

Recommendation 15: Terminology Updates: Administrative Contact and Transfer Contact

Recommendation 15: The working group recommends removing any reference to an “Administrative Contact” or “Transfer Contact” in the Transfer Policy and replacing it with “Registered Name Holder” unless specifically indicated.

Rationale: Under the Registration Data Policy, Administrative Contact data is no longer collected by the Registrar. Accordingly, the Registered Name Holder would be the only authorized transfer contact.

***Disclaimer:** This working document has been developed to facilitate the WG’s consideration of the concerns expressed and possible updates to the recommendations from the Initial Report. However, this does not replace the WG members’ obligation to review all input received in full and to indicate if any concerns in this overview have inadvertently been mischaracterized or left out.*

Key Principles for Public Comment Review:

- *If suggested edits are minor and editorial in nature, focus on whether you can live with them.*
- *If suggested edits are substantive, focus on what new information or insights that have been provided by the commenter.*
- *If concerns, information, or perspectives have already been considered in the development of the recommendations, consider how the report can strengthen the rationale by showing how these have been addressed and why the WG took the approach it did.*
- *Members are representing their groups, not their individual or employer positions/perspectives. Now is the time to make sure you are aligned.*
- *The goal is to make recommendations that achieve consensus support. If there isn’t consensus to change the policy, the existing policy remains in place.*

Noted Concerns/Proposed Edits:

a) Proposed edit	Corresponding PCRT comment(s)
------------------	-------------------------------

<p>We believe that communications/notices should also be sent to the tech contact, rather than just the RNH, for maximum opportunity of "actual notice" (as per prior section comments). [only the RNH would get a TAC, though; but notices can go to many]</p>	<p>Rec 15: #4</p>
<p>Potential next step: WG to review notes from discussion of comments related to recommendations 3 and 4 and determine if any further consideration needs to be given to this comment as it applies to other communications/notices.</p>	
<p>WG discussion and agreement: Consistent with agreement in response to comments related to recommendations 3 and 4, the WG agreed that it should be possible but optional to send notification to the Tech Contact. See working documents for recommendations 3 and 4 for additional details about deliberations on this issue.</p>	