

TEI Technical Council

Teleconference, 2020-06-09

EST 09:00–10:30, GMT 14:00–15:30, CEST 15:00–16:30

Meet:

- Zoom -
<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/89629618842?pwd=Y0dpeDh0SkhLY3UrMVYxVS9VSHB1dz09>

Present:

- Syd Bauman (SB)
- Elisa Beshero-Bondar (EB)
- Hugh Cayless (HC)
- Nicholas Cole (NC, until ~14:21Z)
- Jessica Lu (JL)
- Martina Scholger (MS, chair)
- Peter Stadler (PS)
- Raff Viglianti (RV, until 14:26Z)

Apologies/Not Present:

- Meaghan Brown (MB)
- Magdalena Turska (MT)

Note: ticket triage table is near the end of this document.

Started: 13:06Z Ended: 14:35Z

Agenda:

- Planning next release
 - Release techs: NC (note taker), HC (lead tech), JL (support tech)
 - When: Week of Aug 9–15, 2020 Target: Tues 08-11 & Wed 08-12
 - Finalize the content model of standoff:
<https://github.com/TEIC/TEI/issues/1977>
 - SB: We really want to tackle the Web Annotation Model for the next release.
 - [shared document](#) that describes the use-cases we envision, what we need to support WAM, and what we're already doing?
 - HC, SB: we want to have a mapping on things that make sense.

- NC: what are the open questions that those examples raise?
- HC: Motivation wanted to convince himself that we can use WAM without inventing new elements. It works with existing TEI elements (except <assert>).
- SB points out WADM has other features we need to consider, e.g. allowing multiple targets or bodies (or both), or allowing selection by CSS or XPath (or anything else)?
- RV: There can be multiple bodies and targets in WADM: <https://www.w3.org/TR/annotation-model/#cardinality-of-bodies-and-targets>. "It is also possible for an Annotation to have multiple Bodies and/or Targets. In this case, each Body is considered to be equally related to each Target individually, rather than to the set of all of the Targets."
- EB: add examples that discuss whitespace issue
- Council agrees: define the content model of <annotation> and add some attributes. There has to be a section on <annotation> in the Guidelines. RV, NC: want to help with writing documentation.
- Several issues with "Status:Go"
 - <https://github.com/TEIC/TEI/issues?q=is%3Aissue+is%3Aopen+label%3A%22Status%3A+Go%22+-label%3A%22Status%3A+Needs+Discussion%22+> that might go into the release. Should we add those to the [Milestone](#)?
 - General consensus is "yes"; suggestion that ticket triage person do this (**action on SB**: move GO tickets to milestone)
- **Action on MS** to add milestone
- Aim to finish tickets at the end of July.
- **Action on MS** to send email to TEI-L on next release schedule
- Next (virtual) F2F meeting
 - Sat 24–Mon 26 Oct
- Report from labeling session
 - more session are needed
- @version issue: <https://github.com/TEIC/TEI/issues/1993>
 - **Action on MS**: write to the TEI list about @version.
- Elections - terms - rotation
 - To move to a 4, 4, 3 structure of 3 year terms, I believe that in the elections this year we should have 4 Council members elected for 3 years, and 1 council member for 2 years.
 - Next year we elect 4 Council members for 3 years, and 2 Council members for just 1 year. The year after that we elect 3 Council members and are in a solid 4,4,3 repeating pattern.
- Ticket [#1916. Corpus Exemplar should more explicitly state what it's for](#): This ticket was discussed by subgroup C (HC, MB, EB) at the VF2F. Their comments were "Subgroup agrees with Martin, we should recommend oXygen proceed with changing the template file names on disk. (Open a ticket on oXygen or contact Radu?)".
 - Council notes there has been significant discussion on the ticket since then.
 - There has also been discussion on TEI-L recently.

- Address confusion over use of the Corpus customization for non-linguists. Generally we need to rethink the exemplars (i.e., the ODD files, etc., in P5/Exemplars/). PS suggests we keep the teiCorpus exemplar, but suppress it from being delivered to oXygen.
- SB asserts it is a useless exemplar, as it does nothing but supply a set of <moduleRef>s. MS notes a similar issue on dictionaries.
- SB notes that we have several such exemplars [that he called “template exemplars” in his jTEI paper]. [**Action on SB:** provide a summary categorization of the Exemplar/ ODDs on the ticket.] They could be eliminated or reduced to a single example. If reduced to a single example, then corpora may be a good test case, as it requires @start of <schemaSpec> (to allow <TEI> or <teiCorpus> as the root).
- Piotr has volunteered to create a new, more realistic exemplar for encoding linguistic corpora (but could take a while.) SB: The customizations on dictionaries, drama, math, speech don't seem necessary now (or then). **Action on SB** to ask Piotr to provide ODD for corpus.

Reminder:

- SB, PS, and JL to discuss issue <https://github.com/TEIC/TEI/issues/1955>
- MS to contact Gerrit Brüning re MS SIG about not declaring it dormant
- SB and PS to work on issue <https://github.com/TEIC/TEI/issues/1918> (Investigate changing the class membership of <q>)

Ticket Triage (and minute review) assignment table

Month	ticket triage	minute review/assist
June 2020	SB	SB
July 2020	EB	JL
August 2020	NC	SB
September 2020	JL	NC
October 2020	RV	JL
November 2020	NC	SB
December 2020	SB	EB