

## CALL FOR PAPERS

Special issue of *The New Bioethics*

### **The End of *Roe v. Wade*: Implications and Future Directions**

The Supreme Court of the United States has recently overturned *Roe v. Wade* and *Planned Parenthood of Southeastern Pennsylvania v. Casey*. As a result, regulation of abortion returns to the states after almost 50 years. The implications of this are likely to be significant, both within the United States and elsewhere. This special issue will explore the impact of the decision – what it means for women, prenatal human beings, the medical community, “pro-choice” and “pro-life” movements, and so on.

#### **Potential topics may include (but are not limited to):**

- Assessments of the arguments presented by the Supreme Court in *Dobbs v. Jackson Women’s Health Organization*.
- Questions about justice, equity, and the (potential or actual) effects of the *Dobbs* decision on specific populations.
- Discussions and assessments of state laws that have been triggered, enacted, or proposed following the *Dobbs* decision.
- Effects (potential or actual) of the *Dobbs* decision on abortion law outside of the United States.
- Questions regarding the role of academics (philosophers, etc.) in a post-*Roe* world.
- Ethical and professional issues that may arise (or have arisen) for medical providers, including clinical ethicists, when navigating the post-*Roe* landscape.
- The impact of *Dobbs* on the legal status of embryos and fetuses (and general questions about the legal status of embryos and fetuses under US law).
- Implications and ethical questions for employers, which may center around (alleged) obligations to provide maternity/paternity leave, childcare, funds for the purpose of obtaining abortions out of state, and so forth.

- Potential implications of the *Dobbs* decision for other procedures, services, and endeavors including assisted reproductive technology, IVF, embryo research, etc.
- Common goals that opponents of abortion access and proponents of abortion access might pursue together. This may include a discussion of any moral obligations that each “side” in the abortion debate has as well.
- Philosophical and ethical questions regarding alternatives to abortion (e.g., adoption) and alternative services (e.g., services provided by pregnancy centers that preclude abortion).

**Submission Deadline:** January 15, 2023

**Issue Editors:**

- Bruce P. Blackshaw, [bblackshaw@gmail.com](mailto:bblackshaw@gmail.com)
- Nicholas Colgrove, [colgron@wfu.edu](mailto:colgron@wfu.edu)

The issue editors welcome early discussions of brief proposals and/or abstracts by email.

**Submission Instructions:**

Complete submission instructions are available here:

<https://www.tandfonline.com/action/authorSubmission?show=instructions&journalCode=ynbi20>

**Review Process:**

*All submitted manuscripts, whether invited or not, will be subject to the standard review process, described here:* Taylor & Francis is committed to peer-review integrity and upholding the highest standards of review. Once your paper has been assessed for suitability by the editor, it will then be double blind peer reviewed by independent, anonymous expert referees. If you have shared an earlier version of your Author’s Original Manuscript on a preprint server, please be aware that anonymity cannot be guaranteed. Further information on our preprints policy and citation requirements can be found on our [Preprints Author Services page](#). Find out more about [what to expect during peer review](#) and read our guidance on [publishing ethics](#).