

Lenin_is_my_friend-Yesterday at 8:25 PM

Let's get to the nitty gritty.

bomalia-Yesterday at 8:26 PM

alright

so i presented a motion to CPUSA

there are about 10 different comments, all of which expressing support

it is basically unanimous at this point

Lenin_is_my_friend-Yesterday at 8:27 PM

Many in the SP want time to discuss this internally before a decision is rushed.

bomalia-Yesterday at 8:28 PM

thats fair

i just dont want this to drag on for too long

because we have elections coming up which we could greatly perform and succeed in

DocNedKelly-Yesterday at 8:28 PM

I think most people are leaning towards supporting, but don't want to rush things. Personally, I think waiting until just before state elections is more than enough time to iron out details.

bomalia-Yesterday at 8:29 PM

yeah

especially considering they wont start for like three weeks

Sevag - /u/gaidz-Yesterday at 8:29 PM

Perfect amount of time

Lenin_is_my_friend-Yesterday at 8:29 PM

I don't think it is out of the question for us to vote on it before the state elections. I think we may even see a vote by this Friday or next.

DocNedKelly-Yesterday at 8:29 PM

The announcement then will also capture whatever there is of a news cycle in the sim.

Sevag - /u/gaidz-Yesterday at 8:29 PM

But it's still good to get some things out of the way

DocNedKelly-Yesterday at 8:29 PM

*an announcement then

Lenin_is_my_friend-Yesterday at 8:29 PM

Of course.

bomalia-Yesterday at 8:30 PM

two weeks is a bit excessive

i think that giving you guys until friday to vote is plenty of time tbh

Lenin_is_my_friend-Yesterday at 8:30 PM

I don't think it will take that long, but 2 weeks would be the absolute latest period.

Sevag - /u/gaidz-Yesterday at 8:30 PM

I mean no rush anyway

bomalia-Yesterday at 8:31 PM

yeah^^

Sevag - /u/gaidz-Yesterday at 8:31 PM

But I think it's important to talk about any concerns that you both may still have

bomalia-Yesterday at 8:31 PM

i think that we have mostly done our part

Sevag - /u/gaidz-Yesterday at 8:31 PM

It'll be good to be in agreement on everything

What we proposed is just what our party is specifically looking for

But we're still open to compromise

Lenin_is_my_friend-Yesterday at 8:32 PM

The main concern members have right now, is the rush _Ummmm seemed to be putting on things by a not-so-good worder poll on the matter.

Sevag - /u/gaidz-Yesterday at 8:32 PM

Yeah

We kinda rushed things

bomalia-Yesterday at 8:32 PM

tbf i kind of rushed him on that

Sevag - /u/gaidz-Yesterday at 8:32 PM

It's not entirely Ummmmms fault

Lenin_is_my_friend-Yesterday at 8:33 PM

I understand that, but it was worded in such a way that it strongly gave the feel that the poll was the deciding vote on the matter.

Now that has been cleared up, so we can move on to more productive discussions.

Sevag - /u/gaidz-Yesterday at 8:33 PM

Yeah

bomalia-Yesterday at 8:33 PM

I'm just glad we've got a bit of a point of contact here, as opposed to what I originally had with /u/_Ummmm

DocNedKelly-Yesterday at 8:34 PM

You said you are open to compromise? What do you mean by that? Is there something that your party thinks is completely off limits? What are you alright in negotiating on?

bomalia-Yesterday at 8:35 PM

Decentralized leadership is a must for us. We will not be budging on that our party is also strongly in favor of localization

DocNedKelly-Yesterday at 8:36 PM

I think we're generally in favor of decentralization as well.

bomalia-Yesterday at 8:36 PM

thats good

Lenin_is_my_friend-Yesterday at 8:36 PM

What exactly is meant by localization? Is that the sort of structure we have in the IWW, or by that is it meant strong focus on lower level positions?

Sevag - /u/gaidz-Yesterday at 8:36 PM

We want to know how you feel about localization

Like prioritizing state elections^(edited)

And obstruction on the federal level

DocNedKelly-Yesterday at 8:37 PM

If I win a seat in the HoR this election, will I have to give it up?

bomalia-Yesterday at 8:37 PM

most likely not

Sevag - /u/gaidz-Yesterday at 8:37 PM

Nah

We just think we should focus on obstructionism

On the federal level

bomalia-Yesterday at 8:38 PM

At this point, I think if we obstruct on the federal level we will begin to be taken seriously again.

Sevag - /u/gaidz-Yesterday at 8:38 PM

And prioritize state elections

bomalia-Yesterday at 8:38 PM

However, we should also combine that with both meaningful legislation at the state and federal levels.

Sevag - /u/gaidz-Yesterday at 8:38 PM

Yeah

DocNedKelly-Yesterday at 8:38 PM

That's exactly what I was about to say.

Sevag - /u/gaidz-Yesterday at 8:38 PM

I also think we should agitate

Lenin_is_my_friend-Yesterday at 8:38 PM

Unless we have a majority, that is basically all we can do at the federal level. What about using any federal positions we get to also promote socialism, since newcomers often only see the main sub?

bomalia-Yesterday at 8:38 PM

That's fine tbh

Sevag - /u/gaidz-Yesterday at 8:38 PM

Introduce really controversial bills

And use the press sub a lot

Like with the American Socialist Foundation

Lenin_is_my_friend-Yesterday at 8:39 PM

Yeah, the press sub definitely needs to be utilized a lot more.

bomalia-Yesterday at 8:41 PM

I've got another thing to bring up after doc is done.

DocNedKelly-Yesterday at 8:41 PM

What about non-controversial bills? Or a bill proposed by a bourgeois party that agrees with our party's platform?

Sevag - /u/gaidz-Yesterday at 8:42 PM

What do you mean?

I mean I guess it would depend on what it is and how the party would feel about it

DocNedKelly-Yesterday at 8:43 PM

So there is some room to work with the other parties then? I was just thinking of something like that resolution to recognize Somaliland.

bomalia-Yesterday at 8:43 PM

There will be on a policy basis I guess.

DocNedKelly-Yesterday at 8:43 PM

Admittedly, I was opposed to it on several grounds, but it wasn't something really relevant to my beliefs as a socialist.

bomalia-Yesterday at 8:43 PM

We can work with others, just no compromise.

Sevag - /u/gaidz-Yesterday at 8:43 PM

I think as long as we have the upper hand

DocNedKelly-Yesterday at 8:43 PM

That sounds perfectly reasonable to me.

Sevag - /u/gaidz-Yesterday at 8:43 PM

And our principles aren't being betrayed

What I want to avoid mostly are deals

Lenin_is_my_friend-Yesterday at 8:44 PM

Never deal with the Dems!

bomalia-Yesterday at 8:44 PM

Yes. Electoral deals are a big no-no.

Sevag - /u/gaidz-Yesterday at 8:44 PM

Yeah

I think we should be attacking the Dems

DocNedKelly-Yesterday at 8:45 PM

I think our party was generally turned-off of electoral deals with anyone outside of the PGP and your party..

bomalia-Yesterday at 8:45 PM

In speakership elections, for example, we should probably attempt to fuck with people by constantly changing our votes or just abstain from them.

Sevag - /u/gaidz-Yesterday at 8:45 PM

It gets Socialists more involved

bomalia-Yesterday at 8:45 PM

Okay

So one more thing to talk about

The ideological platform of the party

Lenin_is_my_friend-Yesterday at 8:46 PM

I thought it was to be radical anti-capitalism

DocNedKelly-Yesterday at 8:47 PM

I think our platform has to be broad enough to include all of our current members.

Lenin_is_my_friend-Yesterday at 8:47 PM

We don't accept SocDems in the SP.

DocNedKelly-Yesterday at 8:47 PM

No broader, of course.

Exactly, so I think we're looking for a platform that includes all flavors of the radical left.

Lenin_is_my_friend-Yesterday at 8:48 PM

Currently we allow socialists; marxists, LibSocs, AnComs, etc. but no Sandersistas.

bomalia-Yesterday at 8:48 PM

I guess it can be something which is debated post merger. I think all that needs to be stated is that reformists will be allowed into the party.

Lenin_is_my_friend-Yesterday at 8:49 PM

Anarchists?

bomalia-Yesterday at 8:49 PM

yes

Lenin_is_my_friend-Yesterday at 8:49 PM

Good

bomalia-Yesterday at 8:49 PM

they should be allowed in

DocNedKelly-Yesterday at 8:50 PM

I think our party would be content with that.

Lenin_is_my_friend-Yesterday at 8:51 PM

I agree with Doc, I think the party would find this agreeable so far.

Sevag - /u/gaidz-Yesterday at 8:51 PM

I think for the sake of our party though

Meme - /u/_Ummmm-Yesterday at 8:51 PM

Hallo

Sevag - /u/gaidz-Yesterday at 8:51 PM

The Communist Party I mean

DocNedKelly-Yesterday at 8:51 PM

Can you read everything we've said so far?

Meme - /u/_Ummmm-Yesterday at 8:51 PM

No

Lenin_is_my_friend-Yesterday at 8:51 PM

Good

We really layed into you.

jk

bomalia-Yesterday at 8:51 PM

:^)

Sevag - /u/gaidz-Yesterday at 8:52 PM

We should declare ourselves a Revolutionary Anti Capitalist party that allows Dem Socs and such

DocNedKelly-Yesterday at 8:52 PM

What are the highlights?

bomalia-Yesterday at 8:52 PM

"We should declare ourselves a Revolutionary Anti Capitalist party that allows Dem Socs and such" this is one

Meme - /u/_Ummmm-Yesterday at 8:52 PM

How about a radical party instead?

Lenin_is_my_friend-Yesterday at 8:52 PM

I don't really skateboard

Meme - /u/_Ummmm-Yesterday at 8:52 PM

Radical is more grassroots but not necessarily violent

Lel

DocNedKelly-Yesterday at 8:53 PM

We do have Radical in the name currently.

Meme - /u/_Ummmm-Yesterday at 8:53 PM

In the constitution also

Sevag - /u/gaidz-Yesterday at 8:53 PM

Eh our party is kind of into the whole revolutionary thing

Meme - /u/_Ummmm-Yesterday at 8:53 PM

Or whatever it is

We know

Lenin_is_my_friend-Yesterday at 8:54 PM

Radical doesn't exclude revolution, or at least that was my understanding.

Meme - /u/_Ummmm-Yesterday at 8:54 PM

^

Sevag - /u/gaidz-Yesterday at 8:55 PM

I guess I'll see how they feel about it

/u/ethmcinerney-Yesterday at 8:55 PM

Radical is good enough

imo

revolutionary is kinda included in radical and its also better for PR

Sevag - /u/gaidz-Yesterday at 8:55 PM

I personally don't care

Some others might though

Meme - /u/_Ummmm-Yesterday at 8:55 PM

Then why bother

If somebody brings it up address it

Sevag - /u/gaidz-Yesterday at 8:56 PM

So any other concerns?

Meme - /u/_Ummmm-Yesterday at 8:56 PM

We're going to have to arrest the issue of the federal gov some time

/u/ethmcinerney-Yesterday at 8:56 PM

im glad to see were all for the decentralized structure (i got here a bit late)

Meme - /u/_Ummmm-Yesterday at 8:56 PM

But now is not the time

Sevag - /u/gaidz-Yesterday at 8:56 PM

We were talking about that

DocNedKelly-Yesterday at 8:56 PM

That was one of the highlights actually!

/u/ethmcinerney-Yesterday at 8:57 PM

for the most part federal govt was addressed

Meme - /u/_Ummmm-Yesterday at 8:57 PM

So are we no on federal or yes on federal?

/u/ethmcinerney-Yesterday at 8:57 PM

were ok with running/using federal govt with the intent of preventing bourgeois oppression and also trying to better the workers state if we have a majority

so yea ok with federal

bomalia-Yesterday at 8:58 PM

Alright. I'd just like to say that this is how I want decisionmaking to take place in the new party. Organic discussion where everyone's opinions are being considered, while also not rushing through to a decision.

/u/ethmcinerney-Yesterday at 8:59 PM

this is the future boys

were making it happen

DocNedKelly-Yesterday at 8:59 PM

My only other concern is transparency. Though I think Lenin, Ummmm, and I are pretty representative of the party, I want to make sure that people like Duce and Zanjero get to have a time to talk about this as well. But we can talk about that after organization.

/u/ethmcinerney-Yesterday at 8:59 PM

its happening

Sevag - /u/gaidz-Yesterday at 8:59 PM

Correct me if I'm wrong, but I think the general consensus is that we will obstruct for the most part on the federal level, no electoral deals with other parties, write mostly controversial bills but some none controversial bills as well, and prioritize the states

/u/ethmcinerney-Yesterday at 8:59 PM

exactly sevag

Meme - /u/_Ummmm-Yesterday at 8:59 PM

Yes

DocNedKelly-Yesterday at 8:59 PM

Good way to summarize it.

Meme - /u/_Ummmm-Yesterday at 9:00 PM

How will we "prioritize" states though

Sevag - /u/gaidz-Yesterday at 9:00 PM

States being more important than federal elections

Meme - /u/_Ummmm-Yesterday at 9:00 PM

Honestly the new election rules killed any chance of people outside the sim voting

So aslong as we have fewer people we can't expect majority's

/u/ethmcinerney-Yesterday at 9:02 PM

[@DocNedKelly](#) addressing transparency...I'd like to see this kind of discussion with those guys. I just dont know if theyre east coast guys. If we can get them set up in here frequently then I think theres no issue with transparency. Theres always the subreddit for them if they cant get on here

bomalialia-Yesterday at 9:02 PM

just give them a link to this chat tbh

/u/ethmcinerney-Yesterday at 9:02 PM

yea

please do

Sevag - /u/gaidz-Yesterday at 9:02 PM

Zanjero is English so that might be tricky

bomalia-Yesterday at 9:02 PM

they will be able to discuss in full

Meme - /u/_Ummmm-Yesterday at 9:02 PM

I'm pretty sure I sent a few of them a link

/u/ethmcinerney-Yesterday at 9:02 PM

if hes in GB then its not always an issue

Meme - /u/_Ummmm-Yesterday at 9:02 PM

But it was too socialist international

Sevag - /u/gaidz-Yesterday at 9:02 PM

Otherwise we can discuss on reddit

Meme - /u/_Ummmm-Yesterday at 9:02 PM

Not this chat

So how do we expect "more" activity in states?

having more bills being posted?

Sevag - /u/gaidz-Yesterday at 9:03 PM

We will have to be able to figure out how to balance Discord, Skype, and reddit

The discussion I mean

bomalia-Yesterday at 9:03 PM

skype shouldnt be the platform for communication

Meme - /u/_Ummmm-Yesterday at 9:03 PM

^

Sevag - /u/gaidz-Yesterday at 9:04 PM

Since the RSP is mostly Discord based now

Meme - /u/_Ummmm-Yesterday at 9:04 PM

yo

Sevag - /u/gaidz-Yesterday at 9:04 PM

We can't really say the same for us

Meme - /u/_Ummmm-Yesterday at 9:04 PM

If you could answer my question on states

That would be great

Sevag - /u/gaidz-Yesterday at 9:04 PM

Since a lot of the SP and the CP are on reddit

I'm not sure what you mean ummm

Meme - /u/_Ummmm-Yesterday at 9:04 PM

How will we build on a state level?

By posting more bills?

/u/ethmcinerney-Yesterday at 9:05 PM

by prioritize states we mean by making real change occur in maybe one state first then move on to the others

Meme - /u/_Ummmm-Yesterday at 9:05 PM

Having more legislators?

/u/ethmcinerney-Yesterday at 9:05 PM

it starts with electoral success^(edited)

Sevag - /u/gaidz-Yesterday at 9:05 PM

Run most of our candidates there and passing more hardline stuff

Meme - /u/_Ummmm-Yesterday at 9:06 PM

We should try too keep the pressure on

Fuck, I hate those new election rules so much though

Lenin_is_my_friend-Yesterday at 9:06 PM

Socialism is one state, I like the sound of that.

Sevag - /u/gaidz-Yesterday at 9:06 PM

Lol

/u/ethmcinerney-Yesterday at 9:06 PM

socialism in one state you mean?

DocNedKelly-Yesterday at 9:06 PM

I posted this on our subreddit.

https://www.reddit.com/r/ModelGreens/comments/4hl4b0/chat_with_the_cp_about_the_merger/

/u/ethmcinerney-Yesterday at 9:06 PM

thats all good

Meme - /u/_Ummmm-Yesterday at 9:06 PM

Thanks doc

Sevag - /u/gaidz-Yesterday at 9:06 PM

The election rules became shit after the electoral roll stayed on

/u/ethmcinerney-Yesterday at 9:06 PM

we welcome the discussion

electoral role is aids

Lenin_is_my_friend-Yesterday at 9:07 PM

Yes

Meme - /u/_Ummmm-Yesterday at 9:07 PM

I wish they would try to boost election participation atleast

Sevag - /u/gaidz-Yesterday at 9:07 PM

So does anyone else have any concerns?

Meme - /u/_Ummmm-Yesterday at 9:07 PM

Not really

/u/ethmcinerney-Yesterday at 9:08 PM

i think one of your members joined

bomalia-Yesterday at 9:08 PM

who is autumn wheat?

Meme - /u/_Ummm-Yesterday at 9:08 PM

Just making the whole thing run smoothly at first might be tough

/u/ethmcinerney-Yesterday at 9:08 PM

[@autumnWheat](#)

DocNedKelly-Yesterday at 9:08 PM

That's one of our members.

bomalia-Yesterday at 9:08 PM

i c

Sevag - /u/gaidz-Yesterday at 9:08 PM

Welcome!

autumnWheat-Yesterday at 9:08 PM

yep, socialist here

/u/autumnWheat