Judging Sheet

AWARD:	**Team**	
Name of team:		
Name of Judge:		
	Nomination is GDPR compliant[1]	Yes / No
	Used appropriate form	Yes / No
Scoring Key (Do **not** use half points)	0-1: Inadequate or inappropriate evidence in the nomination 2-3: Minimal evidence in the nomination 4-5: Moderate evidence in the nomination 6-7: Good evidence in the nomination 8-9: Strong evidence in the nomination 10: Excellent evidence in the nomination	

A. Clear outline of the team in relation to the case/context: including what they do, who is involved and how they contribute to the team objectives.	Score: #
B. Demonstrates a contemporary, innovative and evidence-based approach with outcomes that are relevant and, as far as possible, measurable. Consider how the team evaluates its practice/performance in order to improve	Score: #
C. A clear rationale for the approach, how the team works together, and in collaboration with others, to provide/design/deliver VR that is professional and effective. Consider the benefits/value of the team approach/model employed: e.g., how the whole is greater than the sum of its parts.	Score: #
TOTAL:	**# (out of 30)**

NB: *Testimonials and any other supporting evidence are not scored separately. They should be considered only in relation to how they support/evidence the degree of achievement in the 3 scored sections above. E.g. strong, clear and relevant testimonials will strengthen one or more of the scored components.*
NB: *Wordcounts are indicative with a 20% tolerance either way*
Refer to Guidelines and the nomination form for the information provided to the nominators.

[1] All applications must conform with GDPR. Other than the nominee and any nominator, no individual should be identifiable within the nomination or the appendices (e.g. client/ patient/ customer/ service user/ colleague etc.)