INTERNATIONAL ASSOCIATION FOR ANIMAL HOSPICE AND PALLIATIVE CARE (IAAHPC)

Grant Proposal Review Rubric

Instructions: Rate each category on a 1–5 scale (1 = Poor / Major concerns; 3 = Satisfactory; 5 = Excellent / Highly fundable).

Use the comment boxes to briefly justify your score.

Category	Evaluation Questions	Score (1–5)	Comments
1. Clarity of Goals and Hypothesis	Are the research questions or objectives clear, focused, and achievable?	___	
2. Significance and Relevance	Does the project address an important issue in animal hospice and palliative care? Will it improve comfort, welfare, or caregiver wellbeing?	___	
3. Study Design and Methods	Are methods appropriate, ethical, and feasible? Are data collection and analysis plans sound?	___	
4. Investigator and Resources	Does the team have the expertise, collaboration, and facilities needed to complete the project?	___	
5. Timeline and Budget	Are the proposed timeline and budget realistic and justified?	___	

6. Ethical and Humane Considerations	Are animal welfare, caregiver wellbeing, and ethical standards appropriately addressed?	___	
7. Potential Impact	Will this project advance knowledge or practice in animal hospice and palliative care?	___	

Overall Assessment

☐ Excellent (Highly fundable) ☐ Strong (Minor revisions) ☐ Moderate (Needs revision) ☐ Weak (Not recommended)

Reviewer Comments (Overall):

Reviewer Information

Reviewer Name: _____

Date: _____

Signature: _____