

FACULTY – SEMESTER COURSE FEEDBACK

(To be submitted by the Course Faculty to the Director/ Dean after the results of Semester Exam)

Name and code of Course:	Applied Science Lab PHY12202	Name of Faculty: Dr. Papiya Dhara
Batch: 2020-21 Regular	FH 112202	Regular/Visiting/Contract:
Class: B.Tech CSE		
Semester: I		
Did you use Blooms taxo select appropriate teachingYes No		course modules, set Course Outcomes and course?
YES		
NA		
-	improve, prior to del	e effective teaching-learning? Where did you ivery of this course the next academic year?
objectives were reached beyond j knowledge is put into the rigor of	ust remembering facts. Lea higher order thinking, such	stion paper mapped with Bloom taxonomy. Course arning is incomplete until education and as analyzing and evaluating. The choice of stive guide in defining goals as educators.
2. Did you have a well-writte	n lesson plan for every	topic?
Yes No		
YES		
NA		

If Yes, was it contemporary to enhance employability of the students? Are you satisfied with the effectiveness of the teaching tools? How would you wish to improve it prior to the next academic year? (Write in not more than 100 words)

Several physical properties (structural, dynamical, electronic, electrical, magnetic etc.) of different substance was covered. This information then used for practical endeavours through a controlled Laboratory environment. In this course students will be on improving the logical learning moved into a physical environment. Newtonian mechanics, general properties of matter, optics, these basic field-based laboratories was covered. Students can enter into a number of various pathways, given their advanced numeracy and problem-solving skills many enter into graduate schemes and occupations such as management, communications, accountancy, broadcasting and law.

3. Are you satisfied with the relevance of the Course, its structure and course content? Is it relevant and contemporary? Does it deliver on the industry requirement as well as professional/skill needs of the students?
Yes No
YES
NA NA
If Not, what are your recommendations which could be forwarded to the affiliating university?
(a)
(b)
(c)
(e)
4. Have you correlated Course Outcomes and Assessment tools with POs and PSO?
Yes No
YES
NA
If No, why not?
5. Are you satisfied with the system of assessment and evaluation, currently in practice? Does it have larger emphasis on assessing a student on practical and skill competencies?
Yes No
YES

If No, recommend any two major reforms.

assessment tools? Did you make use of rubrics where required?				
Yes No				
YES				
NA				
If Yes, in what course outcomes students performed poorly? What are your recommendations to improve the results in this course? Based on the existing course evaluation process, in CO 6 they performed little poor. Improvement can be done,				
(a) More time is required to invest on theory understanding				
(b) More analysis and evaluation-based problem solving is required in theory class				
7. What is the level of attainment of your course outcome of your course?9				
8. With reference to paragraph 7 above, give your reasons for not meeting the desired level set up by you as a target at the beginning of the course. Suggest how this can be improved upon for the upcoming course.				
(a) More explanation, continuous assessments were required in theory so that they can explain the experiment well.				
(b) Based on students' batch of 2020-2021 merit, course required extra classes				
9. Do you feel, you personally need special training and competence-building to deliver the course better?				
Yes No				
NA				
NO				
If Yes, specify the precise area of development needed and how the department can assist you.				
10. Are you satisfied with the supporting academic infrastructure provided by the institute for				

delivery of this course?

Yes	No		
Yes			
NA			
If No, gi	ve your brief recommendation	ns	
(a)			
(b)			
(c)			
(0)			
(d)			
11 List of x	week students and maritarious	s students (last 5 and top 5 in the class)	
II. LISUUI V	weak students and memorious	s students (last 5 and top 5 in the class)	
	Weak students	Meritorious students	
SHIULI M		ALOK DUTTA	
SURAJ M		Anirban Roy	
TT 1 TO 1	AJUMDER	·	
Vivek Raj		Arkadeep Chatterjee	
RAJA BAN	NIK	Arkadeep Chatterjee Arya Paul	
	NIK	Arkadeep Chatterjee	
RAJA BAN Atanu Pra	NIK manick lid you enable weak students o ow progression of each weak apport?	Arkadeep Chatterjee Arya Paul Indranil Das during the course to help learn and perform better? Can student after your enablement? Do they further need	
RAJA BAN Atanu Pra	MIK manick did you enable weak students of the weak apport? Dous course assessment of the students of the majority of students of the majority of students of the students of the majority of students of the students of the majority of students of the st	Arkadeep Chatterjee Arya Paul Indranil Das during the course to help learn and perform better? Can student after your enablement? Do they further need	
RAJA BAN Atanu Pra 12. How d you she your su Continue them. Th	MIK manick did you enable weak students of the weak apport? Dous course assessment of the students of the majority of students of the majority of students of the students of the majority of students of the students of the majority of students of the st	Arkadeep Chatterjee Arya Paul Indranil Das during the course to help learn and perform better? Can a student after your enablement? Do they further need that had been done carefully. I had conducted extra classes for was lacking.	

(b)

(c)

- 14. Were you able to cover the course with ease or was the curriculum too vast?

 It was cover in time but required little more discussion classes. It was compensated with extra classes.
- 15. Do you have any recommendation for review and revision of course? Describe in not more than 150 words (Please remember your recommendations shall have substantial bearings on the future of the course)

No revision required.	
Name: Dr. Papiya Dhara	
Pakiya Shavier.	
Signature	
Date 13.04.2021	

Remarks of the Director/ Dean