

IN THE SENATE
DECEMBER 19th, 2020
CREATED
DECEMBER 10th, 2020

Articles Of No Confidence On Pope Francis

Created by Citizen D.J.Z. and Senator Honk

TITLE I - Articles Of No Confidence On Pope Francis

Section 1. Grounds/Reasons

Incompetence

Criminal Acts

Extreme Dishonor to the Office

Section 2. Evidence

Sec.1 IA1a; SillyPossibility and Pope Francis

Sec. 1 IA1b; Contradiction

Sec. 1 IA1c; Veto of Term Limit

Sec. 1 IA1d: Surge Removal of VSS Position

Sec. 1 IA1e; Attorney General removal threat.

Sec. 1 IIA and IIB; Violation of Section 2.A and 2.F of the Snap Vote Definition Act

Sec. 1 IIC; Illegal Veto - Supreme Court ruling

Sec. 1 IID; Corruption, Dishonesty and Defrauding the Senate

Sec. 1 IIE & IIF: Violation of BPAPA and BPAPR

Sec. 1 IIG; Vooperia City Election Act

Sec. 1 IIIA; Donia Corruption

Summary of Articles:

The purpose of these articles is to impeach Prime Minister Pope Francis from the Prime Minister position.

THE IMPERIAL FEDERATION OF VOOPERIA

Section 1. Grounds/Reasons

I. Incompetence

- A. Prime Minister (also referred to as PM) Pope Francis has shown an unacceptable level of incompetence throughout his time as PM.
 - 1. This includes:
 - a) Failing to realize that MOJ (Minister Of Journalism) SillyPossibility had punished a News Company for inappropriate news articles (they were banned) and harassed him [MOJ SillyPossibility] to punish them [the News Company] even though MOJ SillyPossibility had revoked their press pass and deleted the article.
 - b) Contradicting his own rules, referred to a channel as serious and constantly "made jokes" in said channel (#senate-discussion).
 - c) Vetoed a bill that limits his time in office and when he was questioned he claimed he was joking.
 - d) Threatening to remove Surge from VSS for something a Senator did (LukaPlayz had "made something rp" and claimed he was not responsible).
 - e) Threatening to remove the AG (Attorney General) position if he was investigated by AG Tyco (would be applicable to Criminal Acts if PM Pope followed through).

II. Criminal Acts


- A. <u>Violation of Section 2.A</u> of the <u>Snap Vote Definition Act</u> Out of court settlement admitting that the SVDA was violated.
- B. <u>Violation of Section 2.F</u> of the <u>Snap Vote Definition Act</u> Out of court settlement admitting that the SVDA was violated.
- C. Illegal Veto Supreme Court ruling.
- D. Corruption, dishonesty and defrauding the Senate (<u>Jam V. Pope</u>) Supreme Court ruling.
- E. <u>Violation of Section 2.B and Section 3.A</u> of the <u>Bill Proposal and Amendment Procedure Act</u>.
- F. <u>Violation of Section 2.B, Section 3.A and Section 4.A</u> of the <u>Bill Proposal and</u> Amendment Procedure Revision.
- G. Violation of Section 5.A.1 of the Election Act (of Vooperia City).

III. Extreme Dishonor to the Office


A. That one time PM Pope completely lost it when the Senate told him that Donia funding peoples' opposition wasn't that big of a deal, telling fellow senators to 'stop being fucking toxic and salty' and 'fuck you' and other general hypocracy, such as telling people they must respect eachother while simultaneously disrespecting them. This is not what we need in a Prime Minister.


Section 2. Evidence

I. Sec.1 IA1a; SillyPossibility and Pope Francis




1





<u>3</u>



2 blocked messages — Collapse messages

Pope Francis Today at 10:13 PM
I am the PM
My job is to oversee the Ministers

SillyPossibility Today at 10:13 PM
If you don't stop dragging this on I am going to block you


1 blocked message — Collapse message

Pope Francis Today at 10:13 PM
I'm going to make this clear, since you seem to not understand authority. As PM I reserve the right to replace any ministers.

SillyPossibility Today at 10:13 PM
Oooo more corruption

5 blocked messages — Collapse messages

Pope Francis Today at 10:13 PM
You are blocking me because I have a legitimate concern
And you are not listening
I will not allow this kind of disrespect within my cabniet.
I have no idea where you got corruption from
My job is to oversee ministries



X 7 blocked messages — Collapse messages



Pope Francis Today at 10:15 PM

You don't have the last word here

I am the PM

You are in my cabniet

you listen to me or you're out.

I tried to get you to realize your mistake

you fail to recognize it

or even appologize for missing it



SillyPossibility Today at 10:16 PM

I as the MOJ saw the appropriate action is not a strike, but a revocation of press pass. I did such an action. I am not going to give a strike to somebody who is not in the Discord, already has their press pass revoked, and wont see the strike, end of story. You have no legal authority to unilataralley remove Ministers, and if you do I will be taking you to court. Thank you.

7



shut up tylee Today at 10:40 PM

You can still strike him, and when he get's to 3 revoke his pass.

Or just revoke his pass.



省¶〒Ω ◯ ∮¾⑷まァ № 1 Today at 10:40 PM

Pope, for the last time, they are literally banned and does not have a press pass. There is no reason to give them a strike and you know this. At this point you are simply trying to enact power over me to be a dick, and it's a little embarrasing.



shut up tylee Today at 10:41 PM

I'm asking you to please enforce the rules

There are steps you can take to prevent it



ゼ¶〒Ω Φ 4 34 かまた A 5 Today at 10:41 PM

I did.



shut up tylee Today at 10:41 PM

You could remove their press pass


or,

strike them.

That's all I'm asking

No drama, no nothing.

Just please take action.




II. Sec. 1 IA1b; Contradiction



<u>1</u>



2



3




4




<u>5</u>


III. Sec. 1 IA1c; Veto of Term Limit




1




IV. Sec. 1 IA1d; Surge Removal of VSS Position



1









NOVCIIIDEI Z3, ZOZO



no2146Ø #Pope4SanVooperisco Today at 3:34 PM

@President Kissinger explain why I should keep you in my cabinet?

You know what you did.



President Kissinger Today at 3:38 PM

I did nothing wrong, ill explain in a second, i gotta testify



President Kissinger Today at 3:58 PM

Alrighty

if you can scroll up, You'll probably see that Luka pinged a bunch of us, about an RP Speech that'll happen in two-days time he stated that there may be terrorism at this speech at the order's of the speech giver (colors)

allegate

He provided no evidence of this

just stated there may be some

and that he only knew of it, due to a Voice Chat a few weeks ago

and so i went to speak with allegate

i told luka i was going to do this

to make sure if this was planned or not

cause RP in this god forsaken government sucks

And he was confused (edited

when i asked him

he didn't know what i was talking about

and so i explained to him

and he got mad

nothing i did was wrong

i was given the greenlight by Luka

6

And he was confused (edited)

when i asked him

he didn't know what i was talking about

and so i explained to him

and he got mad

nothing i did was wrong

i was given the greenlight by Luka

Luka just made something up about what Allegate was going to do without consulting Allegate

That is why i should't be let go


2

McLaren Today at 4:06 PM


this also shows that luka knew about this for almost a month


https://discord.com/channels/317462818490286081/779082851932569651/779925404017623070

if we are going to throw around accusations based on little to no evidence then why not prosecute the whole government (edited) becasue basless evidence is clearly enough to throw out people











<u>11</u>




<u>12</u>



<u>13</u>



<u>14</u>



<u>15</u>

V. Sec. 1 IA1e; Attorney General removal threat.





Jam_Bam_52 10/24/2020

the entire point of voting is to get your voice heard



CSI_Gunner 10/24/2020

Pope, the matter is it should have counted



Pope Francis 10/24/2020 I will just remove the AG Iol



Antonios 10/24/2020 CORRUPTION MUCH



hellodan 10/24/2020

ok



Pope Francis 10/24/2020

I won't have my own AG investigate into myself that's kinda dumb (edited)



hellodan 10/24/2020

Im calling a no confidence vote what the fuck



Pope Francis 10/24/2020

Guys



fruitcake5 10/24/2020

Im calling a no confidence vote what the fuck

@Dr. DillyPossibility literally do it

2



Pope Francis 10/24/2020

You're making a big deal over nothing



CSI_Gunner 10/24/2020

Please don't make this the same crap you were tried for impeachment last time



hellodan 10/24/2020

thats is so corrupt



Pope Francis 10/24/2020



First off, sarcasm smh

And second Please relax



hellodan 10/24/2020

MY ASS



Pope Francis 10/24/2020

If you want your vote counted I will allow it to be counted





CSI_Gunner 10/24/2020


"Its just a joke bro"

<u>3</u>


VI. Sec. 1 IIA and IIB; Violation of Section 2.A and 2.F of the <u>Snap Vote</u> <u>Definition Act</u>










<u>3</u>







<u>5</u>











VII. Sec. 1 IIC; Illegal Veto - Supreme Court ruling



1



2 2.1 2.2 2.3


Actain of Perjury can also be made, As Pope stated This was settled out of Court, Which it was in fact not, as i was never contacted by Pope to settle this Case.

I Yield


@echotech I h

echotech I h/25/2020
-pausing the case for one second-The Supreme Court has approved Surge's Second Appeal (edited)
-Now back to the case at hand-


3 3.1 3.2



VIII. Sec. 1 IID; Corruption, Dishonesty and Defrauding the Senate



1




22.1


propose this vote during the voting session, instead the defendant unilaterally declared that it would be first past the post. (See Exhibit C)

The defense has recognized that this act should be recognized as a crime, but that the person who has committed said crime should not be charged. Was the first person to defraud a bank not charged with a crime? Was the first person to defended in the common of the

3 3.1



4 4.1 4.2 4.3



5 5.1 5.2 5.3

hut up tylee 08/18/2020

Type Your honor, I object the procecution is bring up irrelevant information from the United States Constitution, and from US history. (edited)

No they are irrelevant to the RP, and should not be presented ever in a Court of Law. (edit

If you were to remove all mentions to non-vooperian institutions the argument still stands. They are in here for the sake of comparison

CHRISTMAS CHAD 08/18/2020 (In RP, Vooperia did take over the US, meaning this is just referencing old legal documents)

shut up tylee 08/18/2020 (Ah. I didn't know this.)



Cyberspook 2020 08/18/2000

It's extremely seal to write paragraphs trying to explain why people should be charged with crimes. I won't waste your time. Mr. Pope did not, in any way, break a current standing law. Pope had a lapse of judgement, but that is not criminal. Even in cases of legitimate bribery and manipulation, this court and senate has decided with individuals for the sole reason of their actions not being illegal.

I would ask the judge to strike the second charge concerning Danish entirely - the situation resulted in no damages to Danish himself, as he was not eligible to vote in the end. If Pope had infringed on their right to run, it would be one thing, but in the end, no law was broken. This is also ignoring Pope has zero power over that - being allowed to run is a SC and Emperor issue. I can say "I will stop be Biden from running for office!" right now, but that is not a crime in itself. Saying something that you have no power to actually do is not altigual - and even if you had the power, speech is not enough to prosecute. You remember how my opening statement contained a swear? The judge may not have liked that, but I cannot be prosecuted for it. This is the same principal - we do not charge based on speech.

I don't think Exhibit H adds much to your case. Pope knew he did not break the law - and he consulted me as he should have. That entire situation was handled through me, so if you have an issue with how that vote was handled, start a new cast against me, not Pope. I instructed him that the vote should not have been changed last second - and even that if it was, I would be siding with whomever had the majority prior. He followed my decree.

I'm going to also say the Federalist Papers and American documents are not Vooperian, and hold literally no sway in our law. Want me to grab something from North Korea saying dictatorship is OK? How does this influence our legal system in any way? Can I pick and choose governments to pull legislation from? Do we not have our own government for the sole purpose of separating ourselves from other nations?

There was no bribery here - some bad judgement, yes. And Pope has shown his ability to apologize and work on his mistakes, as we all should. I would agree with a punishment for Pope - possibly a fine, or a censure. How are not grounds for impeachment. The second wave of elections are in full swing - allow Pope's voters to decide his fate, not a gavel backed by partisans trying to find any reason to remove him before the PM elections.

These charges are political, charged, and flimsy. Danish himself only ran for PM to force a charge in the voting system - is that not manipulation and corruption in itself? Why are we so focused on Pope when others show a clear intent to sway ou systems in order to score political points?

6

In summary, when the defendant illegally and fraudulently tried to block Senator Danish from running for Prime Minister, he did so with the knowledge that Senator Danish was able to run.

Is a complete lie - Pope did nothing to block Danish apart from say his disapproval, and even referred to consulting me on the matter - which was the correct action to take. Furthermore, Pope clearly did know Danish was not able to run, as he said he wasn't. The prosecutors cannot read minds, and just because Pope did not mention other reasons does not mean he was unaware of them. We cannot prosecute based on what we believe people had in their mind.

Danish played a dirty game to force a political change and it backfired, and now he's crying wolf.
Etho lost his election and is complaining over a 20 minute period when even after one of the votes changed (which is generally not allowed), he was still in a tie

Danish and Etho were both looking to become PM.

The Supreme Court is not the moral compass of Vooperia. The voters are.



Tyco 08/18/2020
Thank you Spike





I also ask that the defense refrain from making their rebuttal until I give them the floor

Bomber told them to because you were taking long.









To begin, the defendant did commit a crime. Moreover one that is defined as impeachable by the Supreme Court of Vooperia under the Checks and Balances Act. Corruption, as defined by Oxford Languages, is dishonest or fraudulent conduct by those in power, typically involving bribery. Even without the inclusion of bribery as an offense, an action can still be incredibly corrupt.

The Defense has stated "[The defendant] had a lapse of judgement, but that is not criminal". To start this argument on a lighter note, this is the same defense used by Richard Nixon when discussing the Watergate scandal. Amusing historical tidbits aside, this statement is false, at least according to previous case law established under the case of VNB v. U.L; "[the defendant]'s actions, even if not currently criminal, should be made criminal... We need to decrease corporate risk, and treating this like it is will set that as precedent: A crime." The statements of that prosecution and the resulting decision show how the court has previously made criminal decisions based on the actions of an individual even without pre-existing laws determining that those actions were criminal. The prosecution's request, being the same as it was when the original appeal was written, is that the court both create case law through repercussions up to and including impeachment; and recommend to the senate the creation of a bill to prevent this in the future.

As the prosecution had acknowledged in the first rebuttal, the examples of the United States Constitution and the Federalist Papers were obviously not meant to represent the current laws of Vooperia. They were brought forth as an example of a reworld system of impeachment. We readily agree that these do not directly dictate our every move in Vooperian courts, we simply realize a functioning political system and attempt to show how that applies to our current situation. Again, to quote teached a ready of the state of the countries to influence our decisions, and when there is no codified law which explains it well, it is a go place to fall back on. To answer the questions from the defense, papers from a wholly different political system from the one we currently operate under most likely won't apply to any conversation; other government's systems do not influence our legal system, but it can inform it, the legislation of other governments can be used as an example; our government exists not to separate ourselves from others but to unite ourselves as one, and cooperation with other is the signal of a thriving:

legal system, but it can inform it, the legislation of other governments can be used as an example; our government exists not to separate ourselves from others but to unite ourselves as one, and cooperation with other is the signal of a thriving world. Contrary to the defense's statement, the defendant did have the power to block Danish from running by refusing to put him on the ballot' (see Exhibit 1) and J. specifically the Timestamp on the defendant's statement in exhibit 1) During the Two minutes upon which he chose not to put Danish on the list, he was blocking Danish's ability to run from Prime minister, by not putting him on the list that would eventually be on the Ballot. An Act that the Defense states he has no power to do, yet he had done it. It only comes out that he wasn't eligible to run 2 days later. So to the knowledge of the defendant at the time, he would be committing an unlawful act, as he had no power to block danish on the grounds which he did.

Regardless of the potential outcomes of the New Vooperis election, Vooperian citizens have the right to exercise their right to vote over the allotted period of time. For this reason exactly, Mr. Ethosein pushed to have this recognized by the defendant (Exhibit F). The more concerning action by the defendant in this instance is their insistence that they possess the power to interpret the law, a power that is delegated by law to the Supreme Court (Exhibit H). This claim presents itself as a concerning display of the defendant's unwillingness to abide by the rule of law, and creates a dangerous precedent for what could be perpetrated by the defendant in the future.

Exhibit I
https://cnd.discordapp.com/attachments/745335827437191338/745438130970165308/unknown.png

Management of the control of the control

The Control of t

would anyone like to join the Holy Beet Party
you can be in both

NetDanish Tales and Tale

Exhibit J

Pope Francis | #NVPSeanteWorks4U Today at 4:33 PM CURRENT CANDIDATES FOR PRIME MINISTER: Sunday, August 16, 2020 4:35 PM

I am MARXIST 08/18/2020
Exhibit J was edited due to incorrect image (softed

8 8.1 8.2

Tyco 08/19/2020
Thank you Mr. Jam

©Cyberspook 2020 you may make your rebuttal when ready.

Cyberspook 2020 08/19/202

To begin, the defendant did commit a crime. Moreover one that is defined as impeachable by the Supreme Court of Vooperia under the Checks and Balances Act. Corruption, as defined by Oxford Languages, is dishonest or fraudulent conduct by those in power, typically involving bribery. Even without the inclusion of bribery as an offense, an action can still be incredibly corrupt.

Just because you want it to fit under that definition does not make it a crime. Pope did not lie about his actions, and he was open after being questioned. Pope was not dishonest, nor did he engage in what is typically defined as fraudulent activities. Again - Pope did something scummy. Possibly a fine or censure is in order - not impeachment.

You use the case with Lij as some sort of attack, when in reality it backs my defense. First off, case law does not work when it comes to impeachment - for reasons I have already stated. But more importantly, was Lij impeached from his government positions after that case? No! Lij being impeached was never even set on the table, as he did not commit a crime that was currently defined. Pope should, similarly, be prosecuted normally with a fine and whatever other charge is reasonable, not impeached. You also forget that the Lij case should never have been a SC case in the first place, and we all agreed that civil cases would never touch the SC again. This really is a poor comparison to make.

You can make many companisons to the Ly case, but the important thing is that he was never reprimanded within the government system - only personally and monetarily. Morality should be decided by the voters, and legality should be left to the law when it comes to our government officials.

Pope never had any power to block Danish in an official election, and was not in charge of the election. It does not matter if Danish was not included on his list - his list was not official. Once again, disagreement, and even being wrong is not illegal. If Pope had incorrectly blocked Danish from running, the SC would have overturned it as it should. However, Danish could not fit the requirements in the end, so no damage was done.

 $Exhibit\ J\ is\ even\ showing\ Pope\ following\ the\ SC's\ orders\ as\ he\ should.\ An\ impeachable\ offense\ would\ be\ refusing\ the\ SC's\ decision\ -\ not\ willfully\ following\ it.$

In Exhibit H, Coca is completely correct in being confused - Pope had no power to block Danish, and should have been questioned. But again, being wrong is not illegal.

Tyco 08/19/2020
Thank you Mr. Viper

@i am MARXIST You may make your closing statement or rebuttal when ready.


lalso ask that when we get to closing statements, that you do not present evidence and merely provide a recap. Please keep all evidence in rebuttals and opening statements.

i am MARXIST 08/19/2020


The defendant's action of editing the Prime Minister Voting Act, in any circumstance, should be considered as an act of deception and corruption. They had changed a bill without authorization from even the author two minutes before the start of the vote in the Senate. Afterwards they had announced that the exact opposite of what had previously been within the bill. Eventually, the defendant had claimed that the intention was to call a vote for the electoral process, after the scandal broke, but no vote was called until a full two days of controversy had passed even though the defendant had the full capability to put it up to a vote during the time the bill was being voted on. The defendant's actions clearly show how they had lied by the direct action of omission and made false claims that were only substantiated after extreme controversy had forced their hand. The prosecution recommends reprimand through no longer allowing the defendant to be in charge of putting forth votes in the Senate and requesting a formal Senate inquiry into these specific actions of the defendant.

The defendant had clearly stated that "[he's] not posses specine, activities to the observations of the observations. The defendant also made no mention of the actual reason Danish would not at that moment be able to put in their bid for the position of Prime Minister, and their reasoning about the decision goes "with Spike's support, I might as well have (the authority to doj it". The defendant was clearly attempting to block Senator Danish from putting their bid in on the basis of not liking their reasoning, which is an illegitimate ground to har someone from running, It is recognized that no actual damage was done through these actions, but the fact that they were committed merits consequences. The prosecution suggests a fine of up to 410000 to be decided by the court for Senatorial misconduct by the defendant.


In their actions during and after the New Yooperis elections, the defendant showed themself to display disregard for the systems in place within the legal code of Yooperia for both electoral proceedings and interpreting ambiguity in the rule of law. The simple fact that they stated "I interpret the law" clearly displays this disregard, and sets a dangerous precedent for the possible future actions of the defendant if kept in power. The prosecution puts forth that the defendant should no longer be allowed to manage elections and that the defendant no longer be allowed to give themselves access to channels that they are not permitted to be in.




<u>10</u>



IX. Sec. 1 IIE & IIF; Violation of BPAPA and BPAPR




1






<u>3</u>



4





<u>5</u>






<u>7</u>










11



<u>12</u>



Pope Francis Today at 7:22 PM

@Coca162 will you second my motion? (edited)



superjacobl #GiveCB500K Today at 7:23 PM

I'm voting no on this repress *repeal

<u>13</u>



CSI_Justice_Gooberhead Today at 7:25 PM

@Pope Francis that's... Not how snap votes work. Unless you know more than I do, A) snap votes need to be imperative to the immediate function of the government, which this is not, and B) snap votes do not require motions to present.



superjacobl #GiveCB500K Today at 7:25 PM

"I will do that, once the central bank has functionality"

He never said "no fund"



Pope Francis Today at 7:26 PM

@CSI_Justice_Gooberhead If a senator has a sufficent second, I will call a snap vote for anyone.

14



"A Snap Vote shall be defined as an emergency vote called by the Emperor or the Prime Minister on an item imperative to the immediate functioning of the government."

Article 1, Section A; SVDA

"Only an item deemed immediately necessary to the function of the government shall be presented in a snap vote."

Article 2, Section A; SVDA


Nowhere does the SVDA mention motions for a snap vote, nor Senators requesting them. Further:

"Snap Votes may not be used as a political tool for the purposes of political gain." Article 2, Section F; SVDA


I would be willing to argue in a court that using a snap vote to remove a section of a bill that's inconvenient for you is using a snap vote for political gain.

<u>15</u>

X. Sec. 1 IIG; Vooperia City Election Act



1



2


Now you may ask, how is Mr. Pope under jurisdiction of District of Vooperia City's Election Act law?

Well, if you go on the https://wooperiacity.com/elections web-page which is the District's property, you see a legal disclaimer that states "By clicking "VOTE" button, you are subject to Election Act \$5.A.l.a and Election Act \$4.II.A". Meaning you are under the authority of Vooperia City's Election Act \$5.A.l.a and Election Act \$4.II.A" and Act \$4.II.A" under the authority of Vooperia City's beginning to the last question on the General Elections of Vooperia City's ballot it states "Anyone submitting this ballot is subject to Election Act \$5.A.l.a and Election Act \$4.II.A" and also "By clicking "SUBMIT" you agree that you are in the district of Vooperia City, and are following proper election laws." As shown in Evidence 4, again means you are subject to the laws if you submit the ballot.


General Elections | Vooperia City
Vooperia City's General Elections has started! Make sure to go vote now!

Meaning he broke the Election Act law and is under authority of Vooperia City District which allows the district to prosecute him for breaking the law.


Now lastly, what I want to say is, Pope Francis has been my long time friend in this community, and I do not want this case to be taken negatively or too seriously on him or anything, what I want is to set a precedent within a Federal Government that if you acknowledge to be subject to another district's law(s) in exchange of an actual and legitimate service, goods, or products you are under the authority of that district's law, and gives the right to district to prosecute you for breaking their law(s). Finally, I want you to see the both definition of "subject" and "acknowledge" so you do not overlook what the meaning/definition is.



4 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4



<u>5 5.1 5.2</u>



<u>6</u>

XI. Sec. 1 IIIA; Donia Corruption



Emperor Pope Francis Today at 07:43

Because common sence

@Senator i temporarily removed public view as this is extremely classified information.



Sen. Coolio | #Coolio4Senate Today at 07:45

what

no

smh



FURRIES4TRUMP #FURLIVESMATTER Today at 07:49

What



Sen. Coolio | #Coolio4Senate Today at 07:45

just put it in DMs



Emperor Pope Francis Today at 07:45

I received information as to a potential national security threat



FURRIES4TRUMP #FURLIVESMATTER Today at 07:45

You know they will just be able to

See

it later




Sen. Coolio | #Coolio4Senate Today at 07:45







! Luka | #Luka4VC Today at 07:45


smh














<u>6</u>












<u>10</u>








<u>13</u>





<u>14</u>





<u>16</u>





<u> 18</u>



Emperor Pope Francis Today at 08:06

I was tired of his continues disrespect



FURRIES4TRUMP #FURLIVESMATTER Today at 08:06

Imao pope you told him to fuck off multiple times



Bye Don Today at 08:06

Pope don't you think this could have been resolved privately?



Sen. Coolio | #Coolio4Senate Today at 08:07

he just said that the senate already knew and that it wasn't private



Emperor Pope Francis Today at 08:07

He was rude about it



Minister Senator pokimane simp Today at 08:07

If i told pope to fuck off I would have been muted for at least 87 hours



Sen. Coolio | #Coolio4Senate Today at 08:07

no... he wasn't



Emperor Pope Francis Today at 08:07

Oh my God



just_a_potato|oh no Today at 08:07


ping?




Emperor Pope Francis Today at 08:07

How can you stand blind to this









<u>21</u>





<u>22</u>







<u>24</u>









<u> 28</u>



Emperor Pope Francis Today at 08:21

Being kind?

That's dumb?



FURRIES4TRUMP #FURLIVESMATTER Today at 08:21

No I literally

pope



! Luka | #Luka4VC Today at 08:21 smh yall need to go to school



Sen. Coolio | #Coolio4Senate Today at 08:21 we weren't being disrespectful



! Luka | #Luka4VC Today at 08:21 stop talking (edited)



Sen. Coolio | #Coolio4Senate Today at 08:22 he literally just said that he already knew that



King Dan A Today at 08:22

No you didnt



Sen. Coolio | #Coolio4Senate Today at 08:22 smh smh




FURRIES4TRUMP #FURLIVESMATTER Today at 08:22 I don't talk like this is the british house of commons




King Dan A Today at 08:22


You called jam toxic and salty




<u>30</u>





<u>31</u>





<u>33</u>





I dont know what i am apologizing for



Emperor Pope Francis Today at 08:34

Being disrespectful

That's all I ask



King Dan ⚠ Today at 08:34
I was't disrespectful

But sure



Emperor Pope Francis Today at 08:34 EEEEXACTLY



King Dan 🛦 Today at 08:34 i am sorry



Emperor Pope Francis Today at 08:35
THANK YOU FOR PROVING MY POINT



Sen. Coolio | #Coolio4Senate Today at 08:35 pope



Emperor Pope Francis Today at 08:35 Deny, deny, deny.



Sen. Coolio | #Coolio4Senate Today at 08:35 he wasn't being disrespectful it's true



Emperor Pope Francis Today at 08:35 Don't stop denying



Sen. Coolio | #Coolio4Senate Today at 08:35

you were disrespectful to him

have you apologized?



Emperor Pope Francis Today at 08:35

Eventually it will go away

I already did

Like 3 times



King Dan 🛕 Today at 08:35

yes i am denying it

Can i see a message where i was disrespectful

Then i may reconsider



Emperor Pope Francis Today at 08:38

I had a concern and you outright told me that my attempt to brief you all was not right in intent and you refused to give me the basic appreciation for trying to protect our nation. You immediately went to discredit me. Which is extremely disrespectful

https://discord.com/channels/317462818490286081/694684709061394587/776790338156101643



Rve Don Today at 09:20

This. Wasn't. Disregarding. You.



Emperor Pope Francis Today at 08:39

It felt like it.



Bye Don Today at 08:40

Look at that message in context

37



Emperor Pope Francis Today at 08:40

He told me my concern shouldn't be taken seious



Bye Don Today at 08:40

Dan is saying "It is literally Donia. Literally nothing can happen"



Emperor Pope Francis Today at 08:40

He basically turned me completely off



Bye Don Today at 08:40

Again, pope

This has nothing to do with you

He literally just said that this isn't a big deal

Which we all agree with

Donia can't do anything

And **if** he does, we take action then

We all appreciate you briefing us but we feel like this is pointless



Emperor Pope Francis Today at 08:42

I just feel like shit

I don't know why

It's like your all telling me I'm crazy but

I feel a gaping whole inside of me

Like I just tried to help someone up and then they shot me

I'm not crazy, I'm not immature, I'm not a bad PM I just have a nasty habit of over reacting and a need for a little respect and decency some times.

I'm sorry if I was rude but you have to understand that I just want a little kindness from you, and I'll be happy. That's it, just a little kindness and I can deal with most of the shit you through at me.

X

Bve Don Today at 08:5

Pope that's the thing

You get pissed off and angry over nothing and people will make you more of a joke

If you want people to take you seriously you have to act that way. And that doesn't mean you going authoritarian and abusing your powers

If you start typing in all caps and start cussing out people, no one will ever take you seriously



Emperor Pope Francis Today at 08:55

What should I do when they start immediately trying to tear me down

Like what happened earlier



Bye Don Today at 08:55

I don't believe anyone was trying to tear you down then

You pinged the whole senate to tell us something we knew already



Emperor Pope Francis Today at 08:56

Because I was unaware

I thought you didn't know

So I wanted to do my job as PM and try to tell you

But I immediately was told my intentions were misguided

Because they already knew

People make mistakes

It was a mistake to ping people, i should have asked first.



! fr!nk | Jambon | Senator Today at 08:57

Hello



Emperor Pope Francis Today at 08:57

But it's the responses is what hurt

39

! fr!nk | Jambon | Senator Today at 08:57

From what I read in the screenshot

Its not a coup

It's literally just grabbing positioms

NVP style



Bye Don Today at 08:59

But I immediately was told my intentions were misguided

The way I interpreted this was that people didn't think this was a big enough issue to be pinged for in the first place

Donia's literally just funding opposition

In reality, that's not gonna have any impact on the senate

Because ads have no impact on votes

So this is a nothing issue

And we all agree that it is a nothing issue

The truth is that no one had any intentions of being mean to you, even if you think there was



superjacobl #GiveCB500K Today at 09:04

Hm



Bye Don Today at 09:04


You, as a leader, should know how to act better and with more composure

The last thing we want is for our prime minister to go on a rant about how we hurt his feelings. That's not very leader-like

I voted for you, Pope. I want you to do the best you can for Vooperia. But things like this are too much.

Now let's end this.

<u>40</u>



END OF DOCUMENT