## <mark>Working document</mark> Timeline for v2 of Content Usable

Old. See new version at 😑 Timeline for v3 of Content Usable

Content useable, testing process, research module and issue papers Draft 2, February 22

Note we have work within our limits This does not include AG

Questions- is this possible, enough for internalization etc?

Note: KPI are key performance indicators and are measurable goals

Note this does not include working / helping with silver, or other groups.

Stage 1: 1 year (March 2022-March 2023)		
Content usable	Issue papers and research	Test process draft
<ul> <li>[subgroup: Mental health] <ul> <li>Finish literary review</li> <li>Suggest new patterns</li> <li>Suggest other changes</li> <li>KPI (measurable goals): literary review of at least 50 papers</li> <li>KPI: Analysis Identifying: new issues, new patterns, and new user needs and changes and updates to issue papers</li> </ul> </li> <li>[subgroup: images and examples] <ul> <li>Add illustrations to clarify the patterns</li> <li>create</li> <li>Propose them</li> <li>Iterate</li> </ul> </li> <li>Add other examples to illustrate the patterns more clearly (such as audio, etc.) (also for silver)</li> <li>Good and bad examples</li> </ul>	Review structure of research modules and issue papers See https://www.w3.org/TR/coga-user-research/ And https://w3c.github.io/coga/issue-papers/ And https://www.w3.org/TR/coga-gap-analysis/ Identify the issue papers that we have almost ready and review them to make them publish ready Includes: Bots Wayfinding (coming soon from community group: live transcription)	How to test subgroup, and section drafted <b>KPI measurable goals:</b> 1. Initial exploratory draft created, feedback requested from some stakeholders (EG silver, rules WG) 2. Plan to get to working draft

• <b>KPI:</b> good example exist for each	Are there other urgent issues papers for v2?	
objective	Were there suggestions from github issues,	
• <b>KPI:</b> two bad examples (at least) are	suggestions for mental health	
created - specifically for 2 patterns,		
paired with patterns for which good	Review for structural changes	
examples exist.		
Other example Examples may be from the silver	Audit existing papers to see what may be in	
sub groups and as text (not images)	need of update	
• KPI: At least 10 patterns have edge		
cases drafted and Discussed in	KPI measurable goals:	
examples and inapplicable examples	1. Ask stakeholders how is our content use	
(ideally for all the patterns)	(including content useable and research	
Community feedback	docs above)	
More outreach	2. Reviewed tagged github issues, mental	
Collect feedback	health subgroup for new issues	
Suggest new patterns	3. Identified what issue papers need updates	
Suggest other changes	4. Identified what new issue papers are	
Identify examples	needed	
• <b>KPI:</b> we receive feedback from at least 3	5. Semi ready issue papers become publish	
(ideally 5) community groups that can	ready	
be incorporated into content usable	6. Created a plan for all updates and editorial	
	requirements identified in KPIs 2-4	
Explore structural changes and form to better	7. Agree on the structures of issue papers	
follow our own patterns (including in personas	and modules and publishing strategies etc	
and other sections)		
<b>KPI:</b> the structural changes options are agreed		
upon and documented in a COGA TF working		
space		
Stage 2: 6 months (April 2023-September 2023), n	ote we have work within our limits , even so it m	nay take more time!

Content usable	issue papers and research	Test process draft
Editing and fleshing out of new patterns from stage 1	Update on literary review for the research modules (they are all old)	1. +
New persona and scenarios (internalization?	Updates on issue papers	
Mental health?)	Analysis for userneeds or patterns or other changes to content usable	
KPI: Patterns, user needs and persona from		
stage 1 are included in new editors draft	Add mental health to the research	

## Internationalization

- Address issues from working group
- Propose solutions
- Get feedback from international community
- Add to editors draft, ask for feedback
- KPI: Solutions, negotiated with internalization are included in new editors draft
- Proposed KPI 1 (revision for above):
  - Draft solutions (example: whether or how making Content Usable will address more languages),
  - share proposed solutions with the Internationalization group, and
  - begin dialog with the Internationalization group.
- Proposed KPI 2 (but would occur 1st, or in parallel)
  - Begin to discuss upcoming KPI on internationalization with the internationalization group.
- Proposed KPI 3 (in parallel with KPI 1)
  - Begin a review the Internationalization group's process for work
- Proposed KPI 4 (in parallel with KPI 1)
  - Begin to develop a workflow for COGA that considers the Internationalization group's process to make information understandable for multiple languages and cultures
- Proposed KPI 3 (could be done in parallel with KPIs 1 and 2):
  - Draft solutions (example: whether or how making Content

## KPIs (measurable goals):

- Documented suggestions, userneeds or patterns for Content Usable based on the above
- 2. Materials gathered in preparation to create drafts of updated issue papers

Usable will address more languages), • Proposed KPI 4 • share proposed solutions with the Internationalization group		
<b>Stage 3:</b> 6 months (October 2023 - March 2024)		
Content usable	issue papers and research	Test process draft
Add /edit flesh out content from research and issue papers updates (stage 2) <b>KPI:</b> Patterns, user needs and persona from stage 2 are included in new editors draft Explore w3c Statements. Can part or all of content usable be included? Explore structural changes and form	<ul> <li>Issue papers</li> <li>Drafts of updated issue paper</li> <li>Editorial reviews, terminology etc</li> <li>Next working draft</li> </ul> Research module <ul> <li>Draft with updates from stage 1 and 2</li> </ul>	
Implement internalization solutions KPI: consideration for statements and structural changes in new editors draft KPI: WD is published • Question: is WD working draft?	<ul> <li>KPIs</li> <li>Working draft of issue papers or roadmap (depending on decisions in stage 1)</li> <li>Editors draft of Research module</li> </ul>	
Stage 4, W3C process: 6 months (April 2024 -Sept	ember 2024)	

Content usable	Issue papers and research	Test process draft
1. Answer issues	Research module	1. Wide review Working
2. Working draft (wide review)	<ol> <li>Editorial reviews, terminology etc</li> </ol>	draft
3. Answer issues	2. Next working draft	2. Answer issues
4. Edits	KPI: wide review working draft (editored)	3. Publish as WD or merge
		into Content Usable
KPI: WD 2	Issue papers (/ roadmap?)	
KPI: new editors draft with issues and first round	1. Answer issues	
of edits addressed	KPI: wide review working draft	

		*May be part of content usable, may be a separate note, to be determined
Stage 5, W3C process: 3 months (September - e	nd of 2024)	
Content usable	Issue papers and research	Test process draft
<ol> <li>Working draft</li> <li>Answer issues</li> <li>Publish</li> <li>KPI: wide review working draft</li> <li>KPI: note published</li> <li>Stage 6: 2025 (to be re-evaluated when we get here</li> </ol>	nere)	
Content usable	Issue papers and research	Test process draft
	<ol> <li>Answer issues</li> <li>Publish</li> <li>KPI: note(s) published (as described in stage 1)</li> </ol>	If relevant 1. Answer issues 2. Publish (as defined in stage 4)