

Cryptonite

Top encryption pitfalls that could turn your app into crap



1. Using crypto unvetted by the global cryptographic community
 - a. out of 15, 2 [broke before](#) and 1 during the first AES conference
 - b. Hashing competition
 - i. SHA3 [competition](#)
 1. took from 2007 to 2012 with 64 entries
 2. [Keccak](#) was the winner
 3. many of them had [flaws](#), like collisions in round 1
 4. Bruce Schneier was a sad panda, his was runner-up
 5. paper was written in 1998, so things might have changed since then.
2. encrypting something already known
 - a. rainbow tables
 - b. [crib](#) (slang term for cheating) - known plaintext attack (happy birthday, hitler!)
 - c. helped break enigma
3. using encryption instead of hashing where encryption is not needed
 - a. passwords
 - b. pins
4. storing password values poorly
 - a. in the file itself (example: old MSOFFICE versions)
 - i. binary editor can reveal the password
 - b. ...in memory
 - i. core dumps can reveal passwords (wu-ftpd example)
 - ii. in lower-level langs, you can overwrite with zeros when finished
 - c. ...in config files
 - d. ...on same server as important data
 - i. auth should be separate (separation of duties)
 - e. ... the passwords themselves, instead of the verifiers
 - i. store proper hashes, with salts, not passwords
 - f. in your code repos
 - i. stored passwords left in code or settings file
5. using outdated encryption
 - a. need resources to look at list (FIPS 140-3 or NIST?)
6. using weak random numbers
 - a. guess digit 10001 when given the first 10000
 - b. initialization vectors

7. Hardcoding encryption
 - a. not future proof
 - b. shouldn't be done at all, allow for upgrades
 - c. See this in SCADA systems
 - i. SCADA system built now are still using crap code ideals, no option for upgrades
 - ii. not upgrading is no longer an option
8. Hardcoded passwords
 - a. again, see 6.c above as reasons to no longer do this.
9. Replaying TCP traffic
 - a. requires network access, but MITM can allow for reply of traffic to gain access.
 - b. proper use of session tokens, regenerating them
 - c. timestamping as well
 - i. [Timestamping](#) is another way of preventing a replay attack. [Synchronization](#) should be achieved using a secure protocol. For example Bob periodically broadcasts the time on his clock together with a MAC. When Alice wants to send Bob a message, she includes her best estimate of the time on his clock in her message, which is also authenticated. Bob only accepts messages for which the timestamp is within a reasonable tolerance. The advantage of this scheme is that Bob does not need to generate (pseudo-) random numbers, with the trade-off being that replay attacks, if they are performed quickly enough i.e. within that 'reasonable' limit, could succeed.
10. remote login over unencrypted channel
 - a. vulnerability scanners should be able to detect
 - b. tunnel connections over TLS 1.2
11. managing passwords poorly
 - a. use strong [key derivation functions](#) for hash storage - example: lastpass uses PBKDF2 with sha256 and defaults to 5000 iterations and allows user to set number of iterations.
 - i. [PBKDF2](#)
 - ii. [bcrypt](#)
 - iii. [Scrypt](#)
 - iv. [argon2](#) (source on GitHub)
 - b. one password/key to rule them all
 - i. different keys and passwords for different data (CC#, auth, PII)
 1. different keys will make data harder to dump all at once

<http://stackoverflow.com/questions/16891729/best-practices-salting-peppering-passwords>

<https://password-hashing.net/>

<http://www.infoworld.com/article/2923777/encryption/5-ways-developers-get-encryption-wrong.html>

<http://scpd.stanford.edu/search/publicCourseSearchDetails.do?method=load&courseId=128522>

https://www.cs.ucsb.edu/~chris/research/doc/ccs13_cryptolint.pdf

<http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/fips/fips140-2/fips1402annexa.pdf>

email from Brian Hearn (bds listener) *if time allows*