Evidence Against 608/Carly

NOTE: Any times shown in images are in UK timezone. This is because I live in the UK, and I and another person who also lived in the UK were the ones who took the screenshots.


608/Carly's main Discord ID is 345265102137720842 (disabled). Her new account's ID is 1059491219413151824. She has alternative accounts as well.

608's Age/Relationship Timeframe

When She Turned 17

This is important due to her starting the relationship when she was 16. However, she still continued the relationship for a month after she turned 17. See below for more details.

(ZuckerBar<3 is 608. Iceberg122 is gameguy.) (608 was in a polyamerous relationship with gameguy and upas11908 (14) at the time.)





Above is proof that she turned 17 on 17/09/2022.

The Point in Which She Knew His Age

(CarlyCell/jarly mcfarly the carlieth is 608. luckyEROND is gameguy.)



luckyEROND 17/08/2022 you **wouldnt** break up with me if i was 13 ?



jarly mcfarly the carlieth 17/08/2022 i wouldnt



luckyEROND 17/08/2022 what if i was 11



jarly mcfarly the carlieth 17/08/2022 wh



luckyEROND 17/08/2022 sorry im messing with you



jarly mcfarly the carlieth 17/08/2022 LOL



luckyEROND 17/08/2022 so im assuming you can guess my age now



jarly mcfarly the carlieth 17/08/2022 yea

i think 13



luckyEROND 17/08/2022




She said "i wouldn't". This one is obvious. She was 16 during this exchange. However, they continued dating until 11/10/2022. She turned 17 within that timeframe, and yet still continued to date him for another month before gameguy broke up with her.

According to 608 when I confronted her, she did not like him anymore after he admitted this.

Below is her admitting this in my confrontation.

(CarlyCell is 608. oiraMgnilbmuM is me.)


"him" refers to gameguy.



Whether you wish to believe her is up to you, but either way you look at it, it is either that she is a pedophile if she did like him, or that she is a groomer if she did not like him, due to the fact she kept dating him regardless and manipulated him while in the relationship.

Also, at the time, she was already doxxed. She did not care at all.

(Note, I say "boxxed" instead of doxxed due to Discord's TOS.)



The Breakup

(CarlyCell/jarly mcfarly the carlieth is 608. luckyEROND is gameguy.)



jarly mcfarly the carlieth 11/10/2022 dont talk about it publicly this should be a private conversation



luckyEROND 11/10/2022

(

ok

so i dont think we should be dating anymore

ja

@luckyEROND and we love each other lots jarly mcfarly the carlieth 11/10/2022 there goes this

back to me dying alone and having O friends



luckyEROND 11/10/2022 i still want to be your friend



jarly mcfarly the carlieth 11/10/2022 yay

can you still say hi and goodnight



luckyEROND 11/10/2022 yeah happily!



jarly mcfarly the carlieth 11/10/2022 yay


ok now we just need to get zauce back

Above is the breakup with gameguy that I mentioned in "The Point in Which She Knew His Age". It happened on 11/10/2022, almost a month after she turned 17.

This proves that gameguy was the one who initiated the breakup, which means 608 was planning on doing more dating if he didn't break up.

Grooming/Sexual Activity

608's (17) Sexual Activity with Gameguy (13)



gameguy (13) (iceberg122 in the photo) since edited his messages, but as you can see, 608 (17) (Nepgear in the photo) is seen messaging gameguy "Would we all be in the same bed".

I won't say what was originally said before gameguy edited the messages, since he wanted it to be private, but it was something sexual.

Context

You may notice the top part of the above image:

"It said you can't physically do the stuff"


<u>"It didn't say you can't flirt"</u>


(Message ID:

https://discord.com/channels/@me/1010259682457301023/102084249840 0194621)

There's a reason she said this. That reason is below.

Below is an excerpt from OTGC. It was happening at the same time as the above image.







temp the oralist 18/09/2022 00:33 yeah

its gameguy



ZuckerBär<3 18/09/2022 00:33 And I haven't agreed



temp the oralist 18/09/2022 00:33 true



iceberg122 18/09/2022 00:33 oh shit does that mean i'm the pedo now



temp the oralist 18/09/2022 00:33 reverse pedophilee



iceberg122 18/09/2022 00:34 anti pedohpile



temp the oralist 18/09/2022 00:34

not anti reverse




iceberg122 18/09/2022 00:36 fuck does this mean i can't flirt with carly anymore

	iceberg122 18/09/2022 00:36
	fuck does this mean i can't flirt with carly anymore
	temp the oralist 18/09/2022 00:36
	idfk
	im not a lawyer
	e) @temp the oralist reverse
Arg.	ZuckerBär<3 18/09/2022 00:36
632	This reminds me of
	"GAMEGUY X TEENERTAKE OLD MAN"
	-gameguy, 2022
	I like how we always plan to finally get teenertake taken down but then we end up doing nothing
	temp the oralist 18/09/2022 00:37
	whats a teenertake
	ZuckerBär<3 18/09/2022 00:38
	It's a very sus social media aimed at teens
	By some old guy
	And the html is bad
	iceberg122 18/09/2022 00:38
	i want to make out with yacko in bed
	i dont like dogs in video games (edited)
	i think escalators escalate (edited)
	ok im goin to sleep (edited)


gameguy edited these messages from sexual messages to normal messages in the above image due to his embarrassment about what he said. The point is what 608 said, so please focus on that. People's reactions to what he said should give you an indicator of what he said anyway.

Another thing that proves that gameguy said something other than the edited messages was the fact gameguy did not go to sleep at this time. Some people stay awake on Discord, yes, but 608 would say something like "good night" instead of "Why did I laugh at this part" if he really said that.





ZuckerBär<3 18/09/2022 00:44 This is the wrong gc for this



Highlight below.



In between the messages directly above this piece of text, they moved from OTGC to "notsafefortopicgc" (OTGC NSFW GC) to talk.

With this context, we can understand clearly what she meant by the below quote from before.

<u>"It said you can't physically do the stuff"</u> <u>"It didn't say you can't flirt"</u>

(Message ID:

https://discord.com/channels/@me/1010259682457301023/102084249840 0194621)

She meant that in the Wikipedia article Temporalist sent, it didn't say anything about not being able to flirt with gameguy, and due to it all being related to only physical stuff, she was fine.

However, this isn't true. Flirting sexually with a 13 year old as a 17 year old is illegal. According to various sources, 608 lives in South Carolina, and in that state's law, it is clearly emphasised that 13 year olds cannot be sexual with 17 year olds.

The reason is because the age of consent is 16, and Romeo and Juliet laws go to at least 14 in South Carolina.

Due to gameguy being 13 at the time, that means that when 608 turned 17, she was illegally dating him.

Sources for South Carolina law that I took from:

https://www.criminaldefenselawyer.com/resources/south-carolina-statutory-rape-laws.htm

Third-Degree Criminal Sexual Conduct With a Minor

Third-degree penalties apply when a defendant who is 14 years old or older commits or attempts to commit lewd acts on a minor younger than 16 years. A lewd act includes sexual contact meant to arouse or gratify the parties' sexual desires. This felony is punishable by up to 15 years in prison.

When the parties are close in age—the victim is 14 or older and the defendant is 18 or younger—the penalties in this section don't apply. (More on "Romeo and Juliet" laws below.)

Close-in-Age Exceptions for Teens ("Romeo & Juliet" Law)

Named after Shakespeare's young lovers, "Romeo and Juliet" exceptions are intended to prevent serious criminal charges against teenagers who engage in consensual sex with others close to their own age. In South Carolina, consensual sex between a minor who is 14 or older and a defendant who is 18 years old or younger is not considered a crime. For example, a 15-year-old who engages in consensual sex with a 17-year-old cannot be charged with statutory rape.

https://gbslawfirm.com/does-sc-have-a-romeo-law/

What is the Age of Consent in SC?

The age of consent in SC is 16.

Although SC law does not say, "you can have sex with someone once they turn 16," it is a crime to have sex with a child younger than 16 years old; therefore, the age of consent is 16.

But – *if you are 18 or younger*, and your boyfriend or girlfriend is 14 or older, the age of consent is technically 14 because of SC's Romeo law.

This means that 608's dating of gameguy, for at least one month, was illegal in her state.

Update on Legality

A new case of 608's grooming has appeared, and the previous source I used is being used against this new case.

For info on the new case, please go here:

Evidence of 608/Carly (18) Dating a Minor (14).

A more official source has been found from the .gov site of South Carolina. It proves that both the new case and this case were against the law.

https://dc.statelibrary.sc.gov/bitstream/handle/10827/8483/CLC_An_Overview_of_Sex_Offen ses_2010-9.pdf?sequence=1

south carolina engaging sexu	al converstations with minors	× 🕴 🙃 ९		
Q All 🗉 News 🖬 Images	▶ Videos : More	Tools		
About 29,600,000 results (0.35 s	econds)			
South Carolina's solicitation statute is relatively broad, making it an offense for a person to communicate or attempt to communicate with a minor under the age of 18 for the purpose of persuading or coercing the minor to engage in sexual activity.				
SC.GOV (.gov) https://dc.statelibrary.sc.gov, bitstream, handle				
An Overview Of Sex Offenses Against Children And The South				
	Ø Abo	out featured snippets 🔹 📁 Feedback		

Crimes Not Involving Touching

Crimes that do not involve touching or attempted touching typically prohibit solicitation or child pornography. South Carolina's solicitation statute is relatively broad, making it an offense for a person to communicate or attempt to communicate with a minor under the age of 18 for the purpose of persuading or coercing the minor to engage in sexual activity. For example, asking a minor to engage in sexual activity is a serious felony.

Likewise, photographing or videotaping minors engaged in sexual activity is an offense. The pictures must involve actual or simulated sexual activity; photographs involving nudity alone without sexual acts do not constitute child pornography under state law (though such images do potentially fall within the federal child pornography offense)

Conclusion

After all of this research, it can be safely assumed that 608 had been dating gameguy illegally for a month, and planned to do longer if gameguy didn't break up with her.

I hope you all read until the end. I tried to make it as concise as possible so people are easily able to read it in order to spread the message around better.

Anyway, have a nice rest of your day. Thanks for reading.