

# Contract Compliance Investigation - Damien\_Sagewind

Mon, May 12, 2025 6:36PM • 32:11

## SUMMARY KEYWORDS

DHA contract, Intellect Solutions, Tria Federal, subcontracting, compliance, Work Share, small business, fraudulent behavior, General Counsel, Sage Wind, federal contracts, non-compliance, investigation, revenue cycle, legal advice.

## SPEAKERS

John Jolissaint, Speaker 1, Speaker 2

**Speaker 1** 00:14

This is this is Dan, Hi, this is John. How are you?

00:18

I'm all right. All right,

**Speaker 1** 00:22

yes. So you said you wanted to talk, yeah.

**Speaker 2** 00:25

Well, I obviously saw the email discussions with Steve, and wanted to get some idea of what the you know, what the concern was, and see if I could write down,

**John Jolissaint** 00:39

sure, yeah, so do you do? You have any any idea why, and maybe I reached out, I feel like you do, because I looked up your history.

**Speaker 2** 00:52

I mean, I don't specifically know why. I mean, obviously there, there were things referenced in the email that I know about, but I do not know why. Okay,

**John Jolissaint** 01:03

all right, fair enough. So do you do you know who I work for?

01:09

I do not. Okay, are you

**John Jolissaint** 01:11

familiar with their portfolio? Portfolio that sage win has federal contracts, government contracts.

**Speaker 2** 01:16

Yes, I'm familiar with the portfolio companies. Okay, lovely.

**John Jolissaint** 01:22

So with the DHA contract, you're aware that that it was awarded to a woman owned small business, economically disadvantaged woman owned small business.

01:34

So the DHA contract award to which company

**John Jolissaint** 01:38

was awarded to intellect solutions in July or August of 2024

01:43

Okay, all right.

01:54

Gotta be fucking kidding me. I

**John Jolissaint** 02:08

I'm so sorry about that. My mom is keeps calling. Okay, we're good, fine. So So again, you're very respected in this field, and you know a lot, and you kind of like, made some comments that made me really just interested in how contracts and subcontracts, the whole arrangements, are negotiated, and they're kind of these situations are perpetuated. We'll put it like that. So I guess I really don't know what I'm asking. I don't think that I have anything to ask me. I was just trying to see what you knew. But it doesn't seem like you know anything, or it won't say anything. So well,

02:51

know about what, right? So like, so you tell

**John Jolissaint** 02:54

me, I guess I'm trying to figure out, because I feel like that's why sage win bought them, because of because of how they're good, they're good at these kinds of activities.

**Speaker 2** 03:02

Okay, so let's, let's go from there, right? So, so these activities, so So winning. I'm not going to answer any

**John Jolissaint** 03:11

questions. I'm sorry, I'm sorry, I'm sorry. I'm not going to answer any any questions that could, like, potentially implicate me or I'm not a lawyer. I know you are. I'm not going to like do that. I was hoping more like, you would be able to answer my questions, if that's okay,

**Speaker 2** 03:23

that's fine. You can ask me stuff I'm happy to I'm happy to answer if I know the answer. Okay,

**John Jolissaint** 03:29

fair enough. I think, I think that you should be able to answer these that you know the law anyway, so you should be able to answer them in this, in that sense, right?

**Speaker 2** 03:38

Yeah. I mean, definitely. But again, I don't, I don't really know, like, again, the purpose of the call was to try to understand, you know, there's, there's sort of, so how about discussion about the DHA

engagement and what that is? Now I do. I guess it's something that, it's something that that was, that was won by in like solution.

**John Jolissaint 04:01**

Well, this was just one of many, many contracts that I have questions about. If you could just bear with me, please, I just have a few questions that would really, really help. Okay, so, given what I said about the DHA contract as a W, O, S, B, prime, what do you think are like the important compliance checkpoints that are associated with that kind of contract being won

**Speaker 2 04:22**

Well, I mean, it's, you know, did you qualify for it at the time of award, and if you had it at the time of a transaction, did you recertify? So, you know, that's, I mean, from a large business perspective, those are the things I care about.

**John Jolissaint 04:40**

Okay, that makes sense. Okay, so the contract, a significant portion of the contract work itself is done by Tria federal and guide house, not in elect solutions. The people that the business that won the contract visibly not performing. I think 51% of the work is what is required under the contract,

**Speaker 2 05:02**

I would agree that 51% of the amount paid for services has to go to the prime, right? That's true.

**John Jolissaint 05:10**

Okay? What do you mean they have to go to the so I'm just curious, does that mean, like, even if they don't do the work, the 51% of the money just has to go to the prime, or they

**Speaker 2 05:18**

have to do the work? Well, the calculation for what's so what you're talking about is the limitation on subcontracting. Right? Limitation on subcontracting is not calculated by FTEs. It's calculated by amount paid for work performed. So like in theory, if you had one FTE who was paid 51% of the money, and you had 100 FTEs who were paid 49% of the money, that would be fully compliant,

**Speaker 1 05:42**

okay, right? That would make sense. That makes sense, okay,

**Speaker 2 05:47**

yeah. So, I mean, I again, I don't know anything about this fact pattern, yeah, like, but what, I guess, what I would say is that is a prime contractor obligation, right? So that's an intellect solutions, obligation to meet that requirement, right? Yeah. And the other thing I would say about limitation on subcontracting is that it is not measured in real time, which is to say that it is measured at the end of given periods. So in general, it is measured at the end of the base period, and then for each individual option that changes based on whether it's an award under an IDIQ contract or similar. But in general, the measurement is sort of over time. So you could be out of compliance. You could be out of compliance in year one, year two, year three and year four, and come into compliance in year five. And given a five year base, you could be compliant. Does that make sense? Sure,

**John Jolissaint 06:50**

that does make sense. Yeah, that does make sense. So if you mind, just a few more questions. So there's so in Tria, they made a public announcement on LinkedIn, basically when this award was given publicly and in all the documentations that intellect solutions, Tria said on LinkedIn, you know, congrats

to our team. We've been an integral part of DHA ubo since 2004 just curious if it creates a perception issue like, could someone claim that intellect is just a figurehead, or all these other primes are just figureheads, and tree is effectively running a show? Like, do you think that that could be seen that way? You

**Speaker 2** 07:32

could. You could make the argument. I mean, you'd have to have the facts behind it right. But like, you could certainly, you could certainly make the argument that you know that, that that is the case. I've not seen the press release, so I don't really know. I don't really know what it what it says or doesn't say,

**John Jolissaint** 07:49

Well, you know how the contracts are structured. You said, right? You said, you know all their portfolio companies are structured.

**Speaker 2** 07:54

I know how the portfolio companies are structured. I work with all the portfolio companies. So I know, I know that Tria is not a small business any longer. What I don't, but I, but I do not negotiate every one of their subcontracts, right? So I do not know what percentage of work they are or aren't doing and how they're working that Work Share, right? Okay.

**Speaker 1** 08:21

Yeah, okay. I think the I think that I'm okay. I appreciate the time you took to answer my question. I mean,

**Speaker 2** 08:30

I guess I want to to the extent you have a concern, I want to help. Is there anything else that you that you need or I mean, obviously I'm not, I'm probably not in enough of the facts to satisfy you. Yeah,

08:46

no, it's fine. Are we, I

**Speaker 2** 08:48

guess, I guess my fundamental question is, are we closed off on on this? Is there something that I should know, or is there additional follow up required? I

**John Jolissaint** 08:58

think that. I think that, yeah, maybe you shouldn't. I may have got a list of, yeah, I think that. I think that you should know. Man, I don't know how to say this. So if you were in a situation where you found out that, like, number of the current contracts, much less probably historic ones as well. We're all structured a certain way, which is inherently fraudulent, and they're hiding it because they are don't have to maybe submit certain things publicly, because that's how small business contracts, or, you know, whatever, they don't have to make subcontracting plans and have to publicly say who they're paying and shit like, what if you found that a situation like, basically, they met, they optimized that, that situation, they knew how to make that fraudulent situation like to their benefit, and probably to the nth degree times 100 and then dominate the space. Could what would you do then next like do? Would you first believe it as their as their council, and then would you do anything about it? Hypothetically, of course. So,

**Speaker 2** 10:00

so I So, so, okay, so I don't know what is. Number one, I don't know what information you have or don't have, right. Number two, I I usually do not jump to the conclusion that people are committing fraud, right? I tend to, what if I told

**John Jolissaint 10:19**

you I have proof? Would you just hypothetically believe me right here, like for could go through the exercise be happy to

**Speaker 2 10:25**

look at whatever it is I I'm open minded to it. I don't know if I

**John Jolissaint 10:29**

think I should send it to you, though, because I feel like you're, you're, you're meant to protect the employer, right? Just in theory

**Speaker 2 10:35**

that my, my right, my client is, is, is, Tria or sage wind or Steve, or whoever you want to look at in that, in that, in that range, right? But if you want to raise something, I'm happy to run it down and see if there's there, there, right? I am in a unique position to tell them what to do in terms of getting information. You know, as as, yeah, Council, so yes, I absolutely could run something down. So there was, if there was proof, there is proof. Look,

**John Jolissaint 11:09**

look, look, look, look, Damien, listen, Damien, listen, listen, listen, listen, I'm telling you right now there is proof. And I'm telling you, human to human, like, this is a situation that is, there's a lot of money involved in this, and they're taking away opportunities from people that are really deserving, like these women owned small businesses, for example, in this case, and this is just one example of of the influence that they probably that's why sage wouldn't bought Tria. So you now know the situation. I'm telling you, it's there. I'm not going to give you any evidence. They know that evidence is there, you know, I'm just curious if you plan on doing anything about it. Great. I mean, your reputation says that you are great in this space, and I'm hoping that you will do something. I'm just curious if that's going to occur.

**Speaker 2 11:52**

Well, I mean, I'll tell you what I will do is I'm going to take this back to the the General Council of sage wind, and I'm going to ask to look at what's going on at Tria vis a vis and, I mean, I guess the answer is that all I have to go on, I think, is to look at this recent award with intellect, right? And at DHA and see kind of what we're looking at. So I can, I, you know, so, so, so we will. I run down the Work Share breakdown, yeah. I mean, if the but, if the wind just happened, it's not gonna matter, right? Like, if, do you

**John Jolissaint 12:38**

think that, you think that this is the first time that this has happened. Or do you think that that I'm just, I would just happen to be on this one contract? That's how I know firsthand that the situation is the way that it is. But this is not the, I mean, this is just the most recent one. And I think there's even one after this too, actually a pharmacy contract that is structured the same way I know there is, and it's like worth even more. It's like 48 million. So, I mean, we can talk play this little game, but I I know for a fact that this, this is the way that it is. So

**Speaker 2 13:10**

am I structured the same way? You mean one by

**John Jolissaint** 13:14

a small business staff, fully by Tria staff, or or Tria or tree associates, people that have been bought by Sage wind, anyone that is not the small business that has won the award.

**Speaker 2** 13:30

You know, in that, I guess my question is, in that, in that, in that instance, that if that's true, right, true, then the the answer would be to fix it, right? We should, yeah, we should adjust the Work Share so that over the actual measurement period, whatever that is, you know, whether it's one year or five, and it sort of depends. But whatever the measurement period is, we would adjust the Work Share to actually hit the numbers and and so that's that would, I mean. So hypothetically were I look at those things and say, You guys are out of kilter, my advice would be, get back in line.

**John Jolissaint** 14:07

What if it's intentional, and it can be proven that it was intentional?

**Speaker 2** 14:13

Well, I mean, again, it's not measured at any particular moment, so you couldn't say, if you were to say, hey, you intend to do more than 51% of this work, that would be a premature argument, right? And

**John Jolissaint** 14:31

unless there is proof of them, unless there's proof of them in the bidding process, how they structured, I mean, how the bid itself, which I mean, I have proof of that too. I just think that, oh man. I just think maybe I should stop talking. I think you're not going to give me what I need. Oh man, this is so unfortunate.

**Speaker 2** 14:48

Well, I will look at it. I just the, I need to look at what's going on. But again, if the, if the answer is that folks intended to do it wrong, but they end up doing it right, then that's not, they

**John Jolissaint** 15:03

didn't, they didn't, they didn't, they didn't, they didn't, they did it. And these contracts that have been structured this way, yeah, paid for, and then they have also been closed out. There's proof of them doing this behavior, and then it's already it's already been paid for, so it's already happened, and now they're doing it again and another to another company and another situation. Yeah, and I think that's why, I hate to say it's why sage wouldn't probably bought them, but like, the strategy is good for a reason, and now they're doing the same kind of shady behavior that I know you have seen and you're just witnessing. Why can't you just work with me here?

**Speaker 2** 15:35

Yeah? How do you know? How do you know? So, so I just know. I'm

15:39

not going to tell you how I know. I'm not going to tell you how I know. I

**Speaker 2** 15:42

how I know, okay, because I'm trying to retrace your steps and figure out how I can know, right? But I yeah, I mean, I can certainly, I can certainly look into so

**John Jolissaint 15:53**

question. If I if you were on a contract that was won by a company and then you were the only person on that contract that worked for that company. Would that not be enough for you to know that this is number one, intentional number two, like they're not fulfilling in any way close to the fucking 51% you know? Yeah, yeah. You don't have to ask well at that. So that was the situation that I was in that that I was literally the only person from my I was still the only one on that contract and me asking questions just did not, you know, bode well with anybody, which, you know, Red flag number one. And here I am asking the same kind of questions for something that is blatantly obvious, dude. And I'm just getting gaslit left and right, and now, you know the situation, and you're still not working with me here. It's just so obvious. It's so fucking obvious, dude. I'm the only one from the company there and they won the contract. It's like,

**Speaker 2 16:46**

well, I'm, again, I'm happy to look into that. I mean, I think the answer would be like, so if that's true, then yeah, there may be a current non compliance. I don't know that to be true, right? So the other possibility is, I mean, as you know, it can also be a similarly situated business.

**John Jolissaint 17:00**

It's not if they're I thought of all these things. I thought of all these things. I'm telling you, it's intentional, telling it's intentional.

**Speaker 2 17:08**

Again, I think so, so, so I've got, here's what I've got so far. So I've got a DHA contract, I guess, with intellect solutions, I can are there other contracts you reference the

**John Jolissaint 17:20**

pharmacy. No, there's, there's other ones in like solutions. Yes, there's also other ones with Tria and other small businesses too. Let me see, Hang on. Let me just pull up a list and give you the one that I have off the top of my hand.

**Speaker 2 17:33**

And these are the ones where there's a problem, right? So you do,

**John Jolissaint 17:37**

oh, these are the ones where they where they have a verified similar subcontracting. Arrangement where they have fully staffed by Tria or whatever, and it's won by somebody else. It's like Tria is just completely dominating the small business space. And like creating these shell companies or whatever, or buying these companies too, like you said, if they recertify during a certain year or before certain time, like they are able to be grandfathered in, like, you have made all these very public comments that I feel like no offense is, this is the strategy book for for Tria, and it's great, but it's not sustainable, because y'all fucked up, like it's visible now. So what are we you know, like, what are you gonna do now that you've advised these people to do this kind of thing. That's like, not great for them. Clearly, I don't know that was a lot. So what do you think

**Speaker 2 18:31**

so? Well, so you were gonna, you were gonna, so there's this DHA contract. There's apparently, a pharmacy contract. I'm happily, I'm happy to look at the Work Share allocation for intellect. Solutions. Are there other, other that

**John Jolissaint 18:43**



you think, yeah, have an issue, yeah? So just Yep, I'm gonna let you figure that out. I mean, I think you're your lawyer, right? You're probably good at investigating definitely. I'm not a lawyer, and I was able to find it. I was able to find it easily. So I know you can. I faith in you.

**Speaker 2 18:56**

No, I'm happy to look at it. Yeah, yeah. I'm happy to look at it. I mean, as I say, I I'm not aware of any non compliance. How long have

**Speaker 1 19:04**

you worked? And were you were there at federal advisory part or two, when they before it became Tria.

**Speaker 2 19:11**

So it depends on when, yeah, after stage, when acquired fap, before it became Tria. I was there before that, when fap was independent, I was not there. Okay, right? So, so that was a different a different period, and I guess that's the other thing I would note, is that, you know, so the other thing that could be happening, and you should sort of be aware of this, is that for subcontracts, if you, if you have a transaction subcontract, size is only measured once, so it could be entirely possible that if, if fap, or any of the other subsidiaries were small at the time, that they were awarded their subcontracts and then they were acquired, that does not change the nature of those subcontracts, and it wouldn't impose additional work share obligations on them. Now, if you're right that, that there's a, you know, one person from the small, and there's, I don't know, 99 people from the large, then there's not a lot of way. I mean, there's not a lot of ways for that to square, yeah, it's possible, but there's not a lot of ways. But I, you know, I don't know that's true, right? And I certainly do not know that's true across the board. If there is some playbook, I guess I got to figure that out, yeah? But I'm not, I'm not aware of one. I mean, I think the assumption is that you get up to 49% or, if you're in a joint venture, you can get up to 60% right? Those are sort of the standard workshare numbers. And I'm not, I'm not aware of any deviations from that, but that's, that's kind of how it's, you know, how it should be set up, put it that way. Yeah, right. So I'm happy to look into it any so if there's other stuff you want me to look at, tell me and I'll do it. I'm just sort of, you know, as I say, I'm, I'm trying to, trying to figure out as much as I can here, yeah, so that I can, I can look into whatever it is is going on. Yeah,

**John Jolissaint 21:18**

well, you know, I don't know. I don't know. I just, I feel like someone's told me that I should, I give you this, all this information. Now, you seem like a good dude, and you know the game well, you know, kind of like how I'm a big fan of people that, you know, how can, like, optimize their taxes, for example, and like, use the best of their situation to not, like, leverage the gray area, but whatever, like, do the best for their situation that they have. That being said, your comments make me think that you kind of are able to do that in this space. But then maybe some people with bad intentions just took it a little bit further than was legally able to be done. And I think that that's where we are. And now that I've given you that information again, hoping that maybe you do the right thing or whatever is the right thing. Who fucking knows? I just know that i Someone told me to maybe wait until May 23 and just see what happens at that point, and then maybe I should go nuclear or whatever. But what's

22:13

special about May 23 No,

**John Jolissaint 22:16**

that's just someone said it was like, I don't know how many days after yesterday? Yeah, something, yeah, yeah. Well, here's what, here's



**Speaker 2 22:27**

here's they say. So here's what I intend to do. I intend to brief statements general counsel on this, and I intend to discuss it with Tria and to get the actual numbers for the actual subcontracts with intellect solutions. So I can do that much. If those turn out to be a problem,

**John Jolissaint 22:50**

it's going to be a problem. I want to know. So can you just play the scenario in your head, buddy? Just play it out in your head. You don't think I've thought of these things through and you, you're going to come to the same conclusion that I know what I know, and I was, you're gonna find out that I was fired for what I know, and I also you're gonna find out that I just won \$50,000 because they tried to agree with my severance for what I know. And I found out that, you know, they're just fucking up left and right because they're so bad at what they're doing. And I don't know if it's just intellect solutions, and then maybe, you know, tree is now finally getting, you know, whatever found out because of in Lexi bullshit. But, I mean, I don't know. I've given you this information. I was hoping that you would have a different response. But just forget that you work well, my response is, I don't know. Yet you do know. You do know, because it's that your public comments can tell me that you do know. Inherently, you have to know.

**Speaker 2 23:38**

I know, I'll tell you, I know that. You know, I know how to comply with the small business rules, right? Like, yeah, that is, that is, that is part of what I

**Speaker 1 23:49**

do for a living. Then why are they? Why are they fucking up so publicly? They're fucking up so publicly? Well,

**Speaker 2 23:55**

I'm, that's what I'm going to find out. Is, is there something there? Is there a problem? Or is it, is there some sort of an information disconnect? Yeah, I'm happy to do that, and I will, I will raise it up, but, but again, you know, I just, I just want to be clear, yeah, you know, it is, it is entirely possible that they're compliant. I don't know if you it sounds like you've raised the

**John Jolissaint 24:23**

intellect I am. I have been, I've been gaslit throughout this whole situation. I've had lawyers are too scared to help me in this situation. I know what I know, and it will only be worse if you do not help me, and it will only be worse for you and your career if you do not help me. Dude, that's all I'm going to say right now, you have until May 23 to, like, just help me, dude. I'm really trying to be a good person here, not just destroy you guys so publicly. Oh, god.

24:50

What's your optimal outcome? There

**John Jolissaint 24:52**

is, you know, I could have extorted you guys. I could have done so much, and it's just not in me to do something that's unethical and, like, perpetuate this constant like one upping of hurt, you know, I just want it to be different. I want people to fucking treat each other better. I don't want to have to work for an employer that exploits me or that exploits other people or exploits a system. So, yeah, I want, I want every dime that I can get out of this situation. I want y'all to pay for what y'all fucking done to me and everyone else in this situation. You have this started because I could not find the labor cat rates, LCAT rates for my company that I when I found out that my co worker made \$80,000 less than I did for very

similar work, and that's what started this whole witch hunt of me trying to figure out what they were doing that I could smell was wrong. I Yeah.

**Speaker 2 25:43**

Okay, so, so, so, as I said, I will look into it, and I'll take a look at what the what the Work Share breakdown is.

25:49

Oh, my God, Amy.

**Speaker 2 25:51**

I can only rely what I'll tell you is I can only rely on they give me. But I'm happy to ask people and be like, how did you bid it? How are you performing it? What is the current work share? And it sounds like maybe you, you did raise these concerns. Yeah, intellect, and there wasn't any Damien,

**John Jolissaint 26:11**

please. There wasn't any Damien. Damian, Damian, Damian, Damian. Yeah, I've done, I've done all this. I know. I know what I know. Please don't. Please don't patronize me. I really want to have a productive conversations. Do whatever conversation you need to have with your people. I'll be here. Like I said, you've got, I'm not going anywhere, and you don't have till the 23rd I think that's when I want everything to be really in motion. You've got probably 24 hours before I start. I don't know, threatening statement again, because I'm bored, you know, and it's just fun for me, because this is so unethical, and y'all are just really, oh man, making it so easy for me to to want to make y'all pay, because this is not okay, and you're continuing to gaslight me with your reputation online. It's just sad, dude. So say whatever you need to that's strategic for you and your ass. But uh, y'all, y'all can't you're not gonna keep this up forever

**Speaker 2 27:00**

again. I will look into it. And I think that's, frankly, I guess, the most you can ask for is I got to get the facts together, unless you're willing to

27:08

give them me. I know,

**Speaker 2 27:12**

right? Well, I I understand that your position, so I'm going to go

27:16

get them, okay, and I'm going to draw

**Speaker 2 27:18**

a conclusion, okay? And whatever, whatever that might be, and then, you know, we'll make decisions from there. But you know, again, the what you should be asking of me is a good faith investigation, not a conclusion. I can't draw a conclusion without facts, so, you know, that's fine. Frankly, that's what you should be asking me for, and that's what I'm offering right is is a, is a, is a. I will look at it, and I will try to figure out what's going on, and I will look at the proposals and the current work share breakdown. So what

**John Jolissaint 27:52**

should I be asking if I'm right? So play it like the scenario that I'm right, and again, I want to be cooperative and nice in the remember human empathy throughout this whole thing. What should I be asking you then, assuming everything is right?

**Speaker 2** 28:07

Yeah, I mean, well, assuming everything is real, then it sounds like it sounds like intellect has a problem. No,

**John Jolissaint** 28:13

it's they're a victim. It's if I am right, and Tria is the one orchestrating everyone behind the scenes and causing all these smaller companies debate on things, basically, so tree can work them. What should I be asking?

**Speaker 2** 28:26

You ask Tria to fix it right, to make it right. And the way that they make it right is by fixing the Work Share over time, so that they're compliant by the relevant period. And if there's past stuff that's closed out, and I'm not going to figure out what to do with that too, but the the right remedy is to and I think I did this earlier, right? If you're out of kilter on your work share, then you got to get it right by the end of the measurement period,

**John Jolissaint** 28:52

whatever that measurement period is. So if it was proven that there was intentional then, and if it's not just like, you know, they need to get it right over time, but it's like a behavior, maybe, do you think that that, that they should deserve the money?

**Speaker 2** 29:04

Probably, you know, well, so, so again, like, I think there's a difference between the the problem with so I know something about these cases, right? These types of cases, the problem with intent at that point is that if it can be fixed, it's not really actionable, right? Because it, because it can

**John Jolissaint** 29:24

be fixed. What if I want to take it away from them, though? What if I don't think they deserve it, like, I don't want, I don't want them to fix any allocation. I think that it should. I think I should be the one executing the contract, like, what? How do I deal with that? That would be an intellect choice, right? No, it's Tria. Tria dominates the revenue cycle space. So I work in hospital revenue cycle. The only people in the federal consulting space are it our Tria federal, the only with the technical stuff, the project management stuff, is done by like Booz Allen, and that's fine, you know. But they have, I literally cannot get a job in federal contracting in my niche field, because Tria federal has had that contract for like, fucking 20 years. So, I mean, yeah, you know, what do I do? So

**Speaker 2** 30:11

let me take it back. Let me go actually figure out the unvarnished truth, and then I can circle back with you. It's not going to be 24 hours, right? I mean, I need time. I'm

**John Jolissaint** 30:23

just being dramatic. Daniel, I'm not going to like, like, fucking store you. I'm just, you know, I want, I want somebody with a good faith effort and to just do due diligence here and do the right thing. Well, that's my job. I mean, I really hope so. Daniel, well, I really hope somebody is

**Speaker 2** 30:39

my client, but it is my job to give them objective advice, because that's what I do.

**John Jolissaint** 30:45

And you know, we'll see. We'll see if you can put that to the side, though, and like, choose what is maybe the greater good, or maybe, like, you can choose between human exploitation and doing the right thing. And I really, I don't know. I just hope that I'll be, I'll be tracking Jamie, and I think that this is important. How you respond. So thank you crazy,

31:05

whether it's whether it's hands or not, I appreciate you

31:12

raising it, yeah. And again, I'm

**Speaker 2** 31:13

not pulling it off. I'm just saying I don't know the answer so I can go look at it right? I know the field, I know the law, I know the rule, I know some of the contracts, but I don't know is the current, you know, FTE breakdown and all that. I don't know any of that. Yeah, that's what I got to find out. Okay, I will take that back and I'll look into it, and you have my contact information. Yes, let me, let me bring this back to the women see where we go from here. Yeah,

31:43

thank you. I appreciate it. Damon, all right, take care. Bye,