

COSAF Report | CPI Recommendations & Comments

Review: FACE/LEEAP Fee
Facilities and Campus Enhancements Fee & Legal Education Enhancement and Access Program

November 3, 2025

Action Item #2026-039

Quorum Met

Per the Council on Student Affairs and Fees Bylaws: *Recommendations associated with CPI adjustments must have a quorum, defined as **half the voting membership**, rounded up to the nearest number.*

Total Voting Members: **19**
In Attendance: **18**
Quorum was met at **95%** attendance

FACE CPI Recommendation

Per the Council on Student Affairs and Fees Bylaws: *Recommendations associated with CPI adjustments on Campus Based Fees must pass by **66%**, rounded to the nearest number.*

Intercollegiate Athletics		Campus Recreation	Student Recruitment & Retention Center
YES	16	17	13
NO	1	0	3
Yes 94%		Yes 100%	Yes 81%

RESULTS: COSAF recommends a CPI adjustment to the FACE fee in 2026-27 for Intercollegiate Athletics, Campus Recreation and Student Recruitment & Retention Center.

LEEAP CPI Recommendation

Per the Council on Student Affairs and Fees Bylaws: *Only the LSA (Law Students Association) Representative will vote on CPI adjustments to the remaining portion of the LEEAP fee: Law School Recruitment and Retention.*

Law School Recruitment & Retention	
YES	1
NO	0
Yes 100%	

RESULTS: COSAF recommends a CPI adjustment to the LEEAP fee in 2026-27 which supports Law School Recruitment & Retention

Please provide your comments regarding the **Intercollegiate Athletics: Schaal Aquatics Center and UC Davis Health Stadium presentation and use of FACE fees.**

YES VOTES

ICA supports a vast number of student clubs and activities as well as boosting the mental health of students who go to events, workout, socialize etc. Supporting the CPI adjustment will allow current and future students continued access to these services
Incredibly thorough presentation. The Schaal Aquatics Center is essential to aquatic recreation and sports here at UC Davis, and the UC Davis Health Stadium continues to support students, directly through hosting sporting events and raising campus spirit, and through facilitating entertainment events like through ASUCD.
I support this CPI adjustment.
I believe that the adjustment is necessary as they need the purchasing power to prepare for the men's NCAA water polo hosting
ICA should retain its purchasing power, given the benefits the organization brings to UC Davis and its student body. Beyond the purpose and community that ICA gives student athletes, it also promotes university pride and events/programming. If ICA were to lose its purchasing power, the impact would be detrimental to student athletes and the student body at large.
It was a nice presentation and showed why CPI adjustment is needed
The Schaal Aquatics Center and UC Davis Health Stadium supports various student and athletic activities that greatly contribute to our campus culture. This CPI adjustment is necessary to preserve the quality of events via upkeep and future projects.
Both of these facilities are and can be used by UC Davis students across the board, and I am in support of a CPI adjustment to continue supporting students at UCD.
The presentation was very thorough and the breakdown for the uses of the funds were clear.
The presentation was extremely thorough and went into great detail concerning how the budget is being allocated for repairs and maintenance. Super excited to see Davis host the NCAA Water Polo tournament!
The UC Davis health stadium provides a unique opportunity that enables students to come together and build that Aggie spirit. The Schaal Aquatics center will be the place where the 2027 water polo tournament is held and will bring a lot of exposure to UC Davis. Their carry forward funds make sense as it was explained that most of it is accumulated to amass a fund for future repairs.
I have appreciated ICA's use of FACE fees, and their use of carryforward.
I think that ICA is an important part of UC Davis so I'm in support of a CPI increase for that department.
I'm voting YES on the CPI adjustment to keep the FACE fee's purchasing power intact. Schaal Aquatics Center and UC Davis Health Stadium are heavily used by varsity teams, club sports, ROTC, the marching band, intramurals, and more than 20 student organizations these venues anchor campus spirit and student life.
This adjustment helps preserve the quality and accessibility of intercollegiate athletics without reducing resources due to inflation.

NO VOTE

I cannot confidently vote yes at this time as I don't believe this benefits UCD Students at large.

ALTERNATE MEMBER COMMENTS

I'm in full support of the CPI adjustment. A CPI adjustment will help with the maintenance and quality of our facilities. ICA has also shown that they've been making good use of the FACE funds and I'm excited to know that Schaal will be hosting the 2027 NCAA Men's Water Polo Championships. I'm proud that UC Davis was chosen to be the host for this event, and these funds will help provide a good image and representation of our school to the athletes coming to visit.

I agree. I enjoyed the feedback presented by the two Chairs, and understood the usage of the funds allocated to the Intercollegiate Athletics department.

Please provide your comments regarding the **Campus Recreation** presentation and use of FACE fees.

YES VOTES

Campus rec hosts a wide variety of beneficial services to students, staff, and the Davis community. Keeping the purchasing power will allow the entire community to continue benefiting from these services.

Campus Recreation remains a crucial part of campus, with thousands of students utilizing the facilities daily. It is a source of Aggie Pride, and many students rave about our ARC, Equestrian Center, and other facilities and programs here to the general public and in comparison to other universities. Applying a CPI adjustment would make sure these facilities remain up to standard and continue to best benefit our student population.

The campus recreation is very important, I support the CPI adjustment.

I love using campus rec and I like the new locker room design that they are working on

Campus Rec is beloved by every student who uses its services! It provides physical and mental health benefits and therefore needs to retain its purchasing power. Campus Rec genuinely cares about student needs and feedback and has the data and plans to prove it.

It is very clear why the adjustment is needed

Campus recreation benefits so many students. Thousands of students make great use of the many classes, amenities, and engage in different forms of wellness.

A CPI adjustment will support student experiences with recreational programs via staff preservation and facility maintenance. However, I wish to see more uses of the carryforward funds toward prospective projects.

Campus Recreation provides invaluable services to the UCD community, including students, staff, faculty, and even Davis residents. With the proposed expansion of another facility and the broad array of services provided, I support a CPI adjustment.

I believe that Campus Recreation is an integral part of student experience and the presentation showcased that sentiment well. The uses of the fees and high carryforward was justified sufficiently.

Campus Recreation provides so many facets for students to find community within specific activities. The late night club sports, classes within the Crafts Center, and the hundreds of student employees that help the whole department run smoothly. The ARC is not only a gym for students to use, but stands as an amazing building that represents Davis well when visitors come.

Campus Recreation allows for students to come together and to focus on their health, while balancing their studies. This ability to switch focuses is vital to students and staffs mental health. The undertaking of expansion towards the MU will be an amazing addition that continues to give students access to these helpful resources.
Campus recreation one of the most important and used departments on campus. I believe that everything they are doing is in the best interest of the students who are paying into this fee. I am impressed by how many aspects of campus recreation is supported by this fee. I am excited to see how they plan to use their carryforward in future years.
I am in support of a CPI increase for Campus Recreation because I know countless students, including myself, who use its facilities daily.
I'm voting YES on the CPI adjustment for Campus Recreation. The ARC, Rec Pool, Outdoor Adventures, the Equestrian Center, and fields serve tens of thousands of students each year and are essential for physical and mental well-being and community on campus. A CPI adjustment simply preserves purchasing power amid rising labor and operating costs so hours, safety, and access aren't cut.
This adjustment ensures students continue to benefit from facilities, equipment, and services that promote health and well-being.

ALTERNATE MEMBER COMMENTS

Campus recreation is a crucial part of student life at UC Davis and they offer a lot of programs that help students stay fit, de-stress, and learn new skills. I'm excited for their future projects and believe that a CPI adjustment will definitely be beneficial for the student body.
I agree with the adjustment because I know a lot of people who will benefit from the new developments and the expansion of the ARC and its resources

Please provide your comments regarding the **Student Recruitment & Retention Center** presentation and use of FACE fees.

YES VOTES

SRRC hosts a wide variety of programs and services. I am worried that without the CPI adjustment they may be unable to run these programs or even pay their staff.
The SRRC provides essential needs to students, especially in regards to meal service and our tailored programs and minority communities here on campus. Through a CPI adjustment, this center would be able to expand their budget and offer crucial services to students, which is especially important given their rapidly decreasing carry-forward and larger plans for future services.
I support the CPI adjustment.
After having them present at COSAF, I honestly believe that this program has an impact at bringing in more students to our campus. Especially with the structural deficit that our campus is currently in.
Compared to the others they didn't clearly show the need for adjustment.
The SRRC, has many initiatives that are geared towards building community and increasing retention. They serve a diverse UCD students who are typically first students of color.
The Student Recruitment and Retention Center is projected to use most of their Carryforward balance in the coming years. Without a CPI adjustment, they will struggle to support student communities through their cultural and equity focused programs.

The SRRC provides invaluable student programming and services created by students, for students. As

Student Recruitment and Retention Center continued

As their carryforward becomes negative in the next few years, I believe that it is important to keep their purchasing power the same. It will continue to help them with their expansion and support their noble cause.

The SRRC is a place where students can run a place of belonging and find their home at UC Davis. For anyone who is feeling homesick, the SRRC is a comfortable community that can alleviate some of the pain. The expansion plan of making the area more approachable and accessible will be important for the SRRC to implement.

The mission of the Student Recruitment & Retention Center is an important one, and I believe they are using the FACE fees to help achieve their mission. After reviewing the financials, it is clear that a CPI increase is necessary. However, there is also some cause for concern. They plan to spend down their carryforward to essentially zero, and while CPI increases help maintain current spending levels, their projected spending appears to go well beyond that. I am worried that their spending is not aligned with a sustainable budget plan.

The SRRC is another important part of making UC Davis a place where people feel like they belong so I think a CPI increase is very beneficial.

NO VOTES

I cannot vote for a CPI adjustment for the SRRC on behalf of graduate students due to the lack of transparency regarding how many graduate students are served, how much funding is dedicated to graduate student support/opportunities, and how these numbers will be retained or (better yet) expanded over time. The SRRC has shown it serves undergraduate students very well. However, the provided information was unclear regarding how graduate fees are connected to unique and beneficial initiatives/programming for graduate students.

I liked that students are the ones running the center to provide a space for fellow students. However, the carryforward funds being run dry with no follow up email to provide details (that were asked for by a COSAF member) makes me question how the budget is being handled. Are they overextending themselves, were there major upgrades besides the new furniture that drained the funds, or what is the exact issue? I'm glad that they are looking to recruit new potential Aggies, but I personally would not want my own FACE fees to be funding it. I'm aware this is just one portion of their overall mission, but I am voting no for a CPI adjustment.

I'm voting NO on the CPI adjustment for the SRRC this year. The center has expanded rapidly with community programs running 100+ events and ~5,000 participants, with 35+ paid student staff and 4 career staff and even added new maintenance categories and higher student salary costs. Before increasing the fee, I'd like to see consolidation and clearer outcome evaluation, and greater use of existing carryforward to sustain current operations.

ALTERNATE MEMBER COMMENTS

The SRRC offers many programs not only to youth groups but also other disadvantaged demographics. They do an amazing job in reaching out to students K-12 and running workshops to help navigate pathways to higher education. I also appreciate that their programs are student-run and student-led, as it helps to cultivate a sense of connection to the students and demographics they serve.

I agree, they need most of the funds they can get due to the nature of their mission.

Please provide your comments regarding the **Law School Recruitment & Retention Center** use of LEEAP fees.

YES VOTE

This is a crucial program that supports our current and future students at King Hall!