language, (a) secondary app language(s) is/are set, "Add image tags (%language)" is shown.
- All micro contribution opportunities are consistently indicated with "+" iconography, and the skip forward/backward buttons are updated to < or > (pending usability testing results).
 - "Add article description" needs to be updated to reflect this change ([T235417](#))


Updates to V1 and V2



Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez

Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez (born October 13, 1989), also known by her initials, AOC, is an American politician and activist. A member of the Democratic Party, she has been the U.S. Representative for New York's 14th congressional district since January 3, 2019. The district includes the eastern part of The Bronx and portions of north-central Queens in NYC. On June 26, 2018, Ocasio-Cortez drew

Cardless design for adding article description.




Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez

US Representative from New York

Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez (born October 13, 1989), also known by her initials, AOC, is an American politician and activist. A member of the Democratic Party, she has been the U.S. Representative for New York's 14th congressional district since January 3, 2019.

Refreshed UI for translating article descriptions.



Watercolor portrait of Ada King, Countess of Lovelace (Ada Lovelace)

FILE Ada Lovelace Portrait.jpg


ARTIST Alfred Edward Chalon

DATE circa 1840

SOURCE Science Museum Group

MEDIUM Watercolor

Refreshed UI for add/translate captions)



Watercolor portrait of Ada King, Countess of Lovelace (Ada Lovelace)

FILE Ada Lovelace Portrait.jpg

ARTIST Alfred Edward Chalon

DATE circa 1840

SOURCE Science Museum Group

MEDIUM Watercolor

08:10



← Add image captions



Watercolor portrait of Ada King,
Countess of Lovelace (Ada Lovelace)

FILE Ada Lovelace Portrait.jpg

ARTIST Alfred Edward Chalon

DATE circa 1840

SOURCE Science Museum Group

✓ Image caption published!



+ ADD CAPTION




Alternative success
message, will be synced
with efforts in [T239698](#).

- The article description and image caption feed will be updated to match the new and lighter “cardless” UI that’s used in V4.
- Besides that, RTL improvements will be rolled out ([see micro contributions findings report](#)).
- Image caption feed design specific optimizations
 - Revised information hierarchy (see screens above)
 - Respects original image aspect ratio (similar to new image tagging UI)

Future

Research indicates that [users prefer in-context editing](#). Moving towards a unified article editing experience is a logical step to get there. Before we continue to design solutions, we will consider analytics data to evaluate how effective current approach is ([T239706](#)). Here’s a sneak peek of how a streamlined in-article edit experience could look:




Williamsburg Bridge

Suspension bridge crossing the East River between Manhattan and Brooklyn, New York

The **Williamsburg Bridge** is a [suspension bridge](#) in [New York City](#) across the [East River](#) connecting the [Lower East Side](#) of [Manhattan](#) at [Delancey Street](#) with the [Williamsburg](#) neighborhood of [Brooklyn](#) at [Broadway](#) near the [Brooklyn-Queens Expressway \(Interstate 278\)](#). It once carried [New York State Route 27A](#) and was planned to carry [Interstate 78](#), though the planned I-78 designation was



Possible, unified article edit experience.



Williamsburg Bridge

Suspension bridge crossing the East River between Manhattan and Brooklyn, New York

The **Williamsburg Bridge** is a [suspension bridge](#) in [New York City](#) across the [East River](#)

Help us to improve Wikipedia

 Add image caption


 Add image tags

 Edit article description


Edit introduction

Bottom sheet with more structured opportunities to contribute to an article.

Implementing push notifications on Android was planned for [Q4 19/20](#). The implementation will be delayed due to dependencies, and thus we decided to go with a bottom snackbar to inform users about the new task. Here's a preview of how we'd like to of introduce new tasks via push notifications in the future.




Push notification that teases new task



Expanded state of push notification

We've explored ways to increase engagement and user retention. The designs below illustrate, how motivational messaging can be tied in with user profile stats that have been introduced in Suggested edits V3.



Appendix

Journal

2019-12-17 | Carolyn



A: Always enabled



B: Link style
(de-emphasized)



C: Same style as
tags (de-
emphasized)



D: Ghost button
(de-emphasized)

- Discussion about publish CTA

- Robin mentions issues with [prototype](#), as the “Publish” CTA is currently in disabled state. It should still be possible to submit, even though none of the tags are selected. Ideas?
- Robin: De-emphasize Publish CTA?
- Carolyn would go with a separate “**reject all tags**” option but also thinks version **A** is a possible way to go (paired with a dialog that informs users about rejecting)
- How many people are selecting just one tag? Ask analytics to track this

2019-12-11 | Michael and Joaquin (RI)

- 5 tags and performance = no issues, should work fine (Michael)
- Counter: Count it as one edit or multiple edits? (Michael)
 - Tendency to count it as one edit (Robin)
 - Both is possible (Joaquin)
- Going back is not supported on the server side, needs to be built on the client side (Michael)
 - Robin checks in with Android engineers about that. Will be investigated here: [T240475](#)
- Mark rejected tags as red because they’re rejected on the server side? (Joaquin)
 - To be considered, good feedback (Robin)

- Michael later on Slack:
 - @schoenbaechler @Joaquin Looking at the tagging code in the MobileApp extension, it looks like the mobile edit and mobile app edit tags (along with the relevant app-specific tag) should indeed be added for new depicts statements from approved tags, without any additional changes needed to the MachineVision extension itself. It's based on the User-Agent of the client making the request, and not any characteristics of the revision itself. Still, it's worth manually testing as a sanity check once the feature is implemented, of course.

2019-12-05 | Design review

- Pau:
 - Publish button looks like a tag, problematic?
 - Explore license information positions, could be mistaken as a footer
 - For content translation, showing it before it starting with the task (!!)
- Robin: Check with legal
 - Onboarding: Likes the onboarding, Make it shorter, color could help, better convey the idea of tagging

- Change label when you update
- Prateek:
 - Prototype looks awesome!
 - Save in the background, let me keep playing
 - Two two arrows at the bottom:
 - A big skip button.
 - Why would I want to go back to something I just tagged? When do people want to do that?
- Aaron:
 - Rocket launch image example – I am not sure if ‘space’ is relevant. I want to know more about that tag to be able to make that decision.
 - How to tell an editor, when a tag is appropriate – maybe a short description somehow.
 - Certain judgement calls being harder than others (while working on JADE). People don’t want to make abstract decisions that they’ll be on the hook for.
 - Robin asks Aaron about file page: Aaron thinks it’s a good idea
- Pam:
 - Icon for image tags: [Link](#)

- Rita:
 - Prototype for usability testing image tagging - Daisy will perform this week - protocol is [here.](#)
 - User testing will be on Desktop responsive with 800px min. height
 - [x] RH: Really disagree with this approach, would advise not doing this as it is limiting the feedback we will get in terms of real usability on a touch device
 - Feedback on the Image tagging:
- Changed from Yes/No to selecting tags per commons
 - RH: I think you should test with this still.
 - RS: Felt like this is too quick and might be too monotonous.
 - Quick image tagging design review
- [x] "new" tag indicator - should this be a bit more separated from the task name?
- [x] "Get started" should be sticky footer
- Tags are require scrolling to be in view?? - I would re-explore the full screen image version with tags sticky
 - RS: Image is square and tags will 'grow upward', image will fit height/width and centered depending on image dimensions. We will test whether users will know to tap/pinch to zoom in?

- [x] RH: Might be good to consider having an image test that benefits from zooming, and consider a zoom icon
- Added zoom behavior on tap to the prototype, haven't included a zoom icon as I want to see if it works without it.
 - [How](#) do captions interact with images if they are multi-line? - sticky at top
 - [It](#) is important that an explicit "Skip" option is available
- Skip option is available (arrows), has been tested in previous usability tests, it has worked. There's focus on it this time as well, see [protocol](#)
 - [x] It looks like it is not possible for a user to say 'none of these tags match'? So then an image with poor suggested tags will never disappear from the queue??
- See:
 - What happens with tags that users aren't selecting? Is it an explicit "No this is not depicted!" or "Not sure if this is depicted"?
 - They are marked as rejected, saved in the DB, and will be included in the data dumps (see Google Cloud Vision section about dumps -

https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/Commons:Structured_data/Computer-aided_tagging)

- The original concept design was one that gave users an explicit option to confirm or reject a tag, so we used to have three kinds of tags: unselected/ignored, confirmed, and rejected tags. Usability testing indicated that users treated rejecting and ignoring as the same thing, so we got rid of rejected tags altogether to simplify the UX.
- [x] Would be cool to add a more obscure/ambiguous tag
 - It's weird that the CC licensing comes up above the tags...? But we can check in after testing - (RS: also checking with legal)
 - Look at text CCA as well when licensing is over the top of an image that the text still visible with the scrim behind it over the image
 - [x] Look at the transition and visual look where a tick is added after the tag to more clearly indicate that a tag is selected - Commons is integrating this and a (static) mock is visible on <https://phabricator.wikimedia.org/T239287>
- Depends on outcome of usability tests, leaving it like this for reasons of consistency on Android for now.

2019-12-02 | Daisy

- Prototype
 - Use tags that are not relevant
 - Robin: Tags used in the prototype derive from [Google Vision AI](#), so these are real examples.
 - Asks about back behavior
 - Robin: Too complicated to implement in the prototype. Daisy suggests to ask users, which is great.
- The things we like to find out / observe in usability tests:
 - **File page:** Do participants expect more information about an image to add tags? Is the information that's provided sufficient? Goal: find out if quick access to file page is needed.
 - **Zoom:** How would participants zoom an image? Find out which gesture to zoom images to incorporate: Tap to zoom, double tap to zoom, pinch to zoom.
 - **Publish process:** Do users realize that they can tap on multiple tags? Do users realize that their tags have been published?

- **New left/right arrows:** Observe how users go back and forth between images that need tags. Do they understand the arrows?
- **Language and onboarding:** Is the language that we're using understood? Are users reading the onboarding dialog? Do they comment on it? Could be incorporated as a follow up question too.

2019-11-28 | Charlotte

- Language for introduction text: Current text: "Tag images to make them easier for others to find. They are computer suggested, but need to be validated." is ok for usability testing. Sync next week
- UI: Try adding licensing information at the top
- Do we need the file page? **Not necessarily, as user likely needs only the image to confirm tags.**
- Zoom behavior: Introduce tap to zoom, similar to article page? Good question for usability testing.
- Distinguish chip text better from background, as it currently blends in too much.
- Improve publish moment, in favor of snackbar to inform users
- In regards to article editing: is in favor of MVP (add image tag CTA) until we have the framework to A/B test the future proof

version with emphasis on pencil icon. Fear that micro contributions are going down with this version

2019-11-27

Pam

- What happens with tags that users aren't selecting? Is it an explicit "No this is not depicted!" or "Not sure if this is depicted"?
 - They are marked as rejected, saved in the DB, and will be included in the data dumps (see Google Cloud Vision section about dumps - https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/Commons:Structured_data/Computer-aided_tagging)
 - The original concept design was one that gave users an explicit option to confirm or reject a tag, so we used to have three kinds of tags: unselected/ignored, confirmed, and rejected tags. Usability testing indicated that users treated rejecting and ignoring as the same thing, so we got rid of rejected tags altogether to simplify the UX.
- How do you manage image cropping?
 - We don't crop images. For tablet and above, we have a max height of 600px and a max width of 800px. For mobile, I

believe the max height is 786px and the max width is 100% of the card. We're using #eaecf0 as a background.

- Latest iconography and illustration for verifying image tags?
 - <https://phabricator.wikimedia.org/T234571>
- 24x24dp icon for image tagging?
 - https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Image_tagging_icon_01.svg
- Language: Publish vs Verify vs Add vs Tag
 - Reviewing suggested tags (+ confirming)
- License information, does it needs to be visible right away?
 - For the image itself, we didn't include the license (images link out to the FP for that) but the tags are licensed as CC0 and have to be prominently displayed via legal.
- Publishing / gratification transition?
 - For personal uploads, yes (after a user tags all the images in their queue), but for popular images, no – though I could see it being really good on mobile for just popular images!
- Beta testing went well:
 - Test instructions (and one tester left feedback on the test talk page):
https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/Commons:Structured_data/Computer-aided_tagging/Testing

- The bulk of the feedback:
https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/Commons_talk:Structured_data/Computer-aided_tagging#Mapping_output_to_Wikidata_items
- One issue that's come up is a user will encounter two tags that have the same label, but the user is unable to differentiate the two because the labels do not provide additional information, such as the Wikidata description or linking to the Wikidata page for that item. We don't know how often that will happen but it would be neat to have Wikidata page previews (it'd work like page previews do for Wikipedia, but for Wikidata. Not sure how it'd work on mobile though... a long press to bring up the preview? Discoverability would be an issue.)

Dmitry

- Advocates for relevant micro contributions, but go with image tags for now
- The perfect micro contribution journey
 - User accesses Wikipedia page to read
 - App educates people that Wikipedia is editable / teases contribution (non intrusive)
 - Users makes a meaningful contribution / confirms / sees the change right away

- User continues to read (ideal scenario: internalized that everyone can edit)

2019-11-26

Carolyn

- Designs for “Task list” to improve this article on iOS ([Zeplin](#)), a similar approach could be used for suggested tasks on how to improve this article can be improved.
- Consider Rita’s past work on micro contributions ([Readers contribution on Android](#)), e.g. [this prototype](#)

Joe

Re: Consistency in profile stats

- Should be possible as we’re currently filtering for Suggested edit tasks.

2019-11-20

Charlotte

- Likes the direction with tags so far

- What happens with tags that users aren't selecting? Is it an explicit "No this is not depicted!" or "Not sure if this is depicted"?

2019-11-18

Android engineers

- Definitions in pixels/dp is useless, recommends to think more in relative terms (Dmitry)
- Vertical offset for the image will be specific to device because of the different resolutions (Dmitry)
- What if there are 12 tags? (Dmitry)
- What if there are more lines of text? (Sharvani)
- How are the suggestions published? (Sharvani)
- Notices the different left and right icons for arrows in the designs (Cooltey)
- In regards to zooming, the simplest thing to do would be to zoom within the boundaries. Hardest possible thing to do: make it behave like Instagram. (Dmitry)

Related links

- [Android V4 preview](#)
- [Android V4 prototype](#)
- [Suggested tags - Wikimedia Commons](#)
- [Android Microcontributions Phase1&2 Findings Report](#)
- [Design research prep](#) by Daisy
- Computer-aided tagging ([Phab](#) | [Design brief](#))
- Files used in designs
 - [Williamsburg Bridge Landscape](#)
 - [Williamsburg Bridge Portrait](#)
 - [The Matterhorn seen seen from the Domhütte \(Valais\)](#)
 - [First launch of Space Shuttle Columbia](#)

Questions

- [x] Would we have the technical capability to let users choose an image category? (RI) #tech
 - Won't be relevant for V4 as we're going for a random presentation of images for now. Suggestions based on interest will be considered at a later stage as a global setting for all tasks.
- [x] Center images with 48dp offset. Should be possible according to developers. #tech
 - Should be possible but define relative sizing/relation of elements (Dmitry)
- [x] Consistency in profile stats: Investigate on how to count all edits in the app and not only Suggested edits (contributions, edit streak). As far as I understand pageviews and edit quality do that already #tech
 - Should be possible as we're explicitly filtering for Suggested edit tasks in the current implementation (Joe)
- [x] How do you manage image cropping? #sdc
 - We don't crop images. For tablet and above, we have a max height of 600px and a max width of 800px. For mobile, I believe the max height is 786px and the max width is 100% of the card. We're using #eaecef0 as a background.

- [x] Latest iconography and illustration for verifying image tags #sdc
 - [T234571](#)
- [x] What happens with tags that users aren't selecting? Is it an explicit "No this is not depicted!" or "Not sure if this is depicted"? #sdc
 - They are marked as rejected, saved in the DB, and will be included in the data dumps (see Google Cloud Vision section about dumps - https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/Commons:Structured_data/Computer-aided_tagging) #sdc
 - The original concept design was one that gave users an explicit option to confirm or reject a tag, so we used to have three kinds of tags: unselected/ignored, confirmed, and rejected tags. Usability testing indicated that users treated rejecting and ignoring as the same thing, so we got rid of rejected tags altogether to simplify the UX. #sdc
- [] Are tags going to be outputted in various languages? #RI
- **Legal**
 - Do we need to include the (CC0) publish disclaimer text whenever tags are published or is once, e.g. when starting a new session, enough?

- Can we shorten the [current CC0 disclaimer text](#)? (the shorter the better)
 - **From:** CC0 license for tag contributions - By clicking “publish”, you agree to the terms of use, and you irrevocably agree to release your contribution under the Creative Commons CC0 license.
 - **To:** By publishing, you agree to the terms of use and irrevocably agree to release this contribution under the CC0 license.
- Do we need to display license information of an image directly in the feed? And what about the user that uploaded the image?
- Do we need to include some sort of disclaimer because the service is using [Google Cloud Vision AI](#)?

Todos

- [x] Explore full screen approach #visual
 - Discarded to provide more information about an image. Zoom behavior will be optimized to check out details about an image.
- [x] Optimize publishing flow
 - Publishing in V4 features publishing without having to leave the current screen.
- [x] Include publish disclaimer
- [x] Introduce motivational elements and with it – think about analytics, how do we compare / measure it with other tasks. Scope on new task or also for the others? #gratification
 - Mostly happening in Q4
- [x] Reduce publishing steps for article descriptions and image captions too or no action needed? #conceptual
 - UI optimizations but no conceptual changes, after discussion with Charlotte
- [x] Edit image tags for an image? #conceptual
 - Not going to happen in V1 but will be interesting once we're thinking about manually adding tags to images in the future
- [x] Prototype for usability testing

- [x] Draft keyword protocol for usability testing
- [x] Access to file information in Suggested edits flow, reuse bottom sheet? #conceptual
 - Will be determined in usability testing
- [x] Improve language: Verify vs Add vs Tag
 - Consider usability tests and Charlotte's copy improvements
- [x] Consider removing the Suggested edits Explore feed cards due to possible low impact. [Submit analytics request on Phab for it.](#) #conceptual
 - Submitted: [T239706](#)
- [x] Brief Kopai about transition/animation/illustration when submitting tags
 - Done: [T239698](#)
- [x] Rewrite "revert copy", so it's better understandable
 - Rewritten by Charlotte in [T238840](#)
- [x] Push notify people when a new task is available #conceptual
- [x] Define in-article experience from A-Z
- [x] Define gallery experience from A-Z
- [x] Define behavior for CTA's on article page and gallery
- [x] Design for small device
- [x] Design for tablets

- [x] Design for landscape
- [x] Design for 12 tags (Dmitry) #visual
- [x] Design for longer image caption or author #visual
- [x] Add captions to images in this doc
- [x] Send out design brief
- [x] V1 + V2 improvements
 - [x] V1 + V2 UI updates based on new V4 UI. Solve image cropping issues In V2? #conceptual
 - [x] Fix snackbar overlap and improve success feedback in V1 + V2 tasks ([see Material Design guidelines](#)) #visual
- [x] Process findings from usability testing
- [x] Design screen when users go back
- [x] Add check icon to confirmation message ([see Material Design guidelines](#))
- [] Design for all themes
- [] Add image tags section to [Suggested edits FAQ](#)
 - [] Add explanation to states (grey, blue, green)
- [] Verify and improve RTL designs for all Suggested edit tasks (with the help Amir)