

OLA Super Conference Proposal Review Rubric

Criteria	Excellent = 4	Good = 3	Fair = 2	Poor = 1
Proposed Title & Description Title clearly describes the session, is interesting with enough detail to be engaging. <i>Scoring /4</i>	Title is clear, interesting and contains sufficient information to engage the audience. Description is well-written and explains clearly outlines the session.	Title is clear and generally relevant. Description is detailed enough to give an understanding of the intent, but does not fully outline the presenter's plan.	Title is not clear or inaccurately describes the proposed session. Description lacks enough detail to explain the session or to be engaging.	Title is not related to the proposed program, intentions are unclear.
Learning Objectives Are specific learning objectives clearly stated and actionable? <i>Scoring /4</i>	Learning objectives are specific and clearly stated with at least two measurable goals listed.	Learning objectives are generally clear, with at least one outcome listed.	Learning objectives are vague and not easily actionable or measurable.	Learning objectives are not stated.
Timeliness Is this a current topic in the library sector? <i>Scoring /4</i>	This is a hot topic for which there is high demand.	This topic has been explored in the past, but remains a very in-demand topic.	This topic has been presented in previous conferences; interest may be waning.	There is very limited demand for this topic, or a lot of content already exists around this topic.
Inclusion of Perspectives Does the proposal demonstrate inclusion and representation of multiple, diverse perspectives? <i>Scoring /4</i>	The proposal integrates multiple perspectives within a central theme, and includes underrepresented voices, as appropriate to the content. .	The proposal takes into account multiple perspectives and identities.	The proposal has a narrow representation of perspectives and identities.	The proposal presents a singular perspective.
New Approaches / Driving Change Does this content offer fresh, innovative ideas, methods or resources that will drive change in the library sector? <i>Scoring /4</i>	The content in the proposal will drive change in libraries. It shows or contributes a new way of doing things, indicates how other libraries can benefit from and apply this work to their own systems.	The content in the proposal demonstrates a new approach or resource to a common issue or topic.	The content in the proposal is different and fresh, but may have limited impact within other libraries.	The content in the proposal demonstrates a common approach to a common topic and has no bearing on other libraries in the sector.