

AICAREAGENTS247 AI Compliance Officer Certification Program™

Certification Lesson #8: Opening the Black Box How We Audit AI

In-Depth Assignment: Auditing Black-Box AI for Compliance, Fairness, and Transparency in California (2025)

Assignment Prompt

You are an AI compliance officer assigned to audit a proprietary black-box AI used in credit or healthcare decision-making in California. Draft a comprehensive audit report and protocol grounded in both the legal mandates and the advanced industry practices highlighted in your lesson and state advisories.

Instructions:

1. Legal Landscape Overview:

Summarize the mandates from these legal documents:

- **California Consumer Privacy Act (CCPA) Amendments:** New 2025 rules extend privacy protection, transparency, and risk assessment requirements to personal data in AI model input, training, and outputs.
- **SB 942 (AI Transparency Act):** Requires watermarking and disclosure for multimedia AI outputs and mandates public access to detection/explanation tools.
- **AB 3030:** Requires manifest disclaimers in patient-facing clinical messages generated by AI, unless reviewed by a licensed provider.
- **Unfair Competition Law (UCL):** Prohibits unfair, deceptive, or unexplainable AI decisions affecting consumers.
- **CMIA Amendments:** Enhance health data privacy protections specifically for AI systems in medical contexts.
- **FEHA Civil Rights Council Regulations:** Mandate bias/fairness audits and four-year record retention for AI used in employment or high-stakes decisions.

2. Audit Workflow Design:

- Outline a clear audit workflow: scoping and planning, system and data intake, bias and fairness testing (using tools like IBM OpenScale or Fiddler AI), global and local explainability (SHAP/LIME), documentation, reporting and recommendations.
- Specify how you will address explainability, bias detection, and audit trail creation.

3. Practical Case Study:

- Simulate an audit of a credit-scoring AI: Walk through steps including bias audit, explainability (why a loan is denied), validation of model drift detection, and final reporting—citing the video's examples and tools.

4. Transparency and Compliance Reporting:

- Describe how you will meet both manifest (end-user visible) and latent (internal, regulator-facing) transparency, with specific examples.

5. Ethical Impact:

- Articulate why transparency and auditability are “the bedrock of public trust,” including legal and ethical perspectives from the lesson.
- Reference a real-world harm (e.g., unexplained loan denial, healthcare discrimination) and explain how your protocol would mitigate it.

6. Audit Tools Review:

- Summarize core features of IBM OpenScale, Fiddler AI, and Microsoft's AI Fairness Checklist and compare how each supports bias/fairness and audit trail objectives.

7. Action Plan for Ongoing Governance:

- Include protocol for periodic re-audit, integration with organizational governance, and continuous improvement as required by CA law.

Length: 800–1,000 words

Sources: Reference lesson transcript, AG advisories, and state statutes discussed above.

3-Minute MOC (Moment of Clarity) Activity

Answer YES or NO and briefly state why:

- 1. AI black-box audits in California must include both technical and legal assessments. (Yes)**
- 2. SHAP and LIME are tools that help make AI explainable at global and local levels. (Yes)**
- 3. The CCPA requires audits only for data input to AI, not its outputs. (No)**
- 4. Audit trails are optional if the system passes bias tests. (No)**

5. IBM OpenScale automates model drift and fairness monitoring for high-risk AIs. (Yes)
6. Public trust in AI depends on transparency and the ability to explain decisions. (Yes)
7. Only companies that deploy AI, not vendors or developers, are liable for violations. (No)

Discuss: Why do legal, technical, and ethical clarity matter equally for auditing and public acceptance?

Quiz: 27 Yes/No Questions (with Answers and Explanations)

#	Question	Ans	Explanation
1	California's CCPA amendments of 2025 extend privacy law to include AI model input, training, and output data.	Yes	CCPA now covers all personal data used or produced by AI.
2	SB 942 requires video/image watermarking on all digital content, regardless of platform size.	No	Applies primarily to large platforms, >1 million CA users.
3	IBM OpenScale provides real-time alerting for model drift, bias, and fairness failures.	Yes	As per video, it acts as a 24/7 guard for AI performance.
4	Fiddler AI specializes in post-hoc, root cause analysis for unexplained AI decisions.	Yes	It helps understand and interpret individual AI outcomes.

5	SHAP and LIME produce global and local model explainability, respectively.	Yes	Video analogy: chef's philosophy (SHAP) vs. one dish (LIME).
6	Microsoft's AI Fairness Checklist is a software tool.	No	It's a process/framework, not installable software.
7	Bias audits are legally required for black-box AI systems in high-stakes domains in California.	Yes	State law and AG guidance mandate regular fairness testing.
8	The Unfair Competition Law (UCL) applies only to intentional fraud, not to AI-related errors.	No	UCL covers any unfair/deceptive practice, including AI errors.
9	CCPA requires opt-out and data access rights for consumers subject to AI-based decisions.	Yes	Extended in 2025 to cover AI-generated decisions.
10	Manifest transparency means all end users must see a disclaimer on AI-driven healthcare decisions.	Yes	AB 3030 and SB 942 mandate visible disclosures where human review is absent.
11	Latent transparency requires keeping audit logs and internal records detailing AI model logic and updates.	Yes	Required for regulatory defense, per lesson and AG.

12	Model drift detection is a key part of ongoing AI compliance.	Yes	Ensures models remain fair and accurate as data changes.
13	A final audit report must document not just results but methods and rationale for key findings.	Yes	Foundation for regulatory review and public trust.
14	Only the technical audit team is responsible for interpreting audit results.	No	Both technical and compliance/legal teams are required, per lesson.
15	Fairness testing in AI only looks for explicit, intentional bias.	No	Must cover disparate impact, even when unintentional.
16	Public AI detection tools are not required in the 2025 regime.	No	SB 942 mandates public access to detection tools.
17	Audit scoping and planning must be formalized in a signed letter establishing expectations and boundaries.	Yes	Required best practice for legal and practical clarity.
18	A credit scoring black-box AI must be explainable under California law.	Yes	Right to explanation, especially in adverse decisions, is enforced.

19	Audit trails supporting explainability can help defend against legal or regulatory actions.	Yes	Demonstrates good faith and compliance, per lesson and AG.
20	Health data in AI systems must comply with both CCPA and CMIA in California.	Yes	CMIA expands health data protection to AI systems.
21	California law requires disclosure and disclaimers only for clinical messages, not administrative AI outputs.	Yes	AB 3030 exempts purely admin messages like appointment reminders.
22	The FEHA mandates ongoing retention of records/data for automated employment decision tools.	Yes	Four-year retention for bias and fairness documentation.
23	AI vendors may be liable if they knowingly enable illegal discrimination by a client.	Yes	AG advisories hold vendors responsible in such cases.
24	Risk registers and scenario planning are not necessary for AI audit documentation.	No	Best practice requires both, for preparedness and tracking.
25	Unfair/deceptive AI uses are actionable both under sector-specific laws and the UCL.	Yes	UCL is a broad catch-all law for harmful digital conduct.

26	Continuous model monitoring and re-auditing is required by regulation and industry best practice.	Yes	All sources stress the need for ongoing vigilance, not just pre-launch audits.
27	The main barrier to effective AI auditing is technology, not human oversight or expertise.	No	Lesson: human expertise is as critical as software for contextual judgment.

Examples / Summaries of Legal Documents Discussed

- **CCPA (California Consumer Privacy Act, 2025 Amendments):**
Expands data privacy and access/opt-out rights to cover AI model training and outputs. Now includes requirements for risk and impact assessments of automated decisions.
- **SB 942 (AI Transparency Act):**
Requires watermarking or disclaimers on AI-generated content (video/images) for large platforms, mandates that detection tools be freely available to the public.
- **AB 3030:**
Mandates manifest disclaimers on any clinical AI communication to patients unless reviewed and signed-off by a licensed human provider; excludes administrative messages.
- **CMIA (California Medical Information Act Amendments):**
Health apps and AI systems must protect patient health info—expands HIPAA-like obligations to digital/AI platforms.
- **FEHA/Civil Rights Council 2025 Regulations:**
Requires anti-bias audits, transparency, and four-year record retention for automated decision systems in employment, ensuring compliance with anti-discrimination norms.
- **Unfair Competition Law (UCL):**
Broadly bans any unfair, deceptive, or unexplainable AI actions affecting California consumers, integrating by reference all sector-specific laws.

Focused Test: 7 Yes/No Highly Relevant Questions (with Answer Key)

- 1. California requires AI audits to address both bias and explainability in critical decision systems. (Yes)**
- 2. SB 942 mandates public watermarking and free AI detection tools for large multimedia platforms. (Yes)**
- 3. Administrative AI messages (like appointment reminders) require manifest disclosures under AB 3030. (No)**
- 4. Four-year audit log and data retention is now mandatory for employment-related AI systems. (Yes)**
- 5. An AI vendor can be held liable for knowingly supplying a system used to discriminate. (Yes)**
- 6. Only patient-facing disclosures are required for transparency—internal records are optional. (No)**
- 7. Comprehensive audit documentation is required for legal defense and public trust in California. (Yes)**

© 2025 AICAREAGENTS247™. All rights reserved. This educational content is the intellectual property of AICAREAGENTS247™, a California nonprofit public benefit corporation. Use of this material is licensed solely for educational purposes by enrolled participants in the AI Compliance Officer Certification Program™. Reproduction, distribution, or commercial use without prior written permission is strictly prohibited. AICAREAGENTS247™, the AI Compliance Officer Certification Program™, and associated marks are registered or pending trademarks and may not be used without authorization. Complies with applicable California intellectual property, privacy, and nonprofit education standards. For licensing or educational partnership inquiries, contact: aicareagents247.COM