

Question 1. What would you like to see as a topic/theme for a next in person meeting end of this year?

GENERAL Local Action - Global Connection

1. Discuss Outputs/outcomes and implementations of working groups with members in NL. that people have made .In a way that people can get acquainted with other disciplines. Starting point which problems it tried to solve. results and assessment of relevance of these outcomes for the Dutch research community. how to create a better dissemination pipeline
2. Step up to National Implementation plans
3. Maybe a dutch level policy/terminology definitions that we can all agree on.
4. How to start (in) a working group:all of the relevant working groups there are already running for a while, so that is not trivial.
5. co-creating mission/vision of RDA-NL? Or is that the same as RDA but then in the dutch context? Does that include software / setting up infrastructure
6. A nice theme would be something like, 'What can we do through participating in RDA-NL that we couldn't do otherwise.' spotlight examples of changes or advances within the Dutch RDM ecosystem spurred by participating in RDA WGs/IGs/BOFs, and where participants can also bring their own use-cases of things they'd like to work on/advance locally that would benefit from existing RDA initiatives, or where new BOF proposals can be collaboratively developed for a future RDA plenary.

SPECIFIC TOPICS:

1. Sharing experiences on how different interpretations of the GDPR interact / can limit our RDM ambitions and efforts
2. How to promote more environmentally sustainable ways to practice RDM? (tape conservation of datasets, ...)
3. PIDs
4. Discipline-specific updates on FAIR and Open infrastructures
5. Explore and discuss scenarios/case studies in engagement and education for FAIR and Open Science
6. Dutch knowledge graph
7. Dutch metadata repository / dataset registry (that links to the respective datasets stored in different repositories) metadata standards for different disciplines
8. LOD
9. metadata standards for different disciplines
10. unified terminology across domains, study case RDM policies;Maybe a dutch level policy/terminology definitions that we can all agree on.
11. infrastructure for FAIR Research Software

12. the organisation of data stewardship in the Netherlands and use this to get a better insight in numbers (how many - official - data stewards per institution), educational backgrounds, potential career paths. The IG professionalising data stewardship could play a role here.
13. Financing of data infrastructure for research. Kijken of er werkgroepen van . Risk of our own topics is that they are always for a smaller subset of audience.

Question 2 What would you recommend to engage more people of the RDA nl group (383 members, a few percent is here today)

1. clearer communication and more regular meetups
2. events should be announced in advance
3. In any “free” group there are 90% people who are only consuming, so it is not expected that there would be more than 38 people.
4. RDA nl presence at relevant conferences / meetings and communicate on activities and invite people to take notice of RDA outcomes and to join the RDA; Try to secure tables/presentations at national events (SURF Research Day, Open Science Festival, etc.)
5. meetings in different places – perhaps also online, although personally I much prefer in-person meetings and think they are more fruitful.
6. Rewarding system: give access to workshops, activities, learning and networking experiences to people who join
7. Make it welcoming for beginners: invite people who just joined and offer an introduction to the data and software landscape in NL (be the bridge)
8. Co-creation: involve community members in shaping the activities of the day by inviting them to present their work and get feedback
9. Thematic meetings where activities and outputs around a topic of shared interest can be discussed. Invite participation from members active in specific groups according to the topic of the meeting. Engagement at institute level
10. Emphasize that the community is open to researchers and others without expertise in RDM
11. Use of the rdm mailing list rdm-ml@mail-list.com , DSIg and TDCCs; Include RDA events in organizational newsletters
12. Not in peaktime September/May-June
13. setting clear goals can help with awareness and raising interest
14. More active web community / forum
15. meetings on different subjects: most meetings are too broad for the ‘non-networkers’.
16. have a clear strategy for the coming years with clear goals
17. reaching out to relevant management within Dutch RPOs (e.g., those who manage or coordinate Data Stewards, Research Software Engineers, etc.) with a bit of a publicity campaign about the importance and benefits of engaging with RDA initiatives would be a really useful exercise. My sense is that some managers of RDM positions are more on the ‘research management’ versus ‘research data management’ side of things, so especially newcomers to RDM professions may not be aware of RDA. An RDA Roadshow at institutions, targeting line management (e.g., of Data Stewards, Software Engineers), wider research support networks, and privacy/information management
18. Always offer free lunch
19. Informative events at university level for PhDs; Bake participation in to masters/doctoral education programming
20. Hire an expensive graphic designer and bang out some snappy ‘visual identity’, and get someone to compose an ‘RDA Jingle’

21. Encourage out of the box initiatives like making a rock n roll band about RDM
22. First, find out why others did not attend: was it the planning, lack of time, lack of understanding the value, perceived as not being part of their role (by supervisor or persons themselves) etc.
23. we need to make RDA a more visible part of the Dutch research landscape. Perhaps Dutch RDA members are used to it being something online/international and not something that is integrated into their daily work routines?

Question 3 How to make RDA-NL an active part of the Dutch landscape?

1. Align RDA results with activities in the Dutch landscape. E.g. outcomes of PID WG with Dutch PID policy.
2. LCRDM already is an active part of the Dutch landscape. RDA-NL can build on that.
3. With meetings like this one, and teaming up with others to organise events, by working together with LCRDM, the TDCCs, the local DCCs, libraries, etc the visibility of RDA-NL would increase. Make sure to liaise with all the other initiatives and communities, as people often find it hard to understand who does what and why (LCRDM, TDCC, DCC-IN, DSIG, RDNL, OS communities, EOSC nodes / competence centers, RSE-NL, etc.) One way that RDA-NL could be more active in the Dutch landscape would be to collaborate more closely with the TDCCs, especially around the new projects they plan to fund. My understanding is that evaluations of TDCC projects are partly based on the prospective of wide uptake of the outputs/outcomes of these projects more locally (at national or institutional level), but I think also thinking about how national projects contribute to or draw from wider efforts is also an important consideration.
4. Researcher involvement; How to make sure that RDA-NL is not just about data stewards and data support staff?
5. Think global, act local: organize RDA sessions hosted at local institutions (such as Libraries), and involve members of the staff and researchers in the planning
6. Ambassadors: get in touch with active members (or potentially interested people) in different fields, and ask them if they are interested in becoming an RDA ambassador in their field. Ambassadors will be rewarded with visibility in the landscape.
7. Co-create: organize events and activities in co-creation with other institutions recognized as experts in the field. RDA can bring an international and open perspective, so smaller and discipline-specific institutions might enjoy.
8. Make sure to liaise with all the other initiatives and communities, as people often find it hard to understand who does what and why (LCRDM, TDCC, DCC-IN, DSIG, RDNL, OS communities, EOSC nodes / competence centers, RSE-NL, etc.) collaborations with central organizations like TDCCs, OSNL, 4TU, DANS, etc
9. Work on implementation projects nationally for RDA outputs under expert RDA guidance. Call for projects somewhere?
10. Translate RDA outputs to Dutch?
11. LCRDM-like “expert” activities
12. Encourage Dutch organisations to join as an organisation member.

13. Like RDA itself, RDA-NL could position itself as a neutral forum for coming together to discuss and work on common problems and solutions - an example is national PID strategies (see under 5) but also many other topics.
14. If Dutch organisations have implemented specific outputs they could share their experiences about how this went and any obstacles or challenges faced.
15. Slack channel?
16. Start Dutch RDA working groups or interest groups?
17. better communication of outputs, getting Dutch institutions to sign up to OA, more visibility around plenaries (like “watch alongs”), social events at plenaries (like the coffees), introduce RDA to OSCs (and perhaps get at least one RDA member per OSC?)
18. community building via active communication
19. have a clear mission statement and strategy
20. creating an RDA-NL dedicated hub to share more clear information about the scope of RDA-NL in connection with Dutch landscape (RDM-oriented organizations) and the global RDA organization

Question 4 What activities would you like for the next 3 yrs ahead?

1. Meetings in which concrete results of RDA are presented and an assessment of its applicability in NL is discussed. Discover the working and interest groups: explore the output of the groups and discuss how to make use of them at a local level. Community-building in person meetings once or twice per year
2. In-person meetings like this one.
3. Session / online information about joining working groups and IGs, perhaps there could be local aspects to existing WGs / IGs, as in how are we implementing this or that topic in the Dutch landscape.
4. Networking with a goal: networking activities with a clear goal/discipline/activity in mind
5. RDA is nice because it is inter-disciplinary, and we should have something in NL too about that. Try to bring disciplines together to learn from each other, take each other’s best practices. Not only between different fields of research, but also e.g. by making contacts between people making policy (e.g. privacy) and people building research data infrastructure.
6. Thinking back to work in RDA Europe supporting the national nodes - one thing that worked in some contexts was targeted outreach to potential implementers of RDA outputs. For example the node in Slovenia coordinated a group of journals to adopt the data policy standardisation work from RDA. Others had annual meetings, supported plenary participation, held post-plenary catch ups or worked on translating RDA work into the local context and language.
7. A couple of working group ideas that would benefit from a national setting vs international:
 - RDM policy in the Netherlands working group
 - Exchange over data request access working group - data access request in the case of sensitive datasets presents organisational challenges on the long run for institutions after the funding for the projects stops and the concerned researchers move to other institutions. Making decisions on data access requests can become difficult. It

would be nice to exchange solutions found at different institutions and maybe to go towards a best practice recommendation.

- Environmentally-sustainable RDM in the Netherlands working group
- hands-on trainings for FAIR data and software (for both researchers and support staff)

8. Mentorship for new RDA individual members