

MANUSCRIPT REVIEW FORM

Reviewer	
Title of the	
manuscript	

Thank you for agreeing to be a reviewer for the journal "Economics and technical engineering"

Please provide your conclusion to the editor (which will not be sent to the authors) and detailed comments/recommendations to the author. Due to the editorial philosophy and current position of the journal, please provide critical but constructive suggestions on how to minimize the shortcomings of the manuscript. Comments should include recommendations for acceptance/rejection of the manuscript.

Due to our selection of experienced reviewers and in order to conduct a timely/efficient peer review process, please prepare the review within two weeks and send it to the journal's email address: ete@duet.edu.ua

Please use the language appropriate to the manuscript (Ukrainian or English).

Evaluation to the editor (please check the appropriate boxes)						
Criteria	Criteria					
	прийнятний			на даний момент не є прийнятним		
	Дуж е добр е	добре	невели кі недоліки	великі недоліки	абсолютно недостатнь о	
Are the manuscript's title, author's name(s), institution and keywords available in English and in the original language on the title page?						
Is the abstract structured and contains over 2000 characters?						
Is the content briefly described and contextualized in relation to the previous and current theoretical framework and empirical research on the topic?						
Are the reasons for the study clearly defined?						



	_								
Are the research plan, questions, hypotheses, and methods clearly formulated?									
Are the arguments and discussion of the conclusions consistent, balanced, and convincing?									
For an empirical study, are the results clearly presented?									
Are there enough links to the article?									
Are the conclusions supported by the results presented in the article or references to the literature?									
Are the results clearly explained and presented in an appropriate format?									
Do the figures and tables reflect the main data, or are there any that can be easily summarized in the text?									
Have all possible interpretations of the data been considered, or are there alternative hypotheses that are consistent with the available data?									
Are the results properly described in the context of the published literature?									
Have you found inappropriate self-citation of authors?									
General recommendation									
Accept in its present form									
Accept after minor revision									
Reconsideration after serious revision									
Reject									
Comments and suggestions for authors (will be shown to authors)									

