

Philadelphia Documenters

POWERED BY RESOLVE PHILLY

FAIR HOUSING COMMISSION PUBLIC HEARINGS

Documenter name:

Agency: Fair Housing Commission

Date: August 20, 2024

[See more about this meeting at Documenters.org](https://documenters.org)

[Editor's Note: Hearings were canceled without notice.]

Headlines

What are the key discussions, votes or outcomes from this meeting that you think everybody should know? Highlight up to four—think of these as the one-sentence previews or headlines for the rest of your notes.

Example: Three bills were unanimously passed by the committee to change the curfew time to 10:00pm for people 18 years old and younger.

-
-
-
-

Observations & Follow-Up Questions

Did you experience any challenges while recording audio for this meeting? What are you left wondering? What observations or learnings are you taking away from this meeting? What do you feel, think or predict?

Example: I wonder how much money the project will cost the department?

Example: The meeting started 20 minutes late and several Councilmembers were on their

phones during a majority of the meeting.

- ...
- ...

Checklist

You will be asked to submit the following checklist when you turn in your assignment on [Documenters.org](https://documenters.org). Make a note of your answers now in this document so the information stays fresh when you later submit your assignment.

Meeting start time:

Meeting end time:

Remote or in-person:

Number of people in attendance:

What attendance options were open to the members of the public? Select all that apply:

- ☐ In-person attendance at a physical location
- ☐ Livestream (i.e. Youtube, Facebook Live, TV, or any view-only option)
- ☐ Video conference (i.e. Zoom, WebEx, or any virtual platform on which you are able to participate in the meeting)
- ☐ Teleconference (i.e. dial in by news)

How did you Document the meeting?

- ☐ In Person
- ☐ Livestream (i.e. Youtube, Facebook Live, TV, or any view-only options)
- ☐ Videoconference (i.e. Zoom, WebEx, or any virtual platform on which you are able to participate in the meeting)
- ☐ Teleconference (i.e. dial in by phone)

[In Person] The facilities were...

- ☐ ...large enough

- ☐ ...well lit
- ☐ ...accessible
- ☐ ...clean

[Virtual Identification] - How easy was it to identify speakers and presenters during the meeting?

- ☐ Were speakers visible on screen?
- ☐ Were speakers identified by name on screen?
- ☐ Were meeting presentations shared on screen?

The speakers were...

- ☐ ...audible
- ☐ ...visible
- ☐ ...identifiable

The proceedings...

- ☐ ...started on time
- ☐ ...follow its stated agenda
- ☐ ...allotted time for public comment
- ☐ ...adequately discussed the issues presented
- ☐ ...had decisions that seemed to be made in advance
- ☐ ...featured active protest from members of the public

Officials were...

- ☐ ...courteous to each other
- ☐ ...informed on agenda items presented
- ☐ ...attentive and alert
- ☐ ...in control of the meeting

Follow-up

- ☐ Issues arose during the meeting that should be further covered by local media
- ☐ An Open Meetings Act violation may have occurred at this meeting
- ☐ Rules were bent at this meeting in a way that is worth noting
- ☐ Something about this meeting was strange or wrong

- ☐ Something about this meeting went notably well/better than expected

Documentation

- ☐ Were background materials, including a meeting agenda, made available to the public?

Note to reader: Unless quotation marks are used, all text is paraphrased. If you believe anything in these notes is inaccurate, please email us at documenters@resolvephilly.org with "Correction Request" in the subject line. Learn more about Documenters on our [website](#).