[Closed - sent to the Board]

ICANN78 NCSG/ Board Session, Tuesday, 24 October 2023 11:30-12:30 local time

[Closed - sent to the Board]

 Board questions to NCSG: Looking forward over the next 3 - 5 years, what are the key strategic issues ICANN and the ICANN ecosystem should be addressing in the FY26-30 Strategic plan, and why?

When ICANN first started, the Internet was an open network of networks where most players saw the value of co-operating to keep the network running as smoothly as possible, and governments felt regulation of such a quickly evolving target would not be wise. It seems those days are long gone, and governments are both looking at increased regulation (leading to fragmentation) as well as using the network for surveillance of their citizens. At the same time the tech giants are busy replacing the public Internet based on open standards with proprietary, closed walled gardens based on collecting and selling detailed information about their users, and making public identifiers increasingly irrelevant. How is ICANN positioning itself in this new world?

2. NCSG questions/topics to the Board:

- → Bruna: WSIS+20 is going to happen in 2025 and that seems to be a rather decisive year for Internet Governance w/ the outcomes of the Summit of the Future like GDC and the IGF Mandate renewal. A lot of folks in the community, including ICANN org, seem to believe that the outcome could potentially impact ICANN's mission. Does the Board share this view? If so, what is the Board's plan to prepare for and defend ICANN from the potential impact? What do you think the community can do to supplement/reinforce the effort?
- → MSM participation and relevance of the TUNIS model.
- \rightarrow IGF meeting and local meetings w/ board members engaging in them. Taiwan IGF, Canadian IGF, large delegation of ICANN in Kyoto. At the IGF there was tremendous support for the MSM, icann staff and board had meetings w/ governments.
- → What does IG mean ? it's a highly layered approach and icann care about it. There's no other way of managing that besides the model we have.
- → Board has made it a priority for the board and CEO has done the same goals for FY 24.
- → No need to defend but to continue working instead. (transcript had it as "pretend")
- \rightarrow Good consensus on the matter. We have to protect the model, we have to be proactive and literally had this conversation a lot of times during the week. ICANN is aware of the content and how relevant the process and inflection point is.
- \rightarrow Its not just one event, it's a series. One of the reasons why this is a CEO goal is bc many people in the community have asked this question.
- → Change it into a campaign and advocacy moment that shares thoughts about the relevance of ICANN and its MSM;

- → We need to get things right and not over engaged. External help and support of different types: Assessing whats in the budget and what else can be done.
- → Interaction in regional IGFs is relevant
- \rightarrow Issues and/or concerns w/ what the Multistakeholder does for us and so on. Its important to remember these voices, go back and understand the concerns. The voices defending MS are there and are present.
- → Need to make other governments aware of IG and its relevance; But theres still some limitation to what can be done
- → Stephanie? One of our Interim CEO Sally Costerton's FY24 goal is Environmental Sustainability Strategy. In the blog post it was suggested that ICANN will initiate the development of a comprehensive approach for implementing an environmental sustainability strategy. How has this been progressing? Can you share more details?
- → Kathy? Can you talk about progress in the Applicant Support area? As you know, the SubPro Working Group recommended a wider range of support for Applicants, including funds to help with the cost of preparing an application. We know the Board raised "fiduciary concerns" about and that there has been discussion with the Council. Can you share with us how you see the path forward on this issue? How can we help Applicants who need support to apply for gTLDs to obtain it? Also, how much money do you think ICANN will be providing for Applicant Support in the next round? Finally, what do you think of proposals for its distribution through non-profit organizations, thereby removing any direct connection between ICANN and the advice and guidance provided?
- → What is your view of the AFRINIC situation, and what role do you see for ICANN in resolving it?