Series Welcome and Introduction

WELCOME TO THE CORMEN CHRONICLES BOOK SERIES - A Note to Readers..... 2
A new way to Publish & Report in Drafts, but Readers must be kind for that reason!!..... 2
INTRODUCTION TO THE CORMEN CHRONICLES BOOK SERIES.....3
The Target Audience is perhaps just those with a need, but these days, that's a v/ Large group indeed….. 3
History of the California Contagion - "Judges not Justice"- WTF happened??..... 4
So let's dig in, starting in the early 1970s…..... 5
A 2021 Real Estate Transaction gave rise to this publishing….....7
The Corman Chronicles Book 1 Storyline Summary…..... 7
1986 vs 1990 - Side-by-Side Analysis - Attorney Sales Dialogue exposes the Contagion..... 12
The Larger Contagion - White Collar Criminals, a corrupt Media Cartel, & "unCivil" Attorneys..... 13
A California Legal Lobby Conspiracy takes root…..15
IN PREPARATION FOR LAUNCH …..18
Investigative Journalism First -- Novelling Second -- Separating the "What" from the "Who", "How", "When", "Why" and "Where" to get more focus on "their" Commercial Strategies..... 18
This "Special Edition" of Book1 ships w/ the Decoder & the Special Investigator's Report on the Court !....18
Bon Voyage!..... 19
VERSION INFORMATION..... 20

WELCOME TO THE CORMEN CHRONICLES BOOK SERIES - A Note to Readers

A new way to Publish & Report in Drafts, but Readers must be kind for that reason!!

Writing a book and publishing it via old practices takes a lot of time and a lot of editorial energy. It costs the environment a lot of trees and ink too.

Rumor has it, done properly, the path through editing to book production can be a year or more. That makes books a useless avenue for hard hitting investigative reporting on timely matters and there is no reason why in a digital age digital books should be left out of the investigative journalism genre.

The first 32,000 words of this book were written in a week. They were proof read once by a third party for general readability. We were ready to “go into digital shopping carts” the next day. But the story wasn’t remotely complete then and it still may be short now, but it’s a lot further along with just one additional week of composition.

Without a doubt there were and will be typos, some incomplete sentences and some wording that could be better. But what’s the tradeoff?

Telling this story via video would take way too many hours. Few could sit through it or even follow it without a detailed table of contents. It’s impossible to convey some concepts with spoken words versus comparative tables. When written, everyone can reread whatever is challenging for them as needed. That is not done easily with videos. Most importantly it can be updated iteratively by the authors as needed to clarify information.

A book like this can be skimmed in a matter of minutes. Readers can find and study the information most relevant to them quickly with a good table of contents. Readers can refer back to information in a book with far greater ease than any other medium.

For those reasons and many more, we LOVE the idea of publishing books this way, but the readers of these types of books need to be far more flexible than they are when paying for books published the old fashioned way, or even those by a digital publisher more interested in written perfection than pushing out a LOT of important material, lickety split.

Please read for content. If you find yourself wanting to edit every sentence or every paragraph, this may not be a publisher or writer for you. If you can read past that, the information you can glean may be found nowhere else at this time. You may be part of a “first to know” club, and you may be “in the know” when you see changes transpire in your own world that might be downstream from this type of sharing.

At the end of the book there is a Versions Table which will document new releases over time. As time progresses hopefully the book will get cleaned up, but given new content is often added with each pass, and words are changed without full editorial control, there are always new opportunities to screw up more.

Thanks in advance for your consideration, your attention, and your financial support. The Authors.

INTRODUCTION TO THE CORMEN CHRONICLES BOOK SERIES

The Cormen Chronicles is a book series that combines investigative journalism, commercial education, entertaining reading, mystery, and commercial conspiracy, with some very serious, significant, and urgent suggestions for social change.

The Target Audience is perhaps just those with a need, but these days, that's a v/ Large group indeed…

The Cormen Chronicles are intended for anyone with interest and everyone with a need, which turns out to be every commercially engaged adult in the Western World at this point in time.

> We are all engaging in legal contracts day in and day out without full understanding of an engineered shift in our legal systems that has transpired over the past 50 years, without our comprehension and mutual consent, that resulted in the grossly unjust enrichment of those we entrusted to care for our legal systems, to our collective detriment.

More specifically, if you are an adult in any part of the United States, and you enter into any Contracts that might have an "attorney fee clause for the prevailing party " in them -- as part of your real estate situation or insurance coverage for example -- or with your Veterinarian, Doctors, Mechanics, Contractors, Real Estate Agents, Home Inspectors or others, you MUST read Book 1 and the Cormen Chronicles Decoder.

> It exposes a "tiny shift" in two California statutes in 1986 and 1990 that could look benign to a laymen, that tilted the scales of justice into the favor of fraudsters, all civil attorneys, and the legal lobby as a whole, without a peep from any media outlet or honest attorneys for 35 years. The damage done to our communities from this shift is incalculable and until now nobody in the public even knew it had transpired nor the level to which it's affected all our lives for decades.

Likewise, If you ever hope to buy residential real estate in the United States or elsewhere, you need to read this too. This exposes engineered corporate brokerage schemes in California and state wide Brokerage corruption in a way that is simply mind blowing.

> When seeking to buy real estate, understanding representation law, real estate contracts, realtor duties, home inspector duties, and what an Attorney "should know" is critical to your success. Knowledge that used to be commonplace in common law and societies where everyone was expected to know the law has been lost and grossly confused for the benefit of service providers in service industries seeking to make far more than they are due. You need to know about this now to protect yourself in the short term -- and you need to know about it now to help re-establish proper real estate markets long term, if you want private property ownership to continue to exist.

Likewise, If you were considering going to Law School or engaging in a career in the legal industry you should read this as well.

> It's believed the legal lobby is going to start shrinking dramatically when this story starts to break. Based on the nature of the information exposed, a shrinking legal lobby could continue for many years into the future as well. This may encourage you to look elsewhere for more fulfilling and prosperous work before investing time in an industry that outlived its due.

History of the California Contagion - "Judges not Justice"- WTF happened??

What is exposed in the Cormen Chronicles is a "social disease" known to exist now in California in excess. It's easy to see this slow rolling contagion is making its way around the country to varying degrees as well, and for most, it has already silently slipped into your jurisdiction too.

> If it has, you need to correct any low hanging fruit that can be corrected immediately, as is an option for California right now, with larger problems to wrangle with after that.
>
> If it has not, you need to understand what it is and make DAMN SURE it never does. That is easy to do once you know how 'they did it' in California many years ago, and where it leads over time.

While this author is not a Biblical scholar at all, a reference to the "Book of Judges" and an Ezekiel passage came up on social media when writing this book.

> Apparently, in ancient times, when Judicial corruption transpired after just a single generation, and on a small scale, it pushed the people to desire to shift from self rule and rule of law to a want for Kings and Kingdoms.

For many, that's a pretty big shift to ponder. Few think about the fact there was a time when people called Kings in, as opposed to wanting them out.

What may sound outlandish and super far-fetched may not sound so once the deceit of those entrusted to manage the courts and legal system are fully exposed.

So let's dig in, starting in the early 1970s…

In the early 1970's California, just a short time ago in the larger context of time, Judges were not required to be licensed as Attorneys. They were elected members from the community much like the Sheriffs in many jurisdictions today.

Then, a whisper arose from "somewhere" that suggested that any Judge who had the ability to sentence people in criminal cases should be required to have a law degree. Such a mandate had not been required since the California Constitution was established in 1872, and it doesn't seem it was overly common in history, yet around 1972, magically, as if out of thin air, such a belief arose.

In response, California passed a law requiring Judges for (some level of) criminal cases to be elected only from a subset of the public with a law degree. The people had no idea of where that change might lead.

A few years later, magically, as if out of thin air, Judges in Civil Cases also had to be Licensed Attorneys.

A few years later, magically, as if out of thin air, any person running in an election to be any type of Judge had to be a Licensed Attorney AND they had to have 10 years of experience practicing law.

At face value, this might seem harmless. A community would "want people with legal training and experience" to manage the court system, right? Especially those who had been practicing Attorneys first, right?

WRONG ---> WRONG, WRONG, WRONG ---> UBER WRONG !!

There is a “commercial dynamic” related to Attorneys and Judges that got lost in this process.

That dynamic is related to the fact that Attorneys were and are in fact licensed as “Officers of the Court” and a “non-licensed Judge” elected from his local community would ALWAYS have his local community’s best interest in mind, beyond the law, when that made sense.

> Attorneys were and are supposed to be an "extension of government”, not “small business people” nor gatekeepers for Corporations.
>
> Attorneys were and are licensed as “Civil Servants” with a very specific and sacred duty to fulfill. All of them are licensed that way. Not some of them. All of them.
>
> Non-licensed members of the community were selected as Judges because they could be fair, but if and when they saw laws or systems that needed to be changed to decrease the cases they needed to hear, they were motivated to help make those happen. That is juxtaposition to being a “paid referee” for the disputes of others, to the commercial benefit of legal brethren Judges with Law Degrees can empathize with, given 10 years trying to “make a living” in law. Do you see the problem yet?

By limiting Judgeship opportunities to only licensed attorneys who had “survived for ten years” serving a corporation or hanging their own shingle, Licensed Attorneys who were supposed to be “clerks of the court” and “legal examiners” that provided coaching to non-licensed judges, harmed parties, and defendants -- were turned into "Legal Referees” with inherent commercial empathy for the Attorneys representing the parties -- knowing if the disputes were resolved in the most efficient manner possible, the Attorneys would not survive commercially. Do you see the conflicts of interest in this with a LOT more clarity now?

> Did you know that even today, a Supreme Court Justice does NOT have to be an Attorney?
>
> Can you imagine how different things might be if that was the case?

The United States current economic system reveals a country with no proper social safety nets or commercial safety nets for anything other than the largest corporations, and it’s filled with carnivorous, corporate for-profit health care systems, insurance systems, and energy systems that make middle income living more difficult and complex than in any other modern country.

In such a country, the last thing you want is Legally Licensed Judges running the courts with no superior supervision from non legal lobby members, because they have the ability to “create industry” for others equally mislead to believe a law license was about a gateway to small business or corporate success, as opposed to serving the public interest at all times, which was in fact supposed to be the case. With hefty fees paid to law schools for that confusion.

The separation of powers between a Judicial Branch of Government and others may make sense, but ONLY IF there is not inherent and/or required dedication to the Brotherhood of the Bar required for those that run the courts.

The targeted pressure the “Bar Brotherhood” and those that might align with them, such as the very powerful Real Estate Brokerage Lobby, could put on the “elected” Judges and the Judicial System as a whole is tremendous.

With the shift from non-licensed judges (selected from local communities) to experienced attorneys often brought in from other jurisdictions via appointment between elections was and is the full co-opting and/or capture of an industry previously headed by elected members of the public without law degrees, that was implemented that way to provide community balance.

What you are looking at is a group referred to as “white collar professionals” now, that was supposed to constantly work to reduce civil and criminal activity in a way that would have threatened their own careers.

What you are looking at now is a group of people that became an army for Corporations and their own self serving commercial interests, over a 50 year period, when no one was monitoring history properly.

- Did you know the California Bar Association was recently sued for Racketeering in two different cases?
    - “Both cases allege violations of Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (“RICO”) by The State Bar of California, its Chairman Ruben Duran (a partner of private law firm Best Best & Krieger), and multiple private and public California attorneys including Thomas V. Girardi and Kenneth J. Catanzarite.”
    - https://fox59.com/business/press-releases/ein-presswire/622445034/state-bar-scandal-unfolds-as-it-conceals-rico-cases-conduct-of-kenneth-j-catanzarite-more-alleged-bribes-in-real-time/#:~:text=Both%20cases%20allege%20violations%20of,Catanzarite.
- Did you know they caught a California Attorney giving a State Bar Investigator 1 Million dollars?
    - His ex-wife was on “Real Housewives”
    - https://www.latimes.com/california/story/2023-03-10/state-bar-corruption-probe-tom-girardi
- Did you know the California Bar is taking in almost 20,000 complaints a year for about 200,000 practicing attorneys and only taking 20 of those to court, while returning “no harm no foul” letters for 19,980 or so of those, even if the facts expose misrepresentation of law and facts?
    - Documented in dialogue on twitter with someone who had complaint against the CA Bar.
- Did you know California has an entire system of non ABA Accredited law schools, at two lower levels, and those graduates get to practice law and become Judges with educations that seems to pale in comparison to the rest of the schools?

It’s believed this shift from non-licensed public figures to licensed Attorneys as Judges is at varying states of change across the United States. In California, a state which may have been one of the leaders if not the leader in this, has had this going on so long now that everyone has totally lost track of everything about civil law, and that’s what brought about this reporting.

A 2021 Real Estate Transaction gave rise to this publishing…

In 2021, the authors of this book series were involved in a brokered Real Estate Transaction that exposed 14 professionals in 4 different industries, and seven businesses operating openly in bad faith with absolutely no concern for legal consequence. That led to a series of questions, mysteries and discoveries that led to 4 long

years of pursuit for remedy, that ended with a motion hearing that directly exposed a Judge and a legal train wreck dating back to 1986 and 1990.

That's where the Corman Chronicles Book 1 and its supporting publications pick up. They were written so you don't have to start out like the harmed parties in this case did, which was with no idea just how terribly messed up the courts, Judges, Attorneys, and case precedent system was, and with no idea of any of the backstory you've just been provided.

The Corman Chronicles Book 1 Storyline Summary…

In the Corman Chronicles Book 1 and the Decoder, you are going to learn about a motion hearing, where a Judge pulled two statutes out of thin air, to suggest he might do an unthinkable act that violated 118 years of proper legal precedent and common sense. Those statutes, not otherwise mentioned by the defense attorney, and the Judges intimation of action, exposed a level of "legal discretion" and "judicial support for the defendant" that made no sense at all.

After the hearing, an investigation was done by the authors to determine what the statutes were that the Judge pulled from his hat and how they came about. It was discovered that two statutes were manipulated in 1986 and 1990 for the commercial benefit of the legal lobby and to the communal detriment of every honest citizen in California.

Members of the legal lobby and/or those in the Legislature made two small statute changes that granted Judges an unprecedented level of discretion not offered to them with 118 years or prior case precedent -- and it tipped the litigation scales in another way to benefit fraudsters and any attorneys willing to represent them into perpetuity -- while deterring plaintiff attorneys from ever attempting to pursue fraudsters to the fullest extent, ever again.

In the Corman Chronicles Book 1 and the Decoder, you will get to see how these changes were buried enough below the surface that no one trying to understand broad rules for Attorney Fee Shifting might ever realize they existed, and how they might only be fully realized by a harmed party if they pushed their way into litigation as a self-represented party. No attorney who might have wanted to take their case could explain the possible risks buried in the statutes in 1990 and subsequent case precedents set based on the fraud, without exposing an absurd level of hypocrisy and confusion in the rules they were using to operate and to support a fraudulent storyline.

In Book 1, the Plaintiffs are self-representing (in Pro Se) because they could not find attorneys to take on an extremely large, but very straightforward case of misrepresentation of facts to induce a real-estate contract.

The Plaintiffs sued the seller for over 30 misrepresentations of fact he made about his property that were intended to encourage a buyer to overbid for his property.

> Without legal experience or proper insight, they could not understand why no attorneys would talk to them. They speculated on reasons, some of which proved true, but the grand daddy of them all was something they could never have imagined.

The harmed parties wrote up a complaint as best they could, but without guidance it was a shot in the dark. They received a deficient response to their complaint from a 40 year attorney, but they did not know how to handle it.

The Judge at the first Case management hearing was notified of the deficient response and other concerns in writing, but she ignored them completely. The Judge set a trial 14 months out with no additional Case Management Hearings or discovery oversight, which was certainly due.

Why did the Judge do that? The Seller's Attorney (the defense attorney) said he had been in her court before, and he spoke highly of her. They knew each other.

After 100's upon 100's of hours navigating through and around the defense attorneys futility defense, the Plaintiffs finally got to step 1 in an admission process, but they also learned the defendant had lost his job.

> NOTE: a "futility defense" is a defense attorney strategy involving the avoidance of questions, the misapplication of law, the intentional confusion of facts, the overuse of procedure , etc, to frustrate plaintiffs, with the goal of getting them to simply give up and dismiss their complaint.

The Plaintiffs concluded, even if by miracle they could make it through the futility defense and get a judgement, their prospects of collection on a judgement, given the sellers growing situational concerns was next to nil.

After careful consideration, they made the tough decision to dismiss the complaint, relying on the fact that these types of complaints were protected by the "American Rule", which states all parties to complaints for fraud (torts) pay their own attorney fees.

After dismissing, to their shock, a motion was submitted asking that the defendants attorney fees of $145,000 be shifted to Plaintiffs due to a voluntary dismissal that should NEVER have triggered any thoughts of fee shifting at all, based on the 118 years of sound and proper case precedent prior to 1990, and additional case precedent in 1995 that was to be the guiding light moving forward, AS WELL AS COMMON SENSE. And for the Attorney's reading this, they likely all know $145,000 for attorney fees to make it through a few straight forward rounds of discovery for misrepresentation of fact might be obscene.

If attorney fees could shift this way, the only way the plaintiffs could have avoided a shift is if they had sued, completed discovery, and obtained a win via settlement, motion for summary judgement or trial.

If attorney fees could shift this way, every single day they were engaging they would be potentially accumulating the defendants fees -- with no way to exit.

1. If the defendant became unable to work to pay judgments and the plaintiffs discovered that, and they needed to dismiss, the fees would shift. Does that make sense?

2. If the defendant died , the fees would shift. Does that make sense?
3. Given all Defense Attorneys know that they can use a futility defense to push an opposing party out of a complaint if given enough resources, does it make sense to allow them to engage in a

> complaint knowing they will get all the resources they want or need from the opposing party when the party has to dismiss due to their futility defense? Of course not.

None of this makes sense, because it is NOT proper law and never has been.

The Plaintiffs in this case got a total of 41 emails (over a period of 4 months) from the defense attorney who had billed his client for $145,000 . “All they did” was make it through one round of discovery with plaintiffs while answering two to three-ish rounds of discovery for the defendant, mostly because he was not forthright going through the process.

> Discovery issued, Discovery (partially) answered. Discovery corrections requested, etc
>
> How did the Defense Attorney get to the point where his billing represents $3500 for each email he sent? At $550/hour that’s 6 hours of office time per email, all for answering a complaint and preparing and reviewing discovery?
>
> Misrepresentation is simple
>
> 1) did the defendant believe the conditions about 33 facts complained about exist
> 2) if so, “did he have knowledge about them and/or did he tell the truth or not?"
>
> NO investigations required. NO nuance. Did he tell the truth or not about 33 facts.
>
> While this is partially oversimplified analysis, and the plaintiffs had to send the attorney a LOT more than 41 emails because neither the Attorney nor his client were doing what they were supposed to, the Attorneys and Judges who read this should have a lot of concerns. They all know EXACTLY what happened.

With Plaintiffs filing in Pro Se and a defendant who had not represented many material facts properly, the defense attorney was in a win-win no matter who he eventually sank financially. He could bleed his client dry and then he could “try” to shift the fees to look like a hero.

> In this case, as the Defense Attorney provided the futility defense for his client, he could see more and more facts that showed his client had misrepresented many facts and performed other heinous acts. He could see the defendant was trapped.
>
> At that point, the Attorney could bill the defendant into smithereens. Secretly in his head, he could in fact act as his client's punisher.
>
> Then, in the end, he knew he could “toss a lob ball” to a Judge, without directly mentioning the fraudulent statutes that gave rise to a faulty case precedent that gave rise to the case precedent he tossed out, that indicated a Judge had discretion to shift fees for voluntary dismissals.
>
> And of course, that was all done WITHOUT mentioning the opposing case precedents that nullified the new ones, with clarity on the 1990 statue confusion in a 1995 case precedent.

> If the Judge shifted the bloated discovery fee debt to the plaintiffs, the Defense Attorney would look like a hero to his client. If he did not, the Attorney could say, “We did the best we could. I still got you out of that for pennies on the dollar compared to what you owed”.
>
> Where did the Judge screw up?
>
> The Judge didn’t just stick to the case precedent he was lobbed. He read into it. He referenced another case precedent the defense attorney had not, and he referenced underlying statutes the defense attorney had not, as the basis for his decision -- in the context of screwing up, he also FAILED to mention the diametrically opposing case precedent that said everything he was considering was ILLEGAL -- and that the underlying case precedents he was relying on had taken an improper view of the 1990 statute per notes in the congressional records that supplemented that statute change.
>
> What you are looking at is commercial insanity in motion.

What the plaintiffs felt might be just for “show”, for a legally unsupported sales pitch the Attorney may have made to his client, who was caught in a web of fraud, turned into something far more bizarre and terrifying, when the Judge at the motion hearing pulled statutes out of his hat that the Defense Attorney himself never mentioned in his motion documents, to suggest he was going to do the unthinkable.

After the hearing, the dumbfounded Plaintiff, along with a witness, started digging through statues and case precedents.

They discovered that two small shifts in statute in 1986 and 1990 had transpired.

1. Judges, Attorneys and the public had been told that Attorney Fee Shifting for any dismissal prior to adjudication was not only the defendants entitlement but it was due to them as a matter of law in a manner NEVER supported by case precedent, law or practice prior.
2. Attorneys had been emboldened with far more interesting “sales pitches” to entice fraudsters to buy there services starting in 1990 that they couldn’t offer in 1986
3. Attorneys had been hampered by disclosure requirements about litigation risks they’d have to make to harmed in 1990 that they didn’t have to do in 1986. These disclosure requirements would create fear, uncertainty and doubt; in a defendant’s exposure to attorney fee shifting, thereby forcing harmed parties to settle for pennies on the dollar with non-disclosures, instead of engaging in full pursuit for proper remedy.

The entire civil justice system in California was shifted unlawfully via changes in statute with no legal basis, to benefit fraudsters and the legal lobby in 1990, and those outside the system were unaware anything had transpired.

The situation that plays out in Book 1 is hard to digest, once you have this background.

The surprise sprung on the Plaintiffs by the Judge and his magic statutes in a motion hearing is bizarre to say the least. But read within the context above, things make more sense.

The “only good news” as it pertains to the Cormen Chronicles Book 1, is that the statute manipulations detailed can in fact be undone easily -- and just undoing those helps reset the playing field. The rest will take far more thought and time, but at least with this, there is a place to start.

The next page contains a table that helps understand the changes in sales pitches and disclosure requirements that transpired, and the effect it had on the industry and society.

< the rest of the page intentionally blank >

1986 vs 1990 - Side-by-Side Analysis - Attorney Sales Dialogue exposes the Contagion

This table below shows the effects 1986 and 1990 statute changes on sales dialogue between attorneys, harmed parties and fraudsters. This created a commercial bond between attorneys and fraudsters that has grown of control now. This is what is detailed in Book 1 and the Decoder.

Prior to 1986	After 1990
With statute configurations prior to 1986,	***With configurations after 1990,***
1) The harmed party was able to find an Attorney easily. Attorneys wanted calls from the harmed parties. They may have worked on contingency fees.	1) The harmed party will struggle to find an attorney willing to pursue the case in depth due to attorney fee shifting risks if they don't make it all the way to the end with a win. Plaintiff Atty's only comfortable offering services for a quick settlement for pennies on the dollar with non-disclosures
2) The fraudster's money went to the harmed party as long as the harmed party retained an attorney	2) The defense attorneys get to sell "hope" to the fraudster (in the form of fee-shifting) in ways they could not prior to 1990.
3) Given the harmed party was in the power seat, they may not have been forced to sign non-disclosures as part of settlement agreements.	3) The defense attorney makes money in all outcomes of the case.
4) No attorney called by the fraudster had much "hope" to sell the fraudster, unless the plaintiff was in Pro Se for some reason AND the Attorney wanted to do the fraudster a favor.	4) The Defense Attorney is looked on favorably by defendant.
5) Only one scenario might make the Defense attorney any money. That's if the harmed party went Pro Se and the defense attorney decided to bill churn to bleed his own client dry.	5) The Defense Attorney has a shot at legal fee recovery simply for dismissal, and becomes a hero if that happens.
6) The fraudster would be hard pressed to view any attorney in a positive light when done.	6) Case load at the courts would be high but few to to trial, Atty's make a lot more from settling cases before and just after filing, the social system starts to erode
7) Case load at the courts would be low, the system would maintain itself, the Judges would not need to use much discretion	
=== COMMERCIAL SUMMARY === -- The fraudster will not repeat his fraud. -- Good for the community. -- Bad for Legal Lobby Business -- No repeat business for Attorneys from Fraudsters -- Other fraudsters discouraged from fraud vicariously.	=== COMMERCIAL SUMMARY === -- The fraudster will be apt to repeat -- Horrible for society as a whole -- Great for legal lobby business. -- The fraudster is emboldened and will tell others how the system works, all while giving out cards for his defense attorney.

What we have just described is NOT fiction. This is real and it really happened in California in 1990.

The result of this can be used to explain a MASSIVE PORTION of the commercial dysfunction now occurring in California, with no clue of the actual catalyst.

When you realize the level to which we all engage in “contracts” with each other, companies and our government, and you see how this worked, and you see who it emboldened, and then how it builds on itself, many things will make sense that were perplexing to many of the “honest folks” wondering what on Earth had been happening to our communities.

All of this leads us to the base of the far larger contagion that is “white collar criminals” in general, a corrupt Media Cartel that has buried all of this malfeasance under mountains of distraction, and an army of “civil attorneys” who are serving all of them, to our societal demise.

The Larger Contagion - White Collar Criminals, a corrupt Media Cartel, & “unCivil” Attorneys

Something went very wrong with this social experiment related to higher education and white collar industries many years ago. Pinning down that time and date has not been a goal of the author but something went very wrong and the 1950’s and 60’s might be a good place to start.

In the 1950’s and 60’s you can look back and see there was concern about automation in farming and wonder as to how everyone was going to stay busy. There was also voiced concern about the rise of the military industrial complex and the war industry, which is all that brought America out of a period with little work and lots of depression.

If you look closely you may see a correlation between that time and the “creation” of industries where none existed prior. What may have looked like a commercial boon to those at the time seems to have lived well beyond its usefulness as shall be exposed in this series.

If you look “honestly” at what Western Media did to their own citizens, you might find US Media actually looks more like what we’ve been told about the media controllers in North Korea, or even China and the Soviet Union.

Yet, if you are honest even for a moment, you may find the US Media was in fact far worse than every Communist Media establishment you’ve ever been told existed.

The question is, do you realize just how bad the majority of US Citizens were duped and how long it might take to recover, if ever, from a Corporate Media Control Syndicate that sold out America to White Collar Criminals while leading the masses off a commercial cliff?

The Chinese know all about “America” now and they have for many decades. It’s the majority of Americans who knew NOTHING about the progress China was making, with the support of our own Government, Politicians, Venture Capitalists, International Bankers, and Attorneys that have now left many people in America without foundational commercial education, without skills, without long standing industries that supported their communities, without many valuable retail chains, and without much “hope” for a commercial future that some of our parents and all our grandparents and great grandparents not only had, but cherished.

If you look at all US Governments, Politicians, Venture Capitalists and International Bankers there is a consistent service provider under the hood providing support. That person is called a “Civil Attorney”.

While the term “Civil” is in the name, don’t for a moment imagine that some of them may be anything other than “Barbaric” at this point in time. While they may dress nice and speak well, many may be straight out of Mongolia from a time when their Mongrels sought to control or destroy the world.

The title “Civil Attorney” is used to delineate them from “Criminal Attorney”.

> Criminal Attorneys defend people who committed acts that violate “criminal codes” in state and federal statutes. When those are violated, people are pursued by the State and Federal Government in “criminal courts”. “Criminal Attorneys” defend the people charged with crimes from the “State” (be that a County, a State, or the Federal government). .
>
> Civil Attorneys get the same schooling as Criminal Attorneys. They just opt for a different career path afterwards.
>
> Neither are supposed to be Criminals themselves, and in fact, they take oaths to not be that at all.

“In theory”, Attorneys were supposed to be the morally and ethically righteous in a community. They were supposed to be the people that fought for level playing fields in the courts, and because of that, when they passed their Licensing Exams, they were all actually sworn in as “Officers of the Court”. Every last one of them.

Attorneys are all supposed to be far more like aspiring Sheriffs than Small Business People or Corporate Executives. Most people these days are oblivious to that fact, or is all that just theory that most of them never bought into?

In a world where Civil Attorneys were supposed to “be good” and “do good”, in search of social balance for their communities, with communal equity in mind, this is a model to consider.

1. Civil Courts were created to eliminate murder, duals and dualling ‘to the death’ over disputes.
2. A Civil Court is like a boxing ring. It’s a safer place to dispute than the woods with guns or swords.
3. The judge is the referee for a fight.
4. Each Attorney is the corner person for their client.
5. The Attorneys advise their client of the rules, they coach their client, and then their client goes to the fight to impress the judge more than the other side.

But OOPPSSY ?!? Do you see what’s wrong with this?

Somehow, the Civil Attorneys have become the fighters not the cornermen. Somehow, they have decided that fighting dirty when the Judge is NOT looking is the way this game was meant to be played. Some of them are loading their boxing gloves up with rocks and brass knuckles, with absolutely no oversight from anyone, to and including the Judges who are now somehow supposed to be managing dozens if not hundreds of fights at a time.

Many of you may not see things this way right now, but you might soon, and you might see a lot more, a lot worse, a lot faster, depending on how much you can digest and how fast you can digest it.

Past Comedian George Carlin had a routine about "control". He talks about how " 'they' control all the Attorneys, the Judges, the Courts and the Media". It's unclear who the "they" is he refers to. While most seem to see some things that are off in the courts, to date, it's usually "judges" or "attorneys" like Michael Avenatti of Stormy Daniels and Donald Trump fame who are highlighted as the problem.

But is there more to be seen related to the Civil Attorneys, the Courts and the Judges that might be "systemic" -- that might lead you to wonder more about the 'they' he was referring to?

Who are the 'they', if they exist, and what do they do to control people and systems in ways that benefit them and not others?

A California Legal Lobby Conspiracy takes root…

In early 2024, in the bowels of Twitter a person by the handle of JaneXyQUnamed10 (not 'her' real handle) was talking about a Conspiracy in California.

Per Jane, a "communist" Cartel partially rooted in the legal system sought to take over the legal system for personal gains and commercial control of California.

First, is the word "communist" really relevant here? The idea of "communism" is one where everyone is equal. One hallmark of truly communist communities is the absence of personal property ownership. It's about "community" well being. If we were to think of a "communist" cartel as one that sought to look out for their own community in a way where wealth was shared and people cared for each other, but to the detriment of those not in their community, Communist may fit. That said, that model of sharing some wealth is one the Cartels in Mexico use. Communists are generally pro-labor union and "anti-corporation" as well and that doesn't seem to be a great fit. Capitalist, Fascist, or merely Opportunist sure might though as well as others mentioned below.

While there are a lot of ways to look at this, it seems dropping the word communist from her description might help out.

Without getting caught up in that or the fact 'they' might also be described as Marxist, agnostic, atheists or even satanic, the fact that a "commercial cartel" might have sought to take over the California Legal System for recurring revenue and profits into perpetuity is easier to ponder -- albeit, who could pull off such a stunt like that, right?

And how would they do it and what exactly would it look like if a group did that?

In a tweet string Jane was asked if she could provide any names to bring her conspiracy to life a little more. To the shock of the audience she did.

She provided the name of a man who she said had been caught on tape describing some details about this California legal lobby takeover. An internet search for that man revealed he had been nominated as the US Attorney General for Central/Northern California in the 2023 time frame.

> As it turned out, that man who had been nominated and appointed as US DOJ in California to prosecute White Collar Criminals and cartels had been a DEFENSE ATTORNEY for them for 20 years prior, in the same state.
>
> While it is common for people to go FROM State Employment seeking to prosecute criminals TO private practice protecting them, it is ABSURD to think California nominated a man who was then appointed by a Federal Committee to prosecute people he may have spent 20 years seeking to defend.
>
> Who would nominate such a person?
>
> Diane Feinstein did that just prior to her passing, and his appointment was approved by the Federal Government Committee for the Judiciary.
>
> With a system like that, who needs outside enemies?

The small law firm that man left to “prosecute” people that might have been the likes of his prior clients, included a partner with the last name of Ehrlich.

> If you know anything about Maryland Politics and Law, you know an Ehrlich was the Maryland Governor for a while. You also may find he might be engaged in work in Georgia now, a state that is putting up all kinds of opposition to reasonable change in America. There is no known connection between the Ehrlich in Californai who was the Defense Attorney for Racketeers and Cartels and the Ehrlich from Maryland who may be operating in Georgia at this time. This is just an interesting fact about shared names.

With this appointment of Racketeering and Cartel Defense Attorney to become a Federal Prosecutor, do you see and sense some serious concerns now with how Federal Prosecutors might be selected and appointed and why those same Federal Prosecutors might NOT be doing what the people would want and expect?

With the introduction above, you now know that a legal industry takeover does seem to have started with a shift away from unlicensed judges, and then things just rolled out of control from there. Lucky for you, you got those crib notes as the result of 1000’s of hours of recovery from the abuse of white collar professionals, and the pursuit of a story with no known foundation.

It took almost 4 years and 1000’s of hours of research, writing and playing wicked legal games to pursue a story that may not have had any clear ending, until it did with that motion hearing. And thank goodness it did, as that made the pursuit all worthwhile.

As you read the Cormen Chronicles, enjoy the messaging, the story, and the lessons. There is no need to look far for the conspiracies as many are presented in plain view.

However, in the back of your mind, just stay open to the idea that some of this may build on other information you are provided in future episodes of the Chronicles and elsewhere, that may eventually lead you to a mind map that says, “Oh holy f--k.This entire thing really was all engineered”.

To be clear. Even if you were to come to that conclusion after a book or a few, there is no reason to believe our first main character, Jim Cormen, was necessarily in on the system design or even an intentional part of a larger group.

While he may in fact be, he may also just be an “opportunist” and “beneficiary” who saw what the system offered and took advantage of it, as many others may have done as well.

The Cormen Chronicles are based on a true story that is still playing out in big ways as of the first publishing of this book. This is NOT the retelling of a story past. It is the documentation of a story present.

Some of the names have been masked to avoid too much attention going to the wrong places at the wrong times, but the real names can be found if you look for them.

IN PREPARATION FOR LAUNCH …

Investigative Journalism First -- Novelling Second -- Separating the "What" from the "Who", "How", "When", "Why" and "Where" to get more focus on "their" Commercial Strategies

This series is being written as an Investigative Journalism Expedition first and Novelling second. The desire is to expose problems and social change needs first and foremost. It's being written to engage in an intriguing, entertaining and suspenseful manner, second.

If you are looking for long and drawn out suspense to get to a single conspiracy, you may feel you aren't getting that here, when in fact you may are, albeit that may be difficult to realize, depending on just how big you can imagine, and your ability to increase mind elasticity as you go.

Many times you will be provided the "what" directly. In this introduction you were provided with the summary of Book 1 and the side by side comparison table above, when in fact those details could have been drawn out over many pages, as they are in the Book 1 and the Decoder.

While some may view the information above as a "spoiler", it's not at all, because you have a LOT more to figure out and uncover. There are also an unimaginable number of twists that will come that will change your entire perspective on what you may initially think about something due to the breadth of this type of conspiracy.

Upfront summaries of "what" are done to quickly get you over the "what happened" hurdle so that when you start reading into the details, in the back of your mind you can start ponding the "who", "how" , "when", "why" and "where" that is required to gain clearer understanding of far more complex commercial strategy that a minority of people are engaging in who are controlling the majority of commerce without the understanding of most.

This "Special Edition" of Book1 ships w/ the Decoder & the Special Investigator's Report on the Court !

This "Special Edition" of Book 1 is sold as a bundle with the Cormen Chronicle Decoder and a Special Investigator's Report on the Court.

In the future, they may be sold separately, but for now they are bundled, as we feel anyone reading into this needs to have access to all three.

They were all in one document to be sure nobody missed that which they needed to gain a fuller understanding far faster, from multiple perspectives, but the book simply got to be too deep.

You can decide to read this like an Encyclopedia Brown book. Narrative and mystery first and decoder second. Or you can just go for the Decoder and then decide if you want to read the narrative. Or just jump to the Special Investigators Report on the Court, and then circle back to the other content. There is a LOT to digest.

Bon Voyage!

This Series Welcome and Introduction has been prepared to ship with Book 1, the Decoder and the Special Investigator's Report on the Court.

There is no guarantee there will be a Book 2, but that is the goal; and the material is there for that and dozens more, as hard as that may be to ponder today.

Even if Book 2 were to never follow, what is revealed in these first published documents alone is more than enough to make change for centuries to come.

With your attention elevated and eyes hungry for knowledge, let the story begin.

VERSION INFORMATION

4/20/2025 - First publishing
5/10/2025 - Second publishing