

Reviewer's form

Title of the paper:
Date of review submission:

A. Please choose one of the following values:

1 - very poor; 2 - poor; 3 - satisfactory; 4 - good; 5 - excellent

1.	Is the title of the paper appropriate and clear?	1	2	3	4	5
2.	Are the aims of the paper well described and achieved?	1	2	3	4	5
3.	Is the content of the paper original and new?	1	2	3	4	5
4.	Are the arguments used in the paper convincing? Is the structure concise and well organized?	1	2	3	4	5
5.	Is the language of the paper grammatically and stylistically correct?	1	2	3	4	5
6.	Is the methodology well-chosen and used in an appropriate way?	1	2	3	4	5
7.	Are the bibliography and references relevant, up-to-date and complete?	1	2	3	4	5

B. Please choose one of the following options:

The paper can be published in the present form	
The paper can be published after some minor changes	
The paper requires a major revision following the reviewer's comments; The reviewer has the right to verify the paper before its publication	
The paper should not be published; it does not meet the criteria of an academic publication	

C. Please provide some comments to justify your decision:

Reviewer's name, scientific degree and affiliation:.....