YouTube Video Script: STAR Voting - The Automatic Runoff Phase

- Automatic Runoff - Second Round in STAR Voting
- Automatic Runoff (second round / second step in tabulation) - examples - https://docs.google.com/document/d/1Htd-g_SX-KneqcsSdqaowlTosR57VljpLEFA34sHO24/edit
- Dual Nature of STAR Voting - second round - runoff - https://docs.google.com/document/d/1n3pkr_S9MBucJMg7ANhk5ZZ00OLTW3Kj5Bp-vruW10k/edit?tab=t.0
- YouTube Transcript - How Does Star Voting Work? https://docs.google.com/document/d/1gr8T36_ARPOIS0Mj8VWr6W6iDRMlnqcj-CuOUlgLb3M/edit?tab=t.0
- Scoring and rankings - what is the difference? https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1vu3i7qMV-P87SDosWJe6P-y3h21Bloc2_Ovhyf4yOLQ/edit?slide=id.g27f6bb33467_0_1#slide=id.g27f6bb33467_0_1
- Equal Support vs Equal Preference: https://docs.google.com/document/d/1_b2kBNqp-XwM71JOXyWm5LIXQvNzT0UVREr_WYFGhv4/edit?tab=t.0
- Preference Matrix: Preference Matrix - STAR Voting - Use Case

Should we be calling it “Second Phase” or “Second Round” or “Automatic Runoff Phase”

YouTube Video Script: STAR Voting - The Automatic Runoff Phase

Video Title: "STAR Voting Explained: How the Automatic Runoff Protects Majority Preference"
Target Duration: 4-5 minutes
Tone: Educational, clear, engaging, with visual examples

SCRIPT

INTRO (0:00-0:20)

[ON SCREEN]
Upbeat background music fades in
NARRATOR:
"Have you ever wondered how we can design voting systems that capture both how much you like each candidate and who the majority truly prefers? Today, we're diving into the second phase of STAR Voting: the Automatic Runoff. Let's explore how this clever mechanism combines the best of both worlds!"
[IMAGE PROPOSAL 1 - INTRO SLIDE]

- Visual: Split screen showing:
  - Left side: Multiple stars (rating symbols) in different colors
  - Right side: Two finalists facing each other with a "VS" symbol
- Text overlay: "STAR Voting: The Automatic Runoff Phase"
- Style: Modern, clean design with bright colors (blues, oranges, yellows)
- Source suggestion: Custom graphic combining elements from Unsplash images of stars and versus symbols

---

SECTION 1: QUICK RECAP (0:20-0:45)

NARRATOR:
"Before we jump into the runoff, let's do a quick recap. STAR Voting has TWO rounds. In Round One—the Scoring Round—voters rate each candidate from zero to five stars. The system adds up all the scores, and the top two candidates with the highest totals advance to Round Two. That's where the magic happens."
[IMAGE PROPOSAL 2 - TWO-ROUND OVERVIEW]

- Visual: Infographic showing two connected boxes:
  - Box 1 labeled "ROUND 1: SCORING" with 5 candidates and star ratings
  - Arrow pointing to Box 2 labeled "ROUND 2: AUTOMATIC RUNOFF" with 2 finalists
- Animation suggestion: Arrows flowing from left to right
- Color scheme: Use gradient from purple (Round 1) to green (Round 2)
- Source suggestion: Custom infographic with icons from Unsplash (voting, stars, checkmarks)

SECTION 2: WHAT IS THE AUTOMATIC RUNOFF? (0:45-1:30)

NARRATOR:
"So what exactly IS the Automatic Runoff? Once the top two scorers are identified, STAR conducts an automatic head-to-head comparison using voters' original scores. Here's how it works: the system looks at each individual ballot and asks a simple question—'Which of these two finalists did THIS voter score higher?'"
[IMAGE PROPOSAL 3 - BALLOT COMPARISON]

- Visual: Three example ballots shown side by side:
  - Ballot 1: Candidate A = 5 stars, Candidate B = 2 stars (Ballot counts for A)
  - Ballot 2: Candidate A = 3 stars, Candidate B = 4 stars (Ballot counts for B)
  - Ballot 3: Candidate A = 4 stars, Candidate B = 4 stars (Tie - special note)
- Annotations: Green checkmarks showing which candidate each ballot supports
- Style: Clean ballot mockups with clear star ratings
- Source suggestion: Custom design using ballot templates

NARRATOR (continued):

"Each ballot is counted for whichever finalist that voter scored higher. The finalist who wins more ballots in this head-to-head comparison wins the entire election. It's that simple!"
[IMAGE PROPOSAL 4 - RUNOFF TALLY BOARD]

- Visual: A scoreboard showing:
  - Candidate A: 52 ballots
  - Candidate B: 48 ballots
  - Winner announcement for Candidate A
- Style: Sports scoreboard aesthetic with digital numbers
- Animation suggestion: Numbers counting up
- Source suggestion: Custom scoreboard graphic

SECTION 3: HOW EACH BALLOT IS COMPARED (1:30-2:30)

NARRATOR:
"Let's break down the per-ballot comparison with a concrete example. Imagine we have three voters and two finalists: Alice and Bob."
[IMAGE PROPOSAL 5 - DETAILED EXAMPLE TABLE]

- Visual: Table format showing:

Voter	Alice's Score	Bob's Score	Ballot Counts For
Voter 1	5 stars	3 stars	Alice
Voter 2	2 stars	4 stars	Bob
Voter 3	4 stars	1 star	Alice

  - RESULT: Alice wins 2-1
- Style: Clean table with color coding (Alice = blue, Bob = orange)
- Highlight: Final row showing winner
- Source suggestion: Custom table graphic

NARRATOR (continued):
"Voter One gave Alice five stars and Bob three stars—so their ballot counts for Alice. Voter Two gave Alice two stars and Bob four stars—their ballot counts for Bob. Voter Three gave Alice four stars and Bob one star—another vote for Alice. Final tally: Alice wins two ballots to one!"
[IMAGE PROPOSAL 6 - TIE SCENARIO]

- Visual: A ballot showing equal scores with a question mark
  - Candidate A: 4 stars
  - Candidate B: 4 stars
  - Caption: "Tie handling varies by implementation"
- Style: Question mark icon, neutral colors (gray/yellow)
- Note: Small disclaimer text at bottom
- Source suggestion: Custom graphic with question mark from Unsplash

NARRATOR (continued):
"Now, what happens if a voter gave both finalists the same score? The exact procedure varies by implementation—some systems might not count that ballot in the runoff, while others might split it. The available documentation doesn't specify a universal standard, so it's worth checking your local rules."

SECTION 4: WHY THE RUNOFF MATTERS (2:30-3:45)

NARRATOR:
"You might be wondering—why not just declare the winner after Round One? Why add this extra step? Great question! The automatic runoff is crucial because it prevents a specific problem."
[IMAGE PROPOSAL 7 - PROBLEM SCENARIO]

- Visual: Split comparison showing:
  - Top panel: "Round 1 Total Scores"
    - Candidate X: 450 points (many 3-star ratings)
    - Candidate Y: 430 points (mix of 5-star and 1-star ratings)
  - Bottom panel: "Round 2 Head-to-Head"
    - Candidate Y wins 55 ballots vs. Candidate X's 45 ballots
- Annotation: "Runoff reveals true majority preference!"
- Style: Contrasting colors to show the reversal
- Source suggestion: Custom infographic with contrasting data visualization

NARRATOR (continued):
"Imagine Candidate X gets a lot of 'pretty good' scores—lots of threes and fours—and racks up the highest total. But Candidate Y is more polarizing: some voters LOVE them with five stars, others strongly dislike them with one star. Candidate X might win on total points, but when you compare them head-to-head, more voters actually prefer Candidate Y! The runoff catches this and makes sure the candidate preferred by more voters wins."
[IMAGE PROPOSAL 8 - UTILITARIAN + MAJORITARIAN]

- Visual: Venn diagram or balance scale showing:
  - Left side: "Utilitarian Aggregation" (adding up scores/happiness)

        - Right side: "Majoritarian Check" (majority preference)
        - Center: "STAR Voting" combining both
    - Icons: Scale/balance symbol, group of people icons
    - Style: Professional diagram with harmonious colors
    - Source suggestion: Custom Venn diagram or balance scale illustration

NARRATOR (continued):
"This is what makes STAR Voting special. It combines utilitarian aggregation—measuring the total satisfaction or 'utility' across all voters—with a majoritarian check that respects head-to-head preferences. You get the best of both worlds!"

SECTION 5: REAL-WORLD IMPACT (3:45-4:30)

NARRATOR:
"Let's see this in action with a hypothetical election. Say we have five candidates running for city council. After Round One, the scoring round, Candidates Maria and James advance with the highest totals."
[IMAGE PROPOSAL 9 - FULL ELECTION EXAMPLE]

- Visual: Multi-stage infographic:
    - Stage 1: Five candidates with total scores shown as bar chart
        - Maria: 487 points
        - James: 465 points
        - Others: lower scores
    - Stage 2: Arrow to runoff showing ballot-by-ballot comparison
        - James: 52% of ballots
        - Maria: 48% of ballots
    - Stage 3: Winner announcement - James wins!
- Style: Clean, flowing design with candidate silhouettes
- Color coding: Consistent colors for each candidate
- Source suggestion: Custom infographic with people icons from Unsplash

NARRATOR (continued):
"Maria had the highest score total, but when the system looks at each ballot head-to-head, it turns out that 52% of voters scored James higher than Maria. Even though Maria had a slight edge in total points, James was the preferred candidate in a direct comparison. The automatic runoff ensures James wins, respecting the majority's preference."
[IMAGE PROPOSAL 10 - KEY BENEFITS]

- Visual: Three icons with text:
    1. Shield icon: "Protects against strategic voting"
    2. People icon: "Respects majority preference"
    3. Star icon: "Captures intensity of preference"
- Layout: Three columns, clean icons, short descriptions
- Style: Modern, minimalist with accent colors
- Source suggestion: Icons from Unsplash or custom illustrations

---

CONCLUSION (4:30-5:00)

NARRATOR:
"And that's the Automatic Runoff in STAR Voting! It's an elegant solution that ensures the winner isn't just someone with broad mild support, but someone who truly represents the majority's preference when compared directly to their closest competitor. By combining scored voting with a majority check, STAR Voting helps us make better collective decisions."
[IMAGE PROPOSAL 11 - CALL TO ACTION]

- Visual: Engaging end screen with:
    - "Want to learn more about STAR Voting?"
    - Icons linking to resources
    - "Like & Subscribe" reminder
    - "Comment your questions below!"
- Style: Bright, friendly design with social media icons
- Source suggestion: Custom end screen template

NARRATOR (continued):
"If you found this helpful, give us a thumbs up and subscribe for more voting system explainers. Have questions about STAR Voting or other electoral methods? Drop them in the comments! Thanks for watching, and see you in the next video!"
Music fades out

IMAGE SUMMARY & PRODUCTION NOTES

Image List:

1. Intro Slide - Split screen with stars and VS symbol
2. Two-Round Overview - Infographic of STAR's two phases
3. Ballot Comparison - Three example ballots with annotations
4. Runoff Tally Board - Scoreboard showing final count

5. Detailed Example Table - Voter preference table
6. Tie Scenario - Equal scores with question mark
7. Problem Scenario - Split comparison showing why runoff matters
8. Utilitarian + Majoritarian - Venn diagram or balance scale
9. Full Election Example - Multi-stage election infographic
10. Key Benefits - Three-column benefits layout
11. Call to Action - End screen with engagement prompts

Production Tips:

- Animation: Use smooth transitions between images, especially for the flow from Round 1 to Round 2
- Color Consistency: Maintain a consistent color palette throughout (suggest: blue, orange, green, purple)
- Text Overlay: Keep on-screen text minimal and large enough to read on mobile devices
- Pacing: Allow 3-5 seconds per static image; use animations to maintain engagement
- Accessibility: Include closed captions and ensure color contrast meets WCAG standards

Visual Style Guide:

- Font: Clean, modern sans-serif (e.g., Montserrat, Open Sans)
- Icons: Flat design, consistent stroke width
- Charts: Use clear labels, legends, and data visualization best practices
- Branding: Include subtle STAR Voting logo/branding if available

---

ADDITIONAL RESOURCES TO MENTION

Consider adding these as pinned comments or video description:

- Link to the Google Presentation provided
- Link to Equal Vote Coalition or official STAR Voting resources
- Links to academic papers on voting theory
- Comparison videos with other voting systems (RCV, Approval, etc.)

ENGAGEMENT HOOKS

Thumbnail suggestion:

- Large text: "STAR Voting's Secret Weapon"
- Visual of two candidates in a face-off
- Bright, contrasting colors (yellow/blue)
- Curious/intriguing expression

Video Description: "Ever wondered how STAR Voting prevents less-preferred candidates from winning? Learn about the Automatic Runoff phase—the ingenious second round that combines utilitarian scoring with majoritarian preference. Perfect for voting reform advocates, civics teachers, and anyone interested in better democracy! 🗳️⭐"
Hashtags: #STARVoting #VotingReform #ElectoralSystems #Democracy #CivicEducation #VotingTheory #ElectionScience

---

Script created: December 6, 2025 Duration: Approximately 4-5 minutes Difficulty: Intermediate (suitable for general audience with some civic interest)