Table of Contents

Franklin (1/5/19) Team #1: 2-1, 2nd place Def. Milwaukee Pius 79-73 Lost to Franklin #2 82-82, judge decision Def. Mayville 66-60 Team #3: 1-2, DNP Lost to Nicolet #1 92-82 Lost to Beaver Dam 71-67 Def. Nicolet #3 72-69 Brookfield Academy (1/19/19) Team #1 Round 1 (P): Defeated Shorewood #3 90-80 Round 2 (D): Defeated Whitefish Bay #3 87-69 Round 3 (P): Lost to Shorewood #1 80-80, judge's tiebreak Team #2 Round 1 (D): Lost to Whitefish Bay #2 90-78 Round 2 (P): Lost to Nicolet #1 74-73 Round 3 (D): Lost to Nicolet #3 70-66 Team #3 Round 1 (P): Lost to Shorewood #2 92-79 Round 2 (D): Defeated Dodgeland #1 78-70 Round 3 (D): Defeated Nicolet #2 79-75 Bay Port (1/26/19) Team #1 Round 1 (P): Defeated Berlin #1 Round 2 (D): Defeated Wausau West #1 Round 3 (D): Defeated Bay Port #1 Team #2 Round 1 (D): Lost to DC Everest #2 Round 2 (P): Lost to Lakeland Union Round 3 (P) Lost to Wausau West #1 Team #3 Round 1 (D): Lost to Rhinelander #1 Round 2 (P): Lost to Appleton Xavier #2 Round 3 (D): Lost to Appleton West #1

Regionals (Round by round results)

Team #1: Summary

Round 1 (P): Def. Waukesha South #1 91-76, 91-76

Round 2 (D): Lost to Arrowhead 95-94, 98-96

Round 3 (P): Def. Oconomowoc #2 78-74, 99-92

Round 4 (D): Def. Brookfield Central #2 91-82, 91-79

Team #2: Summary

Round 1 (P): Def. Wauwatosa West 101-76, 94-91

Round 2 (D): Lost to Brookfield Academy #2 96-86, 94-93

Round 3 (P): Lost to Brookfield Central #3 83-79, 107-99

Round 4 (P): Lost to Brookfield Central #1 91-82, 91-79

Team #3: Summary

Round 1 (D): Lost to Waukesha South #2 96-76, 92-87

Round 2 (P): Def. Kettle Moraine #2: 86-77, 87-75

Round 3 (D): Def. to Brookfield Central #2 83-79, 107-99

Round 4 (D): Lost to Kettle Moraine #1 99-82, 99-94

Franklin (1/5/19)

Not Effective

Team #1: Round #1 (def. Milwaukee Pius 79-73)

Fair

TIEBREAKER: {circle one}

MOCK	Sconsin High School TRIAL AMENT		SCI	ORI	E S	HEE	ī
Prosecution/Plaintiff:	1969	Defense/Respondent: BC					
DATE: 1.5.19	(Team l.D. #)	ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE)	(Team)	l.D.#)	3	1	
	Using a scale of 1 to 10	rate the P and D in the sate govies helevy		-	J	4	

Good

Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Excellent

Outstanding

P or D

1-2 3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10
		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS			6
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	8	
First Witness:	Cross-Examination		10
	Witness Presentation	9	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	4	en e manifest
Second Witness:	Cross-Examination		7
	Witness Presentation	6	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	ŋ	
Third Witness:	Cross-Examination	A Contraction of the	4
	Witness Presentation	8 -	2000年3月1日
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination	C. C. Marcollin	9
First Witness:	Cross-Examination		
	Witness Presentation		9
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		9 7
Second Witness:	Cross-Examination	4	
	Witness Presentation	22/20/20/20	7
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination	- Carlotte	
Third Witness:	Cross-Examination		
	Witness Presentation		10
CLOSING ARGUMENTS		910	m
DEDUCTIONS: Subt	ract Points for rules violations.		
TOTAL SCORE: A	Add scores in each column.	73	79

Team #1: Round #2 (lost to Franklin #2, 82-82, judge decision)

hosecution/Plaintiff: No.		Defense/Responde	1200	1941
AUGE: STEAT	(Team i.D. a)		(Te	iam LD. #)
	scale of 1 to 10, rate the		(CIRCLE ONE)	1 (2) 3 4
Do	NOT use fractional po	ints nor award zero	points.	
lot Effective Fair	Good	E	xcellent	Outstanding
1-2 3-4	5-6		7-8	9-10
	OF THE PROPERTY.		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS			8	7
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct E	Examination	9	NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY.
First Witness: PA	Cross-E	xamination	L-VELENING	17
	Witness	s Presentation	9	STATE OF STREET
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct E	examination	8	THE REAL PROPERTY.
Second Witness 65	Cross-E.	xamination	MIS NAMED IN	8
	Witness	s Presentation	6	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct E	bamination	17	2000
Third Witness:	Cross-E	xamination	ACRES A VESTO	9
	Witness	Presentation	q	39 /2 / A S S S S S S S S S
Defense/Defendant	Direct E	Examination	Walter All	1 6
First Witness 95	Cross-E	xamination	8	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T
	Witness	Presentation	ALTERNATION OF THE PARTY OF	5
Defense/Defendant	Direct E	examination	03/1/2020/03	0 0
Second Witness: RM	Cross E	xamination	7	SHEETS HOLD
	Witness	Presentation		7
Defense/Defendant	Direct E	Examination	No. of the last	1 2
Third Witness:	Cross-E	xemination	G	200000000000000000000000000000000000000
		Presentation	THE REAL PROPERTY.	9
CLOSING ARGUMENTS			6	8
	tract Points for rules violati		U	8

Team #1: Round #3 (def. Mayville 66-60)

Defense/Defendant

CLOSING ARGUMENTS

Third Witness:



Witness Presentation

Direct Examination

Cross-Examination Witness Presentation

66

P or D

60

DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.

TOTAL SCORE Add scores in each column.

TIEBREAKER: (circle one)

<u>Team #3: Round 1 (lost to Nicolet #1 92-82)</u>



SCORE SHEET

Prosecution/Plaintiff: Brookfield (Team I.D. #)

Defense/Respondent:

Nicolet

DATE: 1/5/2019

ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 0 2 3 4

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below. Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective	Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding	
1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10	
	THE REAL PROPERTY.	And the Samuel of the Samuel o	PROSECUTION	DEFENSE	
OPENING STATEMEN	TS		7	9	
Prosecution/Plaintiff		Direct Examination	# 8	STATE OF THE PARTY	
First Winness:		Cross-Examination		7	
		Witness Presentation	8	STATE OF THE PARTY	
Prosecution/Plaintiff		Direct Examination	8	FELDRINGS MILE	
Second Witness		Cross-Examination		9	
		Witness Presentation	8	经事物的原理	
Prosecution/Plaintiff		Direct Examination	4		
Third Witness:		Cross-Examination	(A) 1 (A)	9	
		Witness Presentation	8	MATERIAL SECTION	
Defense/Defendant		Direct Examination	AND SERVICE OF THE PARTY OF THE	8	
First Witness:		Cross-Examination	8		
		Witness Presentation		8	
Defense/Defendant		Direct Examination	NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY.	9	
Second Witness:		Cross-Examination	8		
	and the same	Witness Presentation		9	
Defense/Defendent		Direct Examination	S200542052000	8	
Third Witness:		Cross-Examination	8		
		Witness Presentation	(C)-2015 (S) (S) (S)	8	
CLOSING ARGUMENT	5		7	8	
DEDI	UCTIONS: Subtract Poin	nts for rules violations.		-	
1	OTAL SCORE: Add score	os in each column.	87	92	
	TIEBREAKER: (c	ircle one!	Po	D	

Please deliver ballot to runner before debriefing.

NOTE: SCORES FINAL PENDING VERIFICATION.

Team #3: Round 2 (lost to Beaver Dam #1 71-67)

MOCI	TRIA AMEN	L 900000000	SCORE SHEET
Prosecution/Plaintiff:	Beautr	Dam Defense/Respondent:	SC # 8
DATE: 105-19	(Team LD.	ROUND: (CIRCLE	(Team (D.#)

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below. Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Good 5-6	Excellent 2.0	Outstanding 9-10
ALIE SALES OF THE SALES		DEFENSE
	8	T
Direct Examination	16	SEE SOMEON S
Cross-Examination	RELIGIO (ARTIC	6
Witness Presentation	6	Transport of
Direct Examination	5	
Cross-Examination		8
Witness Presentation	n	SENSON CONTRACTOR
Direct Examination	8	THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED IN COLUMN TWI
Cross-Examination	300000000000000000000000000000000000000	T
Witness Presentation	8	
Direct Examination	Seutocourant and	П
Cross-Examination	m u	STATE STATE OF
Witness Presentation		6
Direct Examination	SECRETARY OF	Ч
Cross-Examination	9	ATTENNESS OF THE PERSON
Witness Presentation	12 N 3 2 3 5 5 5 5 5	5
Direct Examination	STATE STATE OF	Lo
Cross-Examination	(0	
Witness Presentation	200000000000000000000000000000000000000	(0
CLOSING ARGUMENTS		
rules violations.	-2	5
ach column.	71	67
ne)	(P)o	D
	Direct Examination Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Witness Presentation Witness Presentation Witness Presentation Direct Examination Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Direct Examination Witness Presentation Unicol Examination Witness Presentation Witness Presentation Direct Examination Witness Presentation Direct Examination Cross-Examination Cross-Examination Cross-Examination Cross-Examination Cross-Examination Cross-Examination	PROSECUTION Direct Examination Witness Presentation Oirect Examination Witness Presentation Oirect Examination Witness Presentation Oirect Examination

<u>Team #3: Round 3 (Def. Nicolet #3 72-69)</u>

ATE: 1/5/19	College LD		0.01.01	C13
11000			NO: (CIRCLE ONE) 1	2 3 4
U	sing a scale of	1 to 10, rate the P and D in the c		. 0.
	Do NOT us	se fractional points nor award zo	ero points.	
ot Effective	Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding
1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10
	SPUS-DVARDAL	Company of the last	PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS		1	6	b
Prosecution/Plaintiff		Direct Examination	6	
First Witness		Cross-Examination	Charles and the	6
		Witness Presentation	6	Marin Parkets H
Prosecution/Plaintiff		Direct Examination	6	经验的工程设施
Second Witness:		Cross-Examination		55
		Witness Presentation	7	SERVICE STATES
Prosecution/Plaintiff		Direct Exemination	- 7	A CONTRACTOR
Drind Witness:		Cross-Examination		6
		Witness Presentation	6	Service Services
Defense/Defendant		Direct Examination	THE STATE OF THE S	6
First Witness		Cross-Examination	7	William St.
Carlotte Car		Witness Presentation		7
Defense/Defendant		Direct Examination		7
Second Witness:		Cross-Examination	#7	SHOULD WELL
		Witness Presentation	THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN	8
Defense/Defendant		Direct Examination	· PARTICIPATION	6
Third Witness:		Cross-Examination	1 7	STATE STATE
1107000000		Witness Presentation	- STATES	'7
CLOSING ARGUMENTS		10	8	5

Brookfield Academy (1/19/19)

Team #1, Round 1: (P) Defeated Shorewood #3 90-80

T1,1	KT.		Rd 1-110
BC 1		Sho	rewo ou
Wisconsin High School MOCK TRIAL TOURNAMENT		1110	CORE SHEET
osecution/Plaintiff: 1915	Defense Resp	ondent: 1960	
	RO 10, rate the P and D in the ctional points nor award	UND: (CIRCLE ONE)	am I.D.#) 1 2 3 4
ot Effective Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding
1-2 3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10
是政治社会的企業的企业方面。	A CANADA CONTRACTOR	PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
PENING STATEMENTS		(0	
rosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	9	
rst Witness:	Cross-Examination	野湖 牙袋的	5
Dana McCarthy Emily	Witness Presentation	10	建设建设的
osecution/Plaintiff Ty (w	Direct Examination	7	
cond Witness:	Cross-Examination	要靠在2000年	(0
Billie Jones Adarsh	Witness Presentation	8	
osecution/Plaintiff A ~ drew	Direct Examination	9	
ird Witness:	Cross-Examination	ENGINE VI	8
auton Allanson Canvon	Witness Presentation	9	ALCOHOL: SEE
efense/Defendant	Direct Examination	· MATERIAL STATE	7
rst Witness: ANdrew	Cross-Examination	7	TOTAL
Remination Mossberg	Witness Presentation		8
efense/Defendant	Direct Examination		8
econd Witness: Ty (av	Cross-Examination	9	
Lelsey (trammer	Witness Presentation		8
	Direct Examination		8
etense/Defendant	Cross-Examination	7	NEW TOWNS
		THE RESIDENCE AND A STREET OF THE PARTY OF T	The second second
ird.Witness: 54	Witness Presentation		8
ird Witness: 54	Witness Presentation	9	8
OSING ARGUMENTS TYLOW		9	7
Sam Smith	rules violations.	- ALCO CALLED STREET,	80

BUI +1, P1 shoewoods

	Wisconsin High School
MOC	KTRIAL
TOURN	IAMENT



Prosecution/Plaintiff: 915	Defense/Bespondent: 1960
(Team ID #)	(Team J.D. #)
DATE: 1/19/19	ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) (1) 2 3 4
and the same of th	
PERFORMANCE DECISION: In my opinion, the be	etter mock trial performance was shown by (circle one):
83 Prosecution/Plaintiff	Defense Respondent 81
COMMENTS: EXCES	GREE !
bery close	presentation. Stray individuals
on both sides + rec	illy rice mance in fact
scenarios from both of	ears, D'awyers duse a
lettle more confidence and	get of script. Everyone
needs to be more specific	co with objections.
Nice	1091
Judge's Signa	iture: Kary 4 oruso

<u>Tea</u>

#1, Round	2: (D) Defea	1	nsh Bay #	3 87-69	
MOC	Wisconsin High School KTRIA			SCI	ORE SHEET
Prosecution/Plaintiff	r: Whitefigh Ba	y 3 Defei	nse/Respondent:		eld Central
DAILE			ROUND: (CIR	LLE ONE)	2 3 4
DATE	Using a scale of 1 t	o 10, rate the P and fractional points no	D in the categorie	s below.	(2) 3 4

			Outstandi	
1-2 3-4	-2 3-4 5-6		9-10	
STATE OF THE PARTY	THE PERSON NAMED IN COURSE OF	PROSECUTION	DEFENSE	
OPENING STATEMENTS	Vdrew	6	7	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	7		
First Witness:	Cross-Examination		8	
	Witness Presentation	7		
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	4		
Second Witness:	Cross-Examination		8	
	Witness Presentation	4		
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	7		
Third Witness:	Cross-Examination	BOME	8	
	Witness Presentation	8		
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination	(4)	8	
First Witness:	Cross-Examination	6		
	Witness Presentation		8	
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination	The state of the s	8	
Second Witness:	Cross-Examination	厚し		
	Witness Presentation		8	
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination	16.455	8	
Third Witness:	Cross-Examination	7		
	Witness Presentation	1	8	
CLOSING ARGUMENTS Con	7	8		
DEDUCTIONS: Sub	tract Points for rules violations.			
TOTAL SCORE:	Add scores in each column.	69	87	
TIEBRE	AKER: (circle one)	Po	D	

Please deliver ballot to runner before debriefing.

DO NOT SEPARATE COPIES!!!!
WHITE - Coordinator Copy
YELLOW - Defended Perfections Copy

Judge's Signature:

NOTE: SCORES FINAL PENDING VERIFICATION.

Team #1, Round 3: (P) Lost to Shorewood #1 80-80, judge's tiebreak

shorewood / Wisconsin High School SCORE SHEET Defense/Respondent: Prosecution/Plaintiff: (Team I.D. #) ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below. Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points. Not Effective Good Excellent Outstanding Fair 7-8 9-10 1-2 3-4 5-6 PROSECUTION DEFENSE **OPENING STATEMENTS** nu Prosecution/Plaintiff Direct Examination First Witness: Cross-Examination 0 Adarsh Witness Presentation Prosecution/Plaintiff Direct Examination Second Witness: Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Prosecution/Plaintiff Direct Examination Third Witness: Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Defense/Defendant Direct Examination Š First Witness: Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Defense/Defendant Direct Examination Q Second Witness: Cross-Examination THION Witness Presentation Defense/Defendant Direct Examination Third Witness: Cross-Examination ANdraw Witness Presentation **CLOSING ARGUMENTS** DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations. TOTAL SCORE: Add scores in each column. TIEBREAKER: (circle one) of D NOTE: SCORES FINAL PENDING VERIFICATION. Please deliver ballot to runner before debriefing. DO NOT SEPARATE COPIES!!!! WHITE - Coordinator Copy Judge's Signature: YELLOW - Defense/Defendant Copy

PINK - Prosecution/Petitioner Copy

Team #2, Round 1: (D) Lost to Whitefish Bay #2 90-78

Wisconsin High School SCORE SHEET Defense/Respondent: (Team I.D, #) (Team (D.#) ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 3 4 Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below. Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points. Not Effective Fair Good Excellent Outstanding 1-2 3-4 5-6 7-8 9-10 PROSECUTION DEFENSE: **OPENING STATEMENTS** 9 Prosecution/Plaintiff Direct Examination First Witness: Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Prosecution/Plaintiff Direct Examination Second Witness: Allanson Nas Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Prosecution/Plaintiff Direct Examination Mc Carther May Third Witness: Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Defense/Defendant Direct Examination First Witness: grammar Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Defense/Defendant Direct Examination Second Witness: Cross-Examination Austen Witness Presentation Defense/Defendant Direct Examination Cross-Examination Third Witness: Witness Presentation CLOSING ARGUMENTS DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations. 90 TOTAL SCORE Add scores in each column. TIEBREAKER: (circle one) P or D Please deliver ballot to runner before debriefing. NOTE: SCORES FINAL PENDING VERIFICATION. DO NOT SEPARATE COPIES!!!!

WHITE - Coordinator Copy

YELLOW - Defense/Defendant Copy PINK - Prosecution/Petitioner Com

Judge's Signature:

Team #2, Round 2: (P) Lost to Nicolet #1 74-73

1	-2,22		
Wisconsin High School MOCK TRIAL TOURNAMENT			SCORE SHEET
Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1916 Bo	C2 Defense/Respo		N XXX
Using a scale of 1 to 1	0, rate the P and D in the	categories below.	
Not Effective Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding
1-2 3-4	. 5-6	7-8	9-10
NOW AND WAS IN THE PARTY OF THE	The thirt was a little from	PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS ANSL		7	8
Prosecution/Plaintiff ANSL	Direct Examination	6	
First Witness: Billy Tone 5	Cross-Examination		6
VEdent	Witness Presentation	7	
Prosecution/Plaintiff N	Direct Examination	7	
second Witness: Peyton Allangun	Cross-Examination	The state of	8
Aashn	Witness Presentation	6	
Prosecution/Plaintiff Masari	Direct Examination	18	
Third Witness: Dana McCarthy	Cross-Examination		5
Austri	Witness Presentation	9	
Defense/Defendant Maguil	Direct Examination	SENSON INCOME.	7
First Witness: Sam Smith	Cross-Examination	6	THESE
	Witness Presentation	· 张孝文 、	7
Defense/Defendant Nab	Direct Examination		8
Second Witness: Remington Moss	Cross-Examination	6	The state of the s
) 1211 (-123	Witness Presentation		8
Defense/Defendant ANSL	Direct Examination	MANAGE TO SE	(4
Mid Witness: Kelsey Gramme		(a	
J	. Witness Presentation		6
LOSING ARGUMENTS Nabil		5	5
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for r	ules violations	3	2
TOTAL SCORE Add scores in ea		73	74
TIEBREAKER: (circle or	ne)	P	or D
The same of			

Team #2, Round 3: (D) Lost to Nicolet #3 70-66

Please deliver ballot to runner before debriefing.

DO NOT SEPARATE CODIESIII

	1	2,23		
100°C	Wisconsin High School KTRIAL		111	188
TOUR	NAMENT	4		SCORE SHEET
Prosecution/Plaintiff:	Nicolet 3	Defense/Resp	ondent: BC	2
. 110	(Team I.D, #)			(Team I.D. #)
DATE: 1//9	//9	RO	UND: (CIRCLE ONE)	1 2 3 4
	Using a scale of 1 to 10,			
	Do NOT use fracti	onal points nor award	zero points.	
Not Effective	Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding
1-2	3-4	. 5-6	7-8	9-10
化型加速性制度的基本	STATE AND CONTRACTOR SERVICE SERVICES OF THE SERVICES	的哲學的學學的學術的	PROSECUTIO	N. DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMEN	TS Nabi		7	6
Prosecution/Plaintiff		Direct Examination	7	
First Witness: Dat	na Mckanthy	Cross-Examination		5
	/	Witness Presentation	7	
Prosecution/Plaintiff		Direct Examination	6	
Second Witness:	exton Allenson	Cross-Examination		5
		Witness Presentation	6	
Prosecution/Plaintiff		Direct Examination	6	
Third Witness: Br	lie Vones	Cross-Examination		6
		Witness Presentation	>	- 1000 111 155
Defense/Defendant	ANSL	Direct Examination		6
First Witness: Le	Soy Grammar	Cross-Examination	6	
	Victory	Witness Presentation		6
Defense/Defendant	Nabil	Direct Examination		7
Second Witness: Ro	annotor Massberg	Cross-Examination	6	
	VAashor	Witness Presentation		7
Defense/Defendant	maggiz	Direct Examination	45/2024	6
Third Witness:	amsmith	Cross-Examination	7	THE STATE OF THE
	Anglas	Witness Presentation.	高速 的总统。	6
CLOSING ARGUMENT	IS ANG		5	1
	UCTIONS: Subtract Points for rul	les violations.	3	6
	TOTAL SCORE Add scores in each		70	66
	TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		P or D

NOTE: SCORES FINAL PENDING VERIFICATION.

Team #3, Round 1: (P) Lost to Shorewood #2 92-79

MOCK TRIAL SCORE SHEET

Prosecution/Plaintiff:

BOOK

Fair

1363

Defense/Respondent:

Shorewood 2

(Team I.D. #)

DATE Jan 19th

Not Effective

(Team I.D, #)

ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE)

Excellent

1) 2 3 4

Outstanding

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.

Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Good

1-2 3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10
CONTRACTOR SHOW STANDS AND		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS JUNE		7	9
Prosecution/Plaintiff Abb 21	Direct Examination	6	
First Witness: BJ	Cross-Examination		9
Greta	Witness Presentation	96	
Prosecution/Plaintiff Cynthia	Direct Examination	17	
Second Witness: 0 m	Cross-Examination	数 据设置 (1)	97
mara	Witness Presentation	7	
Prosecution/Plaintiff Jawa	Direct Examination	9	
Third Witness: ₹4	Cross-Examination		8
BW	Witness Presentation	8	
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		8
First Witness: 40 Ch Nhja	Cross-Examination	6	
	Witness Presentation		9
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination	STANDAR CO.	9
Second Witness: RM Java	Cross-Examination	9	
	Witness Presentation		9
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		q
Third Witness: KG AS6 &y	Cross-Examination	9	
1	Witness Presentation		9
CLOSING ARGUMENTS GUALDING		8	q
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for I	rules violations.		
TOTAL SCORE: Add scores in ea	nch column.	79	92
TIEBREAKER: (circle or	ne)	P or	D
			THE RESERVE AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN

Please deliver ballot to runner before debriefing.

NOTE: SCORES FINAL PENDING VERIFICATION.

奉

Team #3, Round 2: (D) Defeated Dodgeland #1 79-75

	T3, RZ			
MOCK TRI TOURNAME	AL (SEED)		CORE SHEET	
Prosecution/Plaintiff: DOSS	Defense/Resp		10-cle (0)	ntral #3
	of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the T use fractional points nor award			
Not Effective Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding	
1-2 3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10	
		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE	
OPENING STATEMENTS	Na	6	7	
Prosecution/Plaintiff Ab	Direct Examination	4		
First Witness: BTW 1890	Cross-Examination		6	
0 /	Witness Presentation	4		
Prosecution/Plaintiff Palaco	A Direct Examination	6		
Second Witness:	Cross-Examination	The State of the S	7	
	Witness Presentation	7		
Prosecution/Plaintiff () () ()	. Direct Examination	1 -	TO SHARE THE SAME	
Third Witness: Cu v Tu	Cross-Examination	A Company	7	
	Witness Presentation	7	CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE	
Defense/Defendant Sam &	Direct Examination	RE-1300 (2015) (2016)	7	
First Witness:	T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	6	1	
moval	Witness Presentation		8	
Defense/Defendant Demand	on W. Direct Examination	RESERVE SEE	7	
100 / /	Cross-Examination	-5		
BSV	Witness Presentation		9 330	
	6 Direct Examination	Manager	7	
Third Witness:	Ass Cross-Examination	7		
Greta	Witness Presentation		-	10 20
250ET0001300007		-	-	
CLOSING ARGUMENTS	6 seg	0	6	
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract	Points for rules violations.	160	78	
TOTAL SCORE Add s	cores in each column.	70	78	L 7.116411
TIEBREAKE	t (circle one)	(p)	or D	
Please deliver ballot to runner before debrie		NOTE: SCORES FINAL F		

Wisconsin High School RESIDING JUDGE ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 (2) 3 4 PERFORMANCE DECISION: In my opinion, the better mock trial performance was shown by (circle one): entrall, both charms, made a sood emoch!

comments: on con, your full within to annuer yer or no-officione flee withen igains control, - withen er, denilog a resport un the - don't point when talling. - both hearts actually explained their objection arguments, which was good. présecution déadur 1 student need do express grief to les more réaliste. Judge's Signature: Melona Love Koling Don't lead opening or closing Statements - Hey nus be DO NOT SEPARATE COPIESIII! WHITE - Coordinator Copy YELLOW - Defense/Defendant Copy PINK - Prosecution/Petitioner Copy

Team #3, Round 3: (D) Defeated Nicolet #2 79-75

	7	13,R3		/	
MOCK	MMM_M_M_M_M	L (35)500		SCORE SHEE	ī
Prosecution/Plaintiff:	1992 (Team I.D			Team (.D. #)	1917
		1 to 10, rate the P and D in the fractional points nor award	e categories below.		
Not Effective	Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstandir	ng
1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10	
	The Spenier Williams	and the second of the second second	PROSECUTION	DEFENSE	
OPENING STATEMENTS	Jav	a de la	8	7	
Prosecution/Plaintiff		Direct Examination	6	THE REAL PROPERTY.	
First Witness:	Motor ha	Cross-Examination		7	Abbry
P127 DEC	Til Sone	S Witness Presentation	6		- 7
Prosecution/Plaintiff			7		
Second Witness:			A STATE OF THE STA	8	Cynthio
	AMO WE HOS		8		
THE RESIDENCE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN POST OF	Payton AU	THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 2 IS NOT THE OWNER.	17		
Third Witness:		Cross-Examination	4000	8	Java
	COM CPars	Witness Presentation	(0		Jova
Defense/Defendant		Direct Examination		7	
First Witness: ROLVWIV	On tora MOSS &	Cross-Examination	1		
,	im Smi			6	Morral Tava
Defense/Defendant		Direct Examination	RESERVE A	Co	Java
Second Witness:	ead Sirector	Cross-Examination	(0	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	
Rom		Shary Witness Presentation		7	BW
Defense/Defendant	7	Direct Examination		7	A56 Eg
Third Witness: Squar	SSWIFED		7		
	Sey Grav		Chr. Salah	Q	ASS Eg
CLOSING ARGUMENTS	NAME AND ADDRESS OF TAXABLE PARTY.		7		
		ts for rules violations.		- 0	-
	L SCORE: Add score		75	79	
	TIEBREAKER: (ci	rcle one)		or D	

Please deliver ballot to runner before debriefing.

DO NOT SEPARATE COPIES!!!! WHITE - Coordinator Copy NOTE: SCORES FINAL PENDING VERIFICATION

Prosecution/Plaintiff: Necoleto II Defense/Respondent: Brookfield Central # 1917 DATE: 01 - 19 - 2019 ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 (3) 4 PERFORMANCE DECISION: In my opinion, the better mock trial performance was shown by (circle one): Defense/Respondent Prosecution/Plaintiff o: good theme. Seou down. good explanation of burden. COMMENTS: O: good structure. Slowdown. Vary tone di solid cross. Wille souder. good scope objection di: good use of exhibits. good character. Ca: good sizection. Responded well to opposing counsel d 2 : excellent character. Maximal flow & good question C3: excluent objection! concise questions, Hood got w/ fight objection! work a rules, electrones would exploring regative space. gooduse of m. Direct weep to were goved orgestern to brow. ds: overy say, character. Seem down. good response to nur und di nociamo d, handled objection well . Aniendly character, could use more c, don't dreves down on objections. good handling of good objection 12 - good questions was more eye - contact as judge. speculation ca: good point on lack of experience & bonowledge. food command of faces. of perfect handling of organism to was up questions do : clean direct. commonanter. mot defensive. good handling C3: Nont wat your open-ended questions. Good princince of leser comment. More eye contoots gooduse of lose comment. Let pointage. warter cluse: don't seems or petay commerce. Non't regurgetate war from make an ungument good reguting of close : explain the burden. Also withers testimony in evering. Most pacing . Don's regurgetato state's car Judge's Signature: Theo m. Britton testimony combine w/ elements. Wowade Lann Please deliver to the Score Sheet Runner before debriefing. DO NOT SEPARATE COPIESIIII

some.

WHITE - Coordinator Copy YELLOW - Defense/Defendant Copy

Team #1, Round 1 (P): Defeated Berlin #1 94-73

BAY PORT MOCK TRIAL SCRIMMAGE JUDGE SCORE SHEET PROSECUTION/PLAINTIFF: DEFENSE/RESPONDENT: ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) (1) 2 3 Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below. Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points. Not Effective: (1-2) Fair: (3-4) Good: (5-6) Excellent: (7-8) Outstanding: (9-10)						
		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE			
OPENING STATEMENTS	-/AW GG	68	6			
Prosecution/Plaintiff 7.	Direct Examination 7 4 low	7 8				
First Witness: MARS S. R.	Cross-Examination		8 5			
A.2.	Witness Presentation Advish	5 9				
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination LiG	8 8				
Second Witness:	Cross-Examination		84			
60	Witness Presentation Enrify	9 7				
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination Andrew	6 8				
Third Witness:	Cross-Examination		+ 8			
C.K.	Witness Presentation	8 9				
Defense/Defendant S. Q.	Direct Examination		7 7			
First Witness: F. C	Cross-Examination	7 9				
ALM.	Witness Presentation		97			
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		8 07			
Second Witness:	Cross-Examination AMSW	9 10				
L. I.V.	Witness Presentation		4 36			
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		88			
Third Witness:	Cross-Examination Tylaw	79	Hart Hart Hart Hart			
T. Q.	Witness Presentation		810			
CLOSING ARGUMENTS	T tylar	9	500			
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for r		7814	7-380			
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		(P)	5P1			

Team #1, Round 2 (D): Defeated Wausau West #1 81-71

TIEBREAKER: (circle one)

BAY PORT MOCK TRIAL SCRIMMAGE JUDGE SCORE SHEET PROSECUTION/PLAINTIFF: Day Defense/Respondent: ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 3 Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below. Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points. Not Effective: (1-2) Fair: (3-4) Good: (5-6) Excellent: (7-8) Outstanding: (9-10)						
		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE			
OPENING STATEMENTS	ANdrew	5N 6	ANOW9			
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	7				
First Witness:	Cross-Examination Andrew		8			
6 10 Mice	Witness Presentation	5	在20年 1985年			
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	8				
Second Witness:	Cross-Examination	电影影响 医	8			
Din	Witness Presentation	9				
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	6				
Third Witness:	Cross-Examination Consord		7			
Perla About	Witness Presentation	8	the remark the			
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		7			
First Witness:	Cross-Examination	7				
Stall !	Witness Presentation Lundy		G			
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination Com Stan		8			
Second Witness:	Cross-Examination	3				
	Witness Presentation \(\lambda \)		9			
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination Androw		8			
Third Witness:	Cross-Examination	7				
Adara	Witness Presentation Aush		8			
CLOSING ARGUMENTS	Comson	700 au	Casepan Con			
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for r	ules violations	-171	738180			

P

Team #1, Round 3 (D): Defeated Bay Port #1 80-76

BAY PORT MOCK TRIAL SCRIMMAGE JUDGE SCORE SHEET PROSECUTION/PLAINTIFF: DEFENSE/RESPONDENT: Brooker of Carter DATE: 126/19 Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below. Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective: (1-2) Fair:(3-4) Good:(5-6) Excellent:(7-8) Outstanding:(9-10)

PROSECUTION DEFENSE OPENING STATEMENTS Prosecution/Plaintiff Direct Examination Cross-Examination Prosecution/Plaintiff Direct Examination Prosecution/Plaintiff Direct Examination Cross-Examination Prosecution/Plaintiff Direct Examination Third Witness Cross-Examination Defense/Defendant Direct Examination Tirst Witness Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Prist Witness Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Prist Witness Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Witness Presentation Witness Presentation Witness Presentation Witness Presentation Prist Witness Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Witness Presentation Witness Presentation Torse-Examination Second Witness Cross-Examination Torse-Examination Witness Presentation Witness Presentation Witness Presentation Torse-Examination Torse-Examination Torse-Examination Torse-Examination Torse-Examination Torse-Examination Witness Presentation Torse-Examination Torse-Examination Torse-Examination Torse-Examination Torse-Examination Torse-Examination Witness Presentation Torse-Examination Torse-Examination Witness Presentation Torse-Examination Torse-Examination				
Prosecution/Plaintiff First Witness: Cross-Examination Prosecution/Plaintiff Direct Examination Prosecution/Plaintiff Direct Examination Cross-Examination Prosecution/Plaintiff Direct Examination Third Witness: Cross-Examination Defense/Defendant Direct Examination Direct Examination Tirst Witness: Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Priest Examination Witness: Cross-Examination Tirect Examination Witness Presentation Prosecution/Plaintiff Direct Examination To the Social S			PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
First Witness: Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Prosecution/Plaintiff Direct Examination Witness Presentation Prosecution/Plaintiff Direct Examination Third Witness: Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Witness Presentation Prisst Witness: Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Witness Presentation Prisst Witness: Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Cross-Examination Direct Examination Third Witness: Cross-Examination Third Witness: Cross-Examination	OPENING STATEMENTS	ANdra	6	7
Prosecution/Plaintiff Direct Examination Second Witness: Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Prosecution/Plaintiff Direct Examination Third Witness: Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Witness Presentation Bille Jones Witness Presentation Witness Presentation Priest Witness: Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Witness Presentation Priest Witness: Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Witness Presen	Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	6	可能是基本的和 是
Prosecution/Plaintiff Direct Examination Second Witness: Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Prosecution/Plaintiff Direct Examination Third Witness: Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Witness Presentation Bille Jones Witness Presentation Witness Presentation Priest Witness: Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Witness Presentation Priest Witness: Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Witness Presen	First Witness:	Cross-Examination Com VV	建位的基本性的	豐 6
Second Witness: Cross-Examination Prosecution/Plaintiff Direct Examination Third Witness: Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Witness Presentation Defense/Defendant Direct Examination Third Witness: Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Third Witness: Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Witness Presentation Third Witness: Cross-Examination Toross-Examination Toross-Examination Toross-Examination Toross-Examination Toross-Examination Toross-Examination Toross-Examination	Peyton Allanson		8	
Prosecution/Plaintiff Direct Examination Third Witness: Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Defense/Defendant Direct Examination Witness Presentation Witness Cross-Examination Witness Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Witness Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Witness Defense/Defendant Direct Examination Witness Presentation Witness Cross-Examination Witness Presentation P	Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	6	
Prosecution/Plaintiff Direct Examination Third Witness: Cross-Examination A NATION Billie Jones Witness Presentation Defense/Defendant Direct Examination First Witness: Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Witness Presentation Prosecution/Plaintiff B Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Witness Presentation Witness Presentation Witness: Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Prosecution/Plaintiff Witness Presentation Witness Presentation Witness Presentation Witness Presentation Witness Presentation Witness Presentation Third Witness: Cross-Examination Total Third Witness: Cross-Examination Total T	Second Witness:	Cross-Examination 5	美国共和国共和国	7
Third Witness: Cross-Examination A NOTAN Bille Jones Witness Presentation Defense/Defendant Direct Examination TONON First Witness: Cross-Examination Work Witness Presentation S Defense/Defendant Direct Examination Lib Second Witness: Cross-Examination Witness Presentation S Witness Presentation S Third Witness: Cross-Examination Cross-Examination Third Witness: Cross-Examination Tolday Third Witness: Cross-Examination Tolday To	Dance McCarthy	Witness Presentation	6	
Bille Jones Witness Presentation Defense/Defendant Direct Examination Town First Witness: Cross-Examination Witness Witness Presentation Town Witness Presentation Town B. Mossbers Witness Presentation Town B. Defense/Defendant Direct Examination Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Town B. Defense/Defendant Direct Examination Third Witness: Cross-Examination Town Cross-Examination Town Town	Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	7	
Bille Jones Witness Presentation Defense/Defendant Direct Examination Town First Witness: Cross-Examination Witness Witness Presentation Town Witness Presentation Town B. Mossbers Witness Presentation Town B. Defense/Defendant Direct Examination Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Town B. Defense/Defendant Direct Examination Third Witness: Cross-Examination Town Cross-Examination Town Town	Third Witness:	Cross-Examination A NdTW		8
First Witness: Cross-Examination CONVENTOR R. MOSSDERS Witness Presentation Law Defense/Defendant Direct Examination Cross-Examination Second Witness: Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Convey Defense/Defendant Direct Examination Third Witness: Cross-Examination Cross-Examination	Bille Jones		8	
B. Mossbers Witness Presentation 1 8 Defense/Defendant Direct Examination Liu 8 Second Witness: Cross-Examination 8 Sem Smith Witness Presentation 2 7 Defense/Defendant Direct Examination 8 Third Witness: Cross-Examination 7	Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		7
Defense/Defendant Direct Examination Lik Second Witness: Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Emply Defense/Defendant Direct Examination ANSW Third Witness: Cross-Examination	First Witness:	Cross-Examination Com Vol	7	
Second Witness: Cross-Examination 8 Sem Sm.+h Witness Presentation Emply Defense/Defendant Direct Examination 7 Third Witness: Cross-Examination	R. Mossberg	Witness Presentation		8
Sam Smith Witness Presentation Emply Defense/Defendant Direct Examination ANDEW 8 Third Witness: Cross-Examination	Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination LIL	司 经 多	7
Defense/Defendant Direct Examination A STAN Third Witness: Cross-Examination	Second Witness:	Cross-Examination	8	CERTAIN FOR
Third Witness: Cross-Examination 7	Som Smith	Witness Presentation Emily		3 7
A 2 (1)	Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		8
Ke Ser Granin Witness Presentation Adorsh	Third Witness:	Cross-Examination	7	
1 Co. 1 Proposition of the Co. 1	Kelsey Grammer	Witness Presentation Adol Sh		8
CLOSING ARGUMENTS CANDON 7	CLOSING ARGUMENTS	Cambon	7	7
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations 76	DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for re	ales violations	76	80
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)	TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		P	(D)

PERFORMANCE DECISION: In my opinion the better mock trial performance was shown by

Team #2, Round 1 (D): Lost to DC Everest #2 90-84

+7.81					
BAY PORT MOCK	TRIAL SCRIMMAC	GE JUDGE SCOR	E SHEET		
PROSECUTION/PLAINTIFF:_	1935 DU PDE	FENSE/RESPONDENT: _/9_	19 BYOOK C.		
Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the	P and D in the categories below.	ROUND: (CIRCLI Do NOT use fractional points n			
			BC#2		
Not Effective: (1-2) Fair:(3	3-4) Good:(5-6) Exc	ellent:(7-8) Outstanding:(9-10)			
		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE		
OPENING STATEMENTS	Nati	8 AB 7	8		
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	8			
First Witness: Mc (4/4)	Cross-Examination (M991)	FILESHILATIN	7		
	Witness Presentation	4			
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	9			
Second Witness: Billio John	Cross-Examination ANSh		7		
	Witness Presentation	7			
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	9			
Third Witness:	Cross-Examination Val	的數學。十四個學學	7		
	Witness Presentation	7	OF HER STREET		
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		8		
First Witness: 6 Van Mi	Cross-Examination	- 8			
	Witness Presentation V Went		8		
Defense/Defendant 1049/2	Direct Examination		8		
Second Witness:	Cross-Examination	10			
	Witness Presentation Aathw	在 中国 。	10		
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination 1912		7		
Third Witness: Gan Sunt	Cross-Examination				
	Witness Presentation Fasher	国际社会支持保护 科	9		
CLOSING ARGUMENTS		4	7		
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for r	ules violations	90 %	84		
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		P	D		

Team #2, Round 2 (P): Lost to Lakeland Union 85-82

TT2 82							
BAY PORT MOCK TRIAL SCRIMMAGE JUDGE SCORE SHEET PROSECUTION/PLAINTIFF: BROOKIED BEFENSE/RESPONDENT: LAKELAND UNION DATE: 126 17 BC T Z ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 3 Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below. Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.							
Not Effective: (1-2) Fair:	Not Effective: (1-2) Fair:(3-4) Good:(5-6) Excellent:(7-8) Outstanding:(9-10)						
		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE				
OPENING STATEMENTS	ANSh	8	8				
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination Augu	8					
First Witness: JONES B.	Cross-Examination	CALL TO SEE SEE	8				
	Witness Presentation V	8					
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	7					
Second Witness: ALL MISON . P.	Cross-Examination	建筑的建筑	7				
	Witness Presentation Aasly	7					
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	8					
Third Witness: M. (ADHY)	Cross-Examination	SALES A STATE OF	#9				
	Witness Presentation And W	7					
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination	医黑色素 化非代理学	7				
First Witness: SMITH 5.	Cross-Examination agg Z	7					
	Witness Presentation		7				
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		8				
Second Witness: CIAMMA K.	Cross-Examination ANSh	8					
. 0	Witness Presentation		8 8				
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination	计算机 机铁铁铁	8				
Third Witness: MOSSIMA 2	Cross-Examination (Val)	7					
	Witness Presentation		7				
CLOSING ARGUMENTS		7	8				
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for r	rules violations	82	85				

Team #2, Round 3 (P) Lost to Wausau West #1 91-80

BAY PORT MOCK TRIAL SCRIMMAGE JUDGE SCORE SHEET PROSECUTION/PLAINTIFF: Broulfield B DEFENSE/RESPONDENT: Waks at August 1 and 1 g ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 3 Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below. Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective: (1-2) Fair:(3-4) Good:(5-6) Excellent:(7-8) Outstanding:(9-10)

	Δ (ξΛ	TO COMPANY	
	Myc	PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS	Stri/Hyelsand	7	8
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination Auch	7	
First Witness: Junes	Cross-Examination		8
TheKKK	Witness Presentation	7 7	
Prosecution/Plaintiff Alleasen	Direct Examination	8	
Second Witness: 5 a et c	Cross-Examination Reff		8
	Witness Presentation Austry	8	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination Colong	2 6	
Third Witness Sceed	Cross-Examination Helsend		8
	Witness Presentation Austra	7	
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		9
First Witness: (Tam 61	Cross-Examination	7	A SHE BERE
frisoerthsy	Witness Presentation		8
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination Helsend		8
Second Witness Smith	Cross-Examination Massy	27	
Tietz	Witness Presentation		8
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination	and the state of the	8
Third Witnessy Nossberg	Cross-Examination Africa) 8	AND BY
Truesland	Witness Presentation		9
CLOSING ARGUMENTS HASS	rinil Plisch	8	9
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for r	, , , ,	80	91
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		P	D

Team #3, Round 1 (D): Lost to Rhinelander #1 90-82

PERFORMANCE DECISION: In my opinion the better mock trial performance was shown by

BAY PORT MOCK TRIAL SCRIMMAGE JUDGE SCORE SHEET PROSECUTION/PLAINTIFF: Defense/Respondent: ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 3 Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below. Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points. Not Effective: (1-2) Fair: (3-4) Good: (5-6) Excellent: (7-8) Outstanding: (9-10)						
		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE			
OPENING STATEMENTS	16 7.	TROSECTION	BEFENSE			
1011	1 / Jong Jawa	10/1/	Jana T			
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	P				
First Witness:	Cross-Examination		0			
Gudt	Witness Presentation	++				
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	7 %				
Second Witness:	Cross-Examination Curtona	医对比性恐惧的	98			
Melleuge	Witness Presentation	77				
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	8				
Third Witness:	Cross-Examination Tona		18 9			
Carner	Witness Presentation	96				
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		710			
First Witness	Cross-Examination	8				
Cxinta	Witness Presentation Cy Ahra		804			
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination Two		0.07			
Second Witness Community	Cross-Examination	990				
Ban	Witness Presentation & 6.00		67			
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		79			
Third Witness: 46	Cross-Examination	9+				
Grett.	Witness Presentation		78			
CLOSING ARGUMENTS	n/ Apribrus	89	8			
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for r	ules violations	ty+ 90	82 98			
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		P 8 +	D 2/			

Team #3, Round 2 (P): Lost to Appleton Xavier #2 98-84

BAY PORT MOCK TRIAL SCRIMMAGE JUDGE SCORE SHEET PROSECUTION/PLAINTIFF: DEFENSE/RESPONDENT: ACCUSE NOT NOT USE IN THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY					
		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE		
OPENING STATEMENTS	Jana	7	9		
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination Abbres	8			
First Witness:	Cross-Examination		9		
Jones	Witness Presentation	7			
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	8			
Second Witness:	Cross-Examination	建建设全体等的	8		
McCarting	Witness Presentation of Many	7			
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination Tava	7			
Third Witness:	Cross-Examination		9		
Alleman	Witness Presentation 35	6			
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		10		
First Witness:	Cross-Examination AL 654				
	Witness Presentation		10		
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		110		
Second Witness: Smith	Cross-Examination Cutton	9			
400	Witness Presentation		7		
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		a		
Third Witness:	Cross-Examination Towa	7			
Remington	Witness Presentation		8		
CLOSING ARGUMENTS	Cynthia	800	9 00		
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations +4+ 90 + 82 98					
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)	with the same of t	P	D		

Team #3, Round 3 (D): Lost to Appleton West #1 87-80

BAY PORT MOCK TRIAL SCRIMMAGE JUDGE SCORE SHEET PROSECUTION/PLAINTIFF: DEFENSE/RESPONDENT: 4/7 DATE: ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 3 Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below. Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points. Not Effective: (1-2) Fair: (3-4) Good: (5-6) Excellent: (7-8) Outstanding: (9-10)						
	PROSECUTION DEFENSE					
OPENING STATEMENTS	Java	# 7 killo	8 Komangton			
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	8				
First Witness:	Cross-Examination Abb Eu		7			
Billic Jones	Witness Presentation	2				
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	(9)/				
Second Witness:	Cross-Examination Cy NTM	是中人特别 国际	7			
Dona Millythi	Witness Presentation	18				
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	18				
Third Witness:	Cross-Examination Java		7.1			
Paytou Alles	Witness Presentation	9. 1.0.				
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination Abban		8			
First Witness:	Cross-Examination	/8/				
Sain Smith	Witness Presentation		8			
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination Abs Eu		7			
Second Witness:	Cross-Examination Pany	1/9/				
Mosshing	Witness Presentation Cy This		18/			
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination Towa		7/			
Third Witness:	Cross-Examination Allinson	18/1				
Grammar	Witness Presentation		7//			
CLOSING ARGUMENTS	17694/1 /	15	6//			
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for r	DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations					
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)						
DEDECORATE DECISION I	1/1 a shill.	No Alla				

Regionals

Team #1 Summary

	Fill out for each team and hand out with the score sheets. Team #: 1915 Team Name: Brookfield Central						
	Team #:						ral
		T	iam#	1-Su	mman	1	
	ROUND	OPPONENT	WIN LOSS	BALLOTS	POINTS	SIDE	ROOM
	wantes 15074#	2023	W L	3	182	PD	219
Me.	ArranhEad	1945	w (L)	1	190	PD	267
0	CONOMWOO	1001		3	177	PID	215
	BC#2	Alle	€ L	3	182	P (D)	267
	TOTALS	Win Loss Record	1: 3=h	Ballots:	Poin	ts: 3	1

<u>Team #1, Round 1 (P): Def. Waukesha South #1 91-76, 91-76</u>

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1915 (Team I.D. #) DATE: Feb 9, 3019	Defense/Respondent: 2023 Waltsha (Team I.D. #) Suth 1 ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 2 3 4
T1 R	1-P
	ter mock trial performance was shown by (circle one):
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Defense/Respondent
COMMENTS: TT - Good use of witnesses use of notes - minim D - Develop defense a little preparation.	

(Team I.D. #)		1707	15 11		
Prosecution/Plaintiff: Defense/Respondent: 2023 Wawksha (Team I.D. #) DATE: 2 / 2019 (Team I.D. #) ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) (1) 2 3 4					
Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.					
Do NOT use frac	tional points nor award ze	ero points.			
ot Effective Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding		
1-2 3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10		
		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE		
OPENING STATEMENTS	44	19			
Prosecution/Plaintiff Ty (av)	Direct Examination	8			
First Witness: Billie Jores	Cross-Examination		7		
Adorsh	Witness Presentation	8			
Prosecution/Plaintiff Souria	Direct Examination	8			
Second Witness: Ugna McCarthy	Cross-Examination		7		
Comvos	Witness Presentation	9	Control with the		
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	9			
Third Witness: Payton Allanson	Cross-Examination		7		
hurly	Witness Presentation	9			
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		8		
First Witness: Dam Smithliki	Cross-Examination	9			
	Witness Presentation		7		
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		7		
Second Witness: Kening board about	Cross-Examination	8			
()	Witness Presentation		7		
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		6		
Third Witness: Tylan a	Cross-Examination	7			
	Witness Presentation		8		
CLOSING ARGUMENTS	Tylan	8	5		
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for re					
TIEBREAKER: (circle on	ne)	P	or D		
		91	76		
		12 to 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			

	The second secon		Name of Street, or other Desired Parks, Street, Street	
Prosecution/Plaintiff: /9/5 (Team I.D. #)	Defense/Responde	ent: 2023	Warks Sha	
DATE: Feb. 9,2019 T	1 P1-P ROUND	: (CIRCLE ONE) /1	2 3 4	
Using a scale of 1 to 10.	rate the P and D in the cate	egories below.		
	onal points nor award zero			
Not Effective Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding	
1-2 3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10	
		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE	
OPENING STATEMENTS	674	9	7	
Prosecution/Plaintiff TU low	Direct Examination	8		
First Witness: Billic Jones	Cross-Examination		7	
Adarsh	Witness Presentation	9		
Prosecution/Plaintiff Santa	Direct Examination	7		
Second Witness: Dana Ur Cartly	Cross-Examination		7	
Carnoon	Witness Presentation	7		
Prosecution/Plaintiff 64	Direct Examination	9		
Third Witness: Rusan Allanson	Cross-Examination		8	
mily	Witness Presentation	9	0	
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		7	
First Witness: Sam Smith GG	Cross-Examination	8		
	Witness Presentation		7	
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		7	
Second Witness: Reminerous du torg	Cross-Examination	9		
	Witness Presentation		7	
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		6	
Third Witness: Kelsey Grantigion	Cross-Examination	8		
	Witness Presentation		7	
CLOSING ARGUMENTS TYLOW 9				
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rul		-		
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)	(P)0	r D	
		911	(76)	
			The state of the s	

Team #1, Round 2 (D): Lost to Arrowhead 95-94, 98-96

Prosecution/Plaintiff:	1945 Arrowhs (Team I.D. #)	Defense/Respondent:	1915 BC 1 (Team I.D. #) (LE ONE) 1 2 3 4
DATE: 2/9/19		ROUND: (CIRC	LE ONE) 1 2 3 4
	T1R	2-D	
PERFORMANCE DECIS			e was shown by (circle one):
	Prosecution/Plaintiff	Defense/Responde	nt
COMMENTS: Very	I evenly match	hed teams. Nic	e job. they impussed
Tros-cution -	not was well org	unized. I liked	how he work Are
elements of the	tion were mel	I maining ed Good you	is it addressed the
The cross warm	a could have be	in more effective	if it addressed the
tostimony are	mally provided	not to stay so so	a nese ph of limiting
testimony come	usa sob of any	set to stay so so	us sense of
not and to	rablians". Try to	grub on to somethe	is some of clasing and also
MY LA CAME ON THE	A //	A 4.	ndent and also
und adapted of a	Attack the Literal	and the state of t	. Del Campe ded a
nice pla election	testimony in a	iel respecting con	at room proceduces.
Cross exams were	susence and ins	Od on strong poin	15.
to anyone offer	than kelsen.	ature:	
Please deliver to the Score She	et Runner before debriefing.		

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1945 Acro	W Wrad Defense/	Respondent: 1915	BC 1	
			1 (2) 3 4	
Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below. Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.				
Not Effective Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding	
1-2 3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10	
		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE	
OPENING STATEMENTS	ANdrew	9	10	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	10		
First Witness:	Cross-Examination		9	
	Witness Presentatio	on 8		
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	9		
Second Witness: D. McCarrie	Cross-Examination		6	
	Witness Presentatio	n 8		
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	8		
Third Witness:	Cross-Examination		7	
	Witness Presentatio	n 7		
Defense/Defendant Cam VZA	Direct Examination		7	
First Witness: 2 Mass best S	Cross-Examination	9	14.04	
Sew Ta	Witness Presentatio	on	9	
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		7	
Second Witness:	Cross-Examination	8		
Em	Witness Presentatio	William Control of the Control of th	9	
Defense/Defendant A V 4 T	Direct Examination		10	
Third Witness: K / Jumm	Cross-Examination	410		
Adorts	Witness Presentatio	DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF	10	
CLOSING ARGUMENTS	Cambon	1 9	10	
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Point	s for rules violations.		injustifica-	
TIEBREAKER: (cir	rcle one)	Р	or D	
		95	94	

Laur A.	1	100	0 0 11	
Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1945 (+ Cauhead Defense/Respondent: 1915 BC1				
(Team I.D. #)				
DATE: 2/9/19	T1,R2-D ROU	ND: (CIRCLE ONE)	1 (2) 3 4	
Using a scale of 1 to	10, rate the P and D in the c	ategories below.		
Do NOT use fr	actional points nor award zo	ero points.		
Not Effective Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding	
1-2 3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10	
	A 51	PROSECUTION	DEFENSE	
OPENING STATEMENTS	ANdrew	9	10	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	10		
First Witness: Billy And En			9	
O CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR	Witness Presentation	10		
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	9		
Second Witness: Dana M Galy	Cross-Examination		8	
U	Witness Presentation	9		
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	8		
Third Witness: Penns Cambon	Cross-Examination		8	
	Witness Presentation	8		
Defense/Defendant Com SON	Direct Examination	450	7	
First Witness: Remayton Mossbers	Cross-Examination	7		
Sowia	. Witness Presentation		9	
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		8	
Second Witness: Sam Smith	Cross-Examination	8		
Emila	Witness Presentation		8	
Defense/Defendant ANSPM	Direct Examination		9	
Third Witness:	Cross-Examination	19		
Adors	Witness Presentation		10	
CLOSING ARGUMENTS	horms	[0]	10	
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points fo		automosp.	- Constitution of the Cons	
TIEBREAKER: (circle	one)	Р	or D	
	7	98	9/	
(2 4 3)	4	76)	16	

Team #1, Round 3 (P): Def. Oconomowoc #2 78-74, 99-92

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1915 & 1 Defense/Respondent: 2021 OcoNomico (Team I.D. #) DATE: 2-9-19 ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 (3) 4
T1, R3-P
PERFORMANCE DECISION: In my opinion, the better mock trial performance was shown by (circle one):
Prosecution/Plaintiff Defense/Respondent
COMMENTS:
Judge's Signature: 1014/1004 Quantile

Prosecution/Plaintiff: _	1915 BCZ	Defense/Re	espondent: 202	1000Nomowar2	
DATE: A PORT	2/9/19 +1	02 B	ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE	(Team I.D. #)	
DAIL.	Using a scale of 1 to 10,	11/			
		onal points nor awa			
Not Effective	Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding	
1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10	
			PROSECUTIO		
OPENING STATEMENTS		44	-+	8	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Tylar	Direct Examination	8		
First Witness:	July	Cross-Examination		6	
	Adersh	Witness Presentation	6		
Prosecution/Plaintiff	66	Direct Examination	6		
Second Witness:	ina McCartha	Cross-Examination		5	
	Emily	Witness Presentation	+		
Prosecution/Plaintiff	PASowia	Direct Examination	8		
Third Witness:	Λ.	Cross-Examination		6	
A STATE OF S	Campo	Witness Presentation	8		
Defense/Defendant		Direct Examination	W	6	
First Witness:	Tylar	Cross-Examination	88		
		Witness Presentation		6	
Defense/Defendant		Direct Examination		7	
Second Witness: 5	Smithili	Cross-Examination	6		
		Witness Presentation		8	
Defense/Defendant		Direct Examination		7	
Third Witness:	Santa	Cross-Examination	7		
		Witness Presentation		8	
CLOSING ARGUMENTS		Mlay	7	7	
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.					
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)					
(74)					

Team #1, Round 4 (D): Def. Brookfield Central #2 91-82, 91-79

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1915 & 1 Defense/Respondent: 2021 OcoNomicus (Team I.D. #) DATE: 2-9-19 ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 3 4	
T1, R3-P	
PERFORMANCE DECISION: In my opinion, the better mock trial performance was shown by (circle one):	
Prosecution/Plaintiff Defense/Respondent	
COMMENTS:	
Judge's Signature: 12 14 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	

ATE: 2/9/2	2019 T.	1, R3 - P RO	UND: (CIRCLE ONE)	1 2 (3) 4
	Using a scale of 1 to 10,			
		onal points nor award		
t Effective	Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding
1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10
			PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
PENING STATEMENTS		154	q	8
osecution/Plaintiff	Tylan	Direct Examination	9	activity of the transfer
rst Witness: BILLY	JONES	Cross-Examination	A Company of the Comp	8
	Adersh	Witness Presentation	00	
osecution/Plaintiff	64	Direct Examination	8	
econd Witness: DAN	1A McCARPILY	Cross-Examination		8
	Envily	Witness Presentation	9	
osecution/Plaintiff	Santa	Direct Examination	9	
nird Witness:	210 ACCENSON	Cross-Examination		8
	Comson	Witness Presentation	9	
efense/Defendant		Direct Examination		8
rst Witness: 146 L St	ey etylowe	Cross-Examination	q	
	V	Witness Presentation		9
efense/Defendant		Direct Examination		9
econd Witness: SAn	n smlfli	Cross-Examination	9	
		Witness Presentation		9
efense/Defendant		Direct Examination		d.
nird Witness: Lemino	IN Santa	Cross-Examination	9	
		Witness Presentation		8
LOSING ARGUMENTS		Tylan	9	8
DEDUC	ΓΙΟΝS: Subtract Points for rul		-	. Approximately
	TIEBREAKER: (circle one)	(P)	or D
	4		99	(92)
1 11 11 11 11			NOTE COORECTION	DENDING MEDICICATION

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1916 SCZ Defense/Respondent: 1915 BC9 (Team I.D. #)						
DATE: 10. 9, 2019 TZ, RU-P ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 3 (4)						
	Using a scale of 1 to 10					
	Do NOT use fract	ional points nor awa	rd zero points.			
Not Effective	Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding		
1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10		
	0 = 1	1	PROSECUTION	DEFENSE		
OPENING STATEMENTS		Andrew	5	9		
Prosecution/Plaintiff	ANSh	Direct Examination	7			
First Witness:	Andrew	Cross-Examination		8		
	VELONA	Witness Presentation				
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Nas.1	Direct Examination	4			
Second Witness:	you Carmeron	Cross-Examination		8		
	Hashir	Witness Presentation	7			
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Maggiz	Direct Examination	7			
Third Witness:	ana Charthy	Cross-Examination		8		
	Trias	Witness Presentation	8	Charles to the first of the first		
Defense/Defendant	Cambran	Direct Examination		8		
First Witness: Rem	ing stall 85 derg	Cross-Examination	37			
A CONTRACTOR	Savia	Witness Presentation		9		
Defense/Defendant	Li	Direct Examination		7		
Second Witness: Sav	n maggiz	Cross-Examination	8			
	Sung	Witness Presentation		7		
Defense/Defendant	ANdra	Direct Examination		8		
Third Witness:	Kel Ausslman	Cross-Examination	7			
	Adarsh	Witness Presentation		9		
CLOSING ARGUMENTS	Na	57 comer	201 8	10		
DEDU	CTIONS: Subtract Points for ru		7	0		
	TIEBREAKER: (circle one	e)	P	or D		
			(9)	91		
			02	TH		

Team #2 Summary

Fill out for each team and hand out with the score shee	ts.						
Team #: 1916 Team Name: Brookfield Central							
TEam #Z -Summary							
ROUND OPPONENT WIN LOSS	BALLOTS POINTS	SIDE ROOM					
1. WEST 1943 WIL	3 195	@10 1102					
2.BAZ 1964 WD	0 179	P @ 715					
3. morainet 2004 WID	1 173	(P) 0 278					
4.BC 1 1915 W/6	0 161	(P) 0 26]					
W.S.		649					
TOTALS Win Loss Record: 13	Ballots: Poir	nts: 768					

<u>Team #2, Round 1 (P): Def. Wauwatosa West 101-76, 94-91</u>

The state of the s
Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1916 BCZ Defense/Respondent: 1913 Waw ato sa (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: 1913 Waw ato sa
DATE: 2 9 20 19 ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 3 4
T2, R1-P
PERFORMANCE DECISION: In my opinion, the better mock trial performance was shown by (circle one):
Prosecution/Plaintiff Defense/Respondent
COMMENTS:
- STATES OPENING WAS EXCELLENT. CADENCE PERFECT. (LEAR MANAGEMENT PRESENTATION. CLEAR PATH - DEFENSE OPENING GREAT, UENY WELL PRESENTED, GOOD AND MANAGEMENT A - GOOD DILECT, JEHY NICE CLOSS, GREAT TOOR WY CETTING THE APMISSION OF BLASS. - GOUD TOB ENTERING FURTING CENTER.
-GREAT CROSS EXAM OF ALLANSON -WITNESSES NEED TO PAY - WITNESSES ARE GREAT! - WITNESSES ARE GREAT! - MAKE SORE TO FACE DURY MORE THAN THE DURGE WAITING TO TESTIFY. - MOSSBERG GREAT MASTERY OF THE FACTS. - MOSSBERG GREAT MASTERY OF THE FACTS. - OBOD DIRECT BY DEFENSE -OREAT DIRECT OF GRAMMER. - GLEAT CROSS OF REMINISTON - MAKE SURE CLOSINGS

Prosecution/Plaintiff:	1916	SCZ Defense/Respon	dent: 194	3 Waywatusz m.D.#) WEST		
0101	(Team I.D. #)		(Tea	m I.D. #) W 23-7		
DATE:						
1	Using a scale of 1 to 10	, rate the P and D in the ca				
	Do NOT use fract	tional points nor award ze	ro points.			
Not Effective	Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding		
1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10		
			PROSECUTION	DEFENSE		
OPENING STATEMENTS		ANSh	9	7		
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Awsh	Direct Examination	9			
First Witness:		Cross-Examination		8		
	vidant	Witness Presentation	7			
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Nasi	Direct Examination	10	Marie State of the		
Second Witness:	1.	Cross-Examination		9		
	Aashi	Witness Presentation	9			
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Masgis	Direct Examination	8			
Third Witness:	-	Cross-Examination		9		
	TEGRAS	Witness Presentation	8			
Defense/Defendant	4	Direct Examination		8		
First Witness:	Nasi	Cross-Examination	q			
		Witness Presentation		8		
Defense/Defendant		Direct Examination		8		
Second Witness:	Maggiz	Cross-Examination	8			
	3)	Witness Presentation		9		
Defense/Defendant	4	Direct Examination		8		
Third Witness:	ANSh	Cross-Examination	9			
		Witness Presentation		8		
CLOSING ARGUMENTS		Maki	8	g		
DEDUCT	ΓΙΟΝS: Subtract Points for ru	iles violations.	- Garagian-	10 4		
	TIEBREAKER: (circle one		all Po	r D (A)		
1111 11	The state tenere on		77	7		

rosecution/Plaintiff:	(Team I.D.	#)	/Respondent:	(Team I.D. #) W254		
Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below. Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.						
ot Effective	Fair 3-4	Good 5-6	Excellent 7-8	Outstanding 9-10		
1-2	J-4	5-0	PROSECUTI			
OPENING STATEMENT	rs	ANSI	The state of the s	6		
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Killie genera	J Direct Examination	The state of the s			
First Witness:	Dine Jones II	Cross-Examination	CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE	.5		
	Vida	Witness Presentation	on 10			
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Heuten Alanda	Direct Examination	10			
Second Witness:		Cross-Examination	7.77	6		
	Aasl	Witness Presentation	on 10			
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Dana Mi MAG	918 Direct Examination	a			
Third Witness:	• • •	Cross-Examination		7		
The same	tria	S Witness Presentation	on 9			
Defense/Defendant	Cerninston Moss	ver 5 Direct Examination		8		
First Witness:	Nati	Cross-Examination	10			
		Witness Presentation	on	9		
Defense/Defendant	Sam Smith	Direct Examination		7		
Second Witness:	Magg	Cross-Examination	0			
	,,	Witness Presentation	on	9		
Defense/Defendant	Coley Gramma	Direct Examination		6		
Third Witness:	ANS	Cross-Examination	8			
		Witness Presentation	on	7		
CLOSING ARGUMENT	S	Nasil	101 8	6 75		
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.						
120	TIEBREAKER: (circ	cle one)		P or D		
-			(101)	(76)		

Team #2, Round 2 (D): Lost to Brookfield Academy #2 96-86, 94-93

Prosecution/Plaintiff:	1964 BAZ Defense/Respondent: 968 BCZ (Team I.D. #)
, ,	#2, R2-D
PERFORMANCE I	DECISION : In my opinion, the better mock trial performance was shown by (circle one):
	Prosecution/Plaintiff Defense/Respondent
COMMENTS:	Good hit to both tom:
1	never appert, we to smary
	" (lasing

			and the same		
Prosecution/Plaintiff:	1964 B	AZ Defense	e/Responde		BLZ
alala	(Téam I.D. #)				m I.D. #)
DATE: 2/9/19	+	2,22-D	ROUND:	(CIRCLE ONE)	(2) 3 4
	Using a scale of 1 to 1	0, rate the P and D		-	
	Do NOT use frac	ctional points nor a	ward zero	points.	
Not Effective	Fair	Good	E	xcellent	Outstanding
1-2	3-4	5-6		7-8	9-10
		7		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS	Taute.	/ Nabil Na	bil	10	9
Prosecution/Plaintiff		Direct Examinatio	T	9	
First Witness: Billie Vo	ne ANSh	Cross-Examination	Anch	15 TO 15	8
		Witness Presentat	ion	10	ACCOMPANIES OF THE PARIETY OF THE PA
Prosecution/Plaintiff		Direct Examinatio	n Achley	9	
Second Witness: Pouton	AllensonNasil	Cross-Examination	Manila		8
		Witness Presentat	ion	8	
Prosecution/Plaintiff		Direct Examinatio	nilance	10	
Third Witness: TOANA	McCarth Mag.	Cross-Examination	Mossie		10
	07	Witness Presentat	7/	10	3000
Defense/Defendant	ANSLO	Direct Examinatio	n Anch		8
	wamman	Cross-Examination		8	
116130)	VSAgart	Witness Presentat	1000		7
Defense/Defendant	Magais	Direct Examinatio	n Masgic		8
Second Witness: Sam	Smith	Cross-Examination	achee	8	
	trias	Witness Presentat	ion		7
Defense/Defendant	N. L.	Direct Examinatio	n Nabil		6
Third Witness: Tomor and	on Mossberg	Cross-Examination	Ashley	7	
trainy.	Aasly	Witness Presentat			1
CLOSING ARGUMENTS	Achlan	Marrie MA	9912	8	8
	ONS: Subtract Points for		1112	9	9
	TIEBREAKER: (circle or			(P)	or D
100	The state of the state of				
				96	86

Prosecution/Plaintiff:	1964 BA	7 Defense	e/Respondent:_	1916	BCC	
DATE: 2/9/19	(Team I.D. #)	1-00	DOLIND: (CII		m I.D. #)	
DATE: OH THE		LRZ-D	ROUND: (CII		(2) 3 4	
	Using a scale of 1 to 1 Do NOT use fra	ctional points nor a				
Not Effective	Fair	Good	Excell		Outstanding	
1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8		9-10	
			, PR	OSECUTION	DEFENSE	
OPENING STATEMENTS	Tayte/	Nabil No	abil	9	9	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	,	Direct Examinatio	nTayle	0		
First Witness: Billie	ANSh	Cross-Examination	111011		8	
A A STATE OF THE S		Witness Presentat	ion	(0)		
Prosecution/Plaintiff	. 1	Direct Examinatio	101111111111111111111111111111111111111	B		
Second Witness: Paylo	n Nabil	Cross-Examination		9	-	
		Witness Presentat		9		
Prosecution/Plaintiff	ΛΛοιον	Direct Examinatio		9	a	
Third Witness: Dana	oraggiz_	Cross-Examination Witness Presentat	THE REAL PROPERTY.	to		
Defense / Defendent	1 500		Control of the Contro	10	Q	
Defense/Defendant First Witness: Veller	14020	Direct Examinatio	The second second		8	
Thist withess. y le lock	BAMA	Witness Presentat	NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY.	-	10	
Defense/Defendant No	LTALORE?	Direct Examinatio	100000		7	
Company D	miton	Cross-Examination	The state of the s	1		
Aust	M Frag	Witness Presentat	ion	1	9	
Defense/Defendantma	wil Nasid	Direct Examinatio	n Magaic 1		7	
Third Witness: Sam	//	Cross-Examination	ashee	8		
Ria	S Austyr	Witness Presentat	ion		9	
CLOSING ARGUMENTS	Ashley/Ma	aggie Ma	2993	8	10	
DEDUC	DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.					
	TIEBREAKER: (circle one)				r D	
				94	93	
					G	

Team #2, Round 3 (P): Lost to Brookfield Central #3 83-79, 107-99

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1916 GCZ Defense/Respondent: 1917 BC3 DATE: 29 30 99 ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 3 4
TZ, R3-P
PERFORMANCE DECISION: In my opinion, the better mock trial performance was shown by (circle one):
Prosecution/Plaintiff Defense/Respondent
Very vice Presentation by both teams: Teams Very vice Presentation by both teams: Teams
Good use of time. Both teams were Good use of time. Breat knowledge of the
Good use of time. Dorn ledge of the pauld nicely. Great knowledge of the rules of evidence, nice objections.
17 11/2011 10 11/2011
and to angul your Position Strongly - believe in your Case!
Judge's Signature: Mya Morales
Judge's Signature:

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1916 BCZ	Defense/Respond	dent: 1917	BC3
DATE: 02/09/2019 (Team I.D. #)	2,R3=P ROUN	D: (CIRCLE ONE) 1	2 (3) 4
Using a scale of 1 to 10,	rate the P and D in the ca	tegories below.	
Do NOT use fracti	onal points nor award zer	o points.	
Not Effective Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding
1-2 3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10
OPENING STATEMENTS ANSW	Java	PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
A	Direct Examination	7	Q
Prosecution/Plaintiff ANSh (First Witness: Grada	Cross-Examination	71	7
VEdant	Witness Presentation	6	
Prosecution/Plaintiff Nabil	Direct Examination	8	Market State Control
Second Witness: B W	Cross-Examination		9
Aashy	Witness Presentation	8	
Prosecution/Plaintiff Massiz	Direct Examination	7	
Third Witness: Marval	Cross-Examination		8
Tijas	Witness Presentation	8	
Defense/Defendant Cynthia	Direct Examination		7
First Witness: Mes 972	Cross-Examination	7	
Maral	Witness Presentation		7
Defense/Defendant , Java	Direct Examination		8
Second Witness: Nato.	Cross-Examination	7	
8W	Witness Presentation		9
Defense/Defendant ASS EM	Direct Examination		7
Third Witness: ANSh	Cross-Examination	7	
Wita	Witness Presentation		6
CLOSING ARGUMENTS Nata		7	7
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rul	es violations.		
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)	P or	0
		(79)	(83)

	The state of the s		
Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1916 BC	Defense/Responde	ent:	BC3
(Team I.D. #)		(Tea	m I.D. #)
DATE: 29/19 T2	123 - P ROUND	: (CIRCLE ONE) 1	2 (3) 4
	rate the P and D in the cate	egories below.	
Do NOT use fracti	onal points nor award zero	points.	
Not Effective Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding
1-2 3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10
	1	PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS ANSW	Java	9	9
Prosecution/Plaintiff ANSh	Direct Examination	8	
First Witness: BILLY JONES Grada	Cross-Examination		9
vidont	Witness Presentation	7	
Prosecution/Plaintiff Nabi	Direct Examination	6	
Second Witness: PEYTON &W	Cross-Examination		10
Aashir	Witness Presentation	10	
Prosecution/Plaintiff Maggi	Direct Examination	8	
Third Witness: DANA maral	Cross-Examination		10
TEJas	Witness Presentation	. 8	
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		10
First Witness: Maggitam 5m 18H 1	Cross-Examination	10	
Maral	Witness Presentation		10
Defense/Defendant Java	Direct Examination		10
Second Witness: Now No M.	Cross-Examination	9	
BW	Witness Presentation		10
Defense/Defendant KELSE ASSEY	Direct Examination		10
Third Witness: ANSh	Cross-Examination	10	
Grita	Witness Presentation		10
CLOSING ARGUMENTS Nabi		9	9
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rul	es violations.		
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)	Po	r D
		94	107
Please deliver ballot to runner before debriefing.		NOTE: SCORES FINAL P	ENDING VERIFICATION.

Team #2, Round 4 (P): Lost to Brookfield Central #1 91-82, 91-79


	The state of the s
Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1916 GC2 DATE: 2-9-19 (Team I.D. #)	Defense/Respondent: 1915 & 1 (Team I.D. #) ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 3
T2, R1	
PERFORMANCE DECISION: In my opinion, the	e better mock trial performance was shown by (circle one):
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Defense/Respondent
COMMENTS:	
If possible - durit	read from nots, can be used to so chartist, the during read ve believe.
6	t durid read vehalm
Daferge - opering Gove	a cross examinations.

Prosecution/Plaintiff:	1916 3	a Defense/F	Respondent: 1915	Bc1
0/0/1	(Team I.D. #)	Deletise,	(Team I.D. #)
DATE: 2/9//	7 -	7.R4-P	ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE)	1 2 3 4
	Using a scale of 1 to 10			
-	Do NOT use fract	ional points nor aw	ard zero points.	The state of the s
Not Effective	Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding
1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10
		1	PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS	ANSh	A A	stru 8	10
Prosecution/Plaintiff	ANSh	Direct Examination	6	
First Witness:	ANdrew	Cross-Examination		8
Tones	VELOUT	Witness Presentation	n A	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Naso	Direct Examination	/ 8	
Second Witness:	Campron	Cross-Examination		9
=Allanson	Aashr	Witness Presentation	7	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Maggi	Direct Examination	7	
Third Witness:	44	Cross-Examination		27.
Mc Corthy	Tyas	Witness Presentation	n †	
Defense/Defendant	Camvon	Direct Examination		8
First Witness:	Nasi	Cross-Examination	7	
Mossher	ng Sourra	Witness Presentation	n	8
Defense/Defendant	his /	Direct Examination		7
Second Witness:	maggis	Cross-Examination	9	
Smith	Zmily	Witness Presentation	n	
Defense/Defendant	Androw	Direct Examination		8
Third Witness:	ANSh	Cross-Examination	7	
Gran	mar Adarsh	Witness Presentation	n	S
CLOSING ARGUMENTS	Nato	total Car	F have	9
DEDUC"	TIONS: Subtract Points for ru			P
	TIEBREAKER: (circle one	<u>e</u>)	P	or D
			(79)	91)

		Al .	
Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1916 (Team I.D. #)	Defense/Respo		BC 1
DATE: 146. 9, 2019	DU-P ROL	JND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1	2 3 (4)
	, rate the P and D in the		
	ional points nor award z		
Not Effective Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding
1-2 3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10
and the state of t		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS ANSK	ANdrow	8	9
Prosecution/Plaintiff ANS	Direct Examination	7	
First Witness: Billi ANdrew	Cross-Examination		8
VELONT	Witness Presentation	8	
Prosecution/Plaintiff Vals,	Direct Examination	4	
Second Witness: Person Camperon	Cross-Examination		8
Hashir	Witness Presentation	8	
Prosecution/Plaintiff Magaz	Direct Examination	+	
Third Witness: Dana Graachy	Cross-Examination		8
Trias	Witness Presentation	8	
Defense/Defendant Camboon	Direct Examination		8
First Witness: Reming Cals 25 Arg	Cross-Examination	27	
Savia	Witness Presentation		9
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		7
Second Witness: Sam Smaggiz	Cross-Examination	8	
Surly	Witness Presentation		1
Defense/Defendant Avaluation	Direct Examination		8
Third Witness:	Cross-Examination	+	a
Adarsh	Witness Presentation		
CLOSING ARGUMENTS		1 8	10
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for ru	lles violations.	0	
TIEBREAKER: (circle one	e)	Po	r D
. •		(87)	91
		VL	

Team #3 Summary

Fill out for ea	ach team and hand out with the score	sheets.		
Team #:	1917	Team Name:	Brookfield	Central



<u>Team #3, Round 1 (D): Lost to Waukesha South #2 96-76, 92-87</u>

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 2024 Defense/Respondent: 19/78C3
Prosecution/Plaintiff:
T3 R1-D
PERFORMANCE DECISION: In my opinion, the better mock trial performance was shown by (circle one):
Prosecution/Plaintiff Defense/Respondent
COMMENTS:
Flaintiff Tentestic opening Statement The direct
examinat, in s were concise and flowed well.
The cross-examinations distinguished the training
from the defense in that it was directly responsive
to the direct examination.
Definse- also did a remarkable job. Both the opening and closing Statements were very compelling
I lies is a very close call, however, the frostentours
Choss examination skills put them on top.
Judge's Signature:

Prosecution/Plaintiff:	2024 Wants	Sh Defense/Respo	ondent: 1917	Bc3
DATE: 219119	(Team I.D. #50)	T3. P1-D ROL	JND: (CIRCLE ONE)	am I.D. #)
,	Using a scale of 1 to 10,	T 3 K-1		9- 3 -
		onal points nor award z		
Not Effective	Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding
1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10
			PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS		iava	8	8
Prosecution/Plaintiff		Direct Examination	6	
First Witness:	Jones Abbry	Cross-Examination		+
		Witness Presentation	8	
Prosecution/Plaintiff		Direct Examination	9	
Second Witness:	NEGOTINA	Cross-Examination		7
	0	Witness Presentation	10	
Prosecution/Plaintiff		Direct Examination	8	
Third Witness:	AJava	Cross-Examination		8
		Witness Presentation	9	4 4 4 4 4 4
Defense/Defendant	Cypthia	Direct Examination		6
First Witness:	SMITH	Cross-Examination	9	
	Maral	Witness Presentation		7
Defense/Defendant	Java	Direct Examination		8
Second Witness:	Mossberc	Cross-Examination	9	
	BW	Witness Presentation		7
Defense/Defendant	Asbry	Direct Examination		6
Third Witness:	rummal	Cross-Examination	9	
	Greta	Witness Presentation		6
CLOSING ARGUMENTS			9	6
DEDUCTI	ONS: Subtract Points for rul	es violations.	- Cappaines - Committee	aurent .
	TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		(P)	or D
			96	70
		and the second	16	76

Prosecution/Plaintiff: _	20246	which Befense,	/Responden	: 191	7 BC3
2 /9 /19	(Team I.D. #)	th Z	DOLIND	(Tea	m I.D. #)
DATE: 2 /9 /19		3.R.1-D		CIRCLE ONE)	12 3 4
	Using a scale of 1 to 10	i, rate the P and D i			
	DO NOT use maci				
Not Effective	Fair	Good		ellent	Outstanding
1-2	3-4	5-6		7-8	9-10
ODENING STATEMENT		7000		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS		Java	Contain the National Address of the Con-	0	8
Prosecution/Plaintiff		Direct Examination		7	
First Witness:	Abbig	Cross-Examination			+
		Witness Presentation		9	
Prosecution/Plaintiff		Direct Examination	100	8	
Second Witness:	Cynthia	Cross-Examination	100		9
1) @	a ma	Witness Presentation	on	10	
Prosecution/Plaintiff		Direct Examination		*	
Third Witness:	ton Jana	Cross-Examination			7
Officer &		Witness Presentation	on	7	
Defense/Defendant	Cynthia	Direct Examination			8
First Witness: San	~ Smith	Cross-Examination		9	
	maral	Witness Presentation	on		7
Defense/Defendant	Java	Direct Examination			8
Second Witness: Rem	main Monbug	Cross-Examination		9	
	652	Witness Presentation	on		8
Defense/Defendant	Assen	Direct Examination			8
Third Witness:	sen brannar	Cross-Examination		9	
	Greta	Witness Presentation	on		9
CLOSING ARGUMENTS				9	8
DEDUC	CTIONS: Subtract Points for ru	ıles violations.			
	TIEBREAKER: (circle on	e)		(P) o	r D
	en ma coloration	P-93	0-8	+97	87

Team #3, Round 2 (P): Def. Kettle Moraine #2: 86-77, 87-75

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1917 B 3 Defense/Respondent: 265 F277 E DATE: Feb 9 8019 Defense/Respondent: 265 F277 E ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2) 3 4
T3, R2-7
PERFORMANCE DECISION: In my opinion, the better mock trial performance was shown by (circle one):
Prosecution/Plaintiff Defense/Respondent
COMMENTS:
M-Good all around. Excellent preparation, limited use of notes. Good use of exhibits. Attorneys handled witnesses very well. Closing was great - used Darguments and spun them.
D-Good job. Good attempts at objections, just be more assertive withem. Burantons Withess Preparation was very good.
1010

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1917 BC3 Defense/Respondent: 2005 k2418 (Team I.D. #) (Team I.D. #) MORGINS DATE: February 9 2019 T3 RZ- P ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 3 4 Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below. Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.
Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
Do NOT use fractional points per award zero points
Do Not use fractional points not award zero points.
Not Effective Fair Good Excellent Outstanding
1-2 3-4 5-6 7-8 9-10
PROSECUTION DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS TOWA 6 7
Prosecution/Plaintiff Abbay Direct Examination
First Witness: Billie Janes Cross-Examination
Witness Presentation
Prosecution/Plaintiff Java Direct Examination
Second Witness: Payton A Manson Cross-Examination
₿ ₩itness Presentation #
Prosecution/Plaintiff Cynthia Direct Examination 8
Third Witness: Jana McCarthy Cross-Examination Wowal Witness Presentation
Defense/Defendant Direct Examination
First Witness: Reminden Down Cross-Examination Witness Presentation
Witness Presentation Defense/Defendant Direct Examination
Second Witness: Sam Santha Cross-Examination
Witness Presentation 7
Defense/Defendant Direct Examination
Third Witness: // a / c/// Add Sharm Cross-Examination
Witness Presentation 8
CLOSING ARGUMENTS
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)
66 37
00 14

Prosecution/Plaintiff:	(Team I.D. #)	3 Defense/Resp	(Tea	5 Kethe am.D. #) Morains
DATE:	T3	122-P RO	OUND: (CIRCLE ONE)	2 3 4
	Using a scale of 1 to 10			25
	Do NOT use fract	ional points nor award	zero points.	
Not Effective	Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding
1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10
OPENING STATEMENTS	CHEACHTER CONTRACTOR	Java	PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
	A116		- 8	6
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Abbay	Direct Examination Cross-Examination	- 6	
First Witness: 1/1/2	Greta	Witness Presentation	q	T
Prosecution/Plaintiff	AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF	Direct Examination		
Second Witness: Pe under	Java	Cross-Examination	T	6
Second Withess.	RSW	Witness Presentation	A	0
Prosecution/Plaintiff		Direct Examination	1 2	
Third Witness:	Cy Mrsa	Cross-Examination		7
	maral	Witness Presentation	8	
Defense/Defendant		Direct Examination		7
First Witness: Rom 19	on Taxono	*Cross-Examination	8	
	()	Witness Presentation		8
Defense/Defendant		Direct Examination		6
Second Witness:	Ly Mara	Cross-Examination	X	
		Witness Presentation	0	7
Defense/Defendant	(Sey Gampar	Direct Examination		7
Third Witness:	ASSEN	Cross-Examination	7	
		Witness Presentation		8
CLOSING ARGUMENTS			9	8
DEDUCT	IONS: Subtract Points for ru	les violations.		-7
	TIEBREAKER: (circle one	2)	(P)	or D
			87	75

Team #3, Round 3 (D): Def. Brookfield Central #2 83-79, 107-99

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1916 BCZ Defense/Respondent: 1917 BC3 DATE: ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 (3) 4
DATE: ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 3 4
T3, R3-⊅
PERFORMANCE DECISION: In my opinion, the better mock trial performance was shown by (circle one):
Prosecution/Plaintiff Defense/Respondent
COMMENTS:
Very me fresculation by both teams: Teams
Great use of exhibits.
Property matched, Great use of exhibits.
Good use of time. Both teams were
paced incely. Great mouledge of the rules of evidence, nice objections.
some nice objections.
Yules of eviacous.
La ICC. MUNA MATERIA
and to argue your positions Strongly - believe in your Cose!
Strongly - believe in your cost!
1. 1
Judge's Signature: huma humales

Prosecution/Plaintiff: _	1916 BC	2 Defense	Respondent: 191	7 8 6 3			
DATE: 02/09/	(Team I.D. #)	P3-D	ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE)	(Team I.D. #) 1 2 (3) 4			
			n the categories below.				
	Do NOT use trac	ctional points nor av	ward zero points.				
Not Effective	Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding			
1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10			
			PROSECUTIO	N DEFENSE			
OPENING STATEMENTS	ANS	and the second s	4	8			
Prosecution/Plaintiff	ANSh	Direct Examination	7				
First Witness:	Assey	Cross-Examination		7			
	Velant	Witness Presentation	on 6				
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Nasil	Direct Examination	8				
Second Witness:	Java	Cross-Examination		9			
	Hashir	Witness Presentation	on 8				
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Maggiz	Direct Examination	7				
Third Witness:	Cartina	Cross-Examination		8			
	Trias	Witness Presentation	on 8				
Defense/Defendant	Cystria	Direct Examination		7			
First Witness:	Maggiz.	Cross-Examination	7				
	montal	Witness Presentation	on	7			
Defense/Defendant	Tanka	Direct Examination		8			
Second Witness:	Nasi	Cross-Examination	7				
	BW	Witness Presentation	on	9			
Defense/Defendant	Abban	Direct Examination		7			
Third Witness:	Arch	Cross-Examination	7				
	Gretu	Witness Presentation	on	6			
CLOSING ARGUMENTS		060	2	7			
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.							
	TIEBREAKER: (circle o		P or D				
	Tiebrie (circle o		(-6)	(63)			
			79	(83)			
Dlasca dalivar hallat ta rur	nor hotoro dobriofina		MULTE: SUURES EI	NAI DENDING VERIFICATION			

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below. Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.		CZ Defense/Respond		BC3
Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below. Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points. Not Effective Fair Good Excellent Outstanding 1-2 3-4 5-6 7-8 9-10 PROSECUTION DEFENSE 9-10 PROSECUTION DIFFENSE 9-10 PROSECUTION DIFFENSE 9-10 Prosecution/Plaintiff Answ Direct Examination Usiness Presentation Usiness	019 119 (Team I.D. #)	T= 0.2		8
Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points. Not Effective Fair Good Excellent Outstanding 1-2 3-4 5-6 7-8 9-10 PROSECUTION DEFENSE 9-10 Prosecution/Plaintiff ANS Direct Examination	DAIL.	1 110/		2 (3) 4
Not Effective Fair Good Excellent Outstanding 1-2 3-4 5-6 7-8 9-10 PROSECUTION DEFENSE PROSECUTION DEFENSE OPENING STATEMENTS A SWARD Direct Examination B First Witness: Direct Examination Direct Examination Cross-Examination Direct Examination B DIRECT Examin			-	
1-2 3-4 5-6 7-8 9-10 PROSECUTION DEFENSE Prosecution/Plaintiff First Witness: Direct Examination Witness Presentation Prosecution/Plaintiff Second Witness: Direct Examination Witness Presentation Direct Examination Witness Presentation Direct Examination Witness Presentation Prosecution/Plaintiff Magya Direct Examination Witness Presentation Prosecution/Plaintiff Magya Direct Examination Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Defense/Defendant Cyama Direct Examination Witness Presentation Defense/Defendant Direct Examination Defense/Defendant Direct Examination Witness Presentation Defense/Defendant Direct Examination Defense/Defendant Direct Examination Direct Examination Defense/Defendant Dir				
PROSECUTION DEFENSE OPENING STATEMENTS Prosecution/Plaintiff First Witness: 1912 of Abbay Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Prosecution/Plaintiff Second Witness: 1912 of Abbay Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Prosecution/Plaintiff August Witness Presentation Prosecution/Plaintiff August Direct Examination Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Direct Examination Witness Presentation Defense/Defendant First Witness: Maggist of Cross-Examination Defense/Defendant Second Witness: Cross-Examination Defense/Defendant Direct Examination Witness Presentation Defense/Defendant Direct Examination Witness Presentation Direct Examination Witness Presentation Defense/Defendant Direct Examination Witness Presentation Witness Presentation Direct Examination Witness Presentation Witness Presentation Defense/Defendant Witness: Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Defense/Defendant Witness: Presentation Direct Examination Witness Presentation Witness Presentation Direct Examination Witness Presentation Por D				
Prosecution/Plaintiff First Witness: 15 Lay 10 Abbay Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Prosecution/Plaintiff Second Witness: 15 Lay 10 Abbay Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Prosecution/Plaintiff Second Witness: 15 Lay 10 Abbay Cross-Examination Second Witness: 15 Lay 10 Abbay Cross-Examination Second Witness: 15 Lay 10 Abbay Cross-Examination Second Witness: 16 Lay 10 Abbay Cross-Examination Second Witness: 17 Cross-Examination Second Witness: 18 Lay 10 Abbay Cross-Examination Second Witness Cross	1-2 3-4	5-0		
Prosecution/Plaintiff First Witness: Black Jorabs and Cross-Examination Prosecution/Plaintiff Second Witness: Direct Examination Witness Presentation Prosecution/Plaintiff Second Witness: Direct Examination Witness Presentation Prosecution/Plaintiff Maga Direct Examination Witness Presentation Prosecution/Plaintiff Maga Direct Examination Witness: Direct Examination Direct Examination Witness Presentation Direct Examination Witness Presentation Defense/Defendant Second Witness: Direct Examination Witness Presentation Defense/Defendant Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Defense/Defendant Direct Examination Por D Defense/Defendant Direct Examination D Defense/Defendant D Defense/Defendant D D D D D D D D D D D D D	OPENING STATEMENTS A NEXT	Fensa	PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
First Witness: Black Jonatoban Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Prosecution/Plaintiff Second Witness: John Tawa Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Prosecution/Plaintiff Maga Direct Examination Witness Presentation Prosecution/Plaintiff Maga Direct Examination Witness: Witness: Witness Presentation Defense/Defendant First Witness: Waga The Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Defense/Defendant Second Witness: Witness: Witness Presentation Defense/Defendant Second Witness: Witness: Witness Presentation Defense/Defendant Second Witness Presentation Defense/Defendant Second Witness Presentation Third Witness: Witness Presentation Output Examination Witness Presentation Output Examination Outp		1	8	STATE SOME SOME
Prosecution/Plaintiff Second Witness: Prosecution/Plaintiff Second Witness: Prosecution/Plaintiff AuShin Witness Presentation Prosecution/Plaintiff AuShin Witness Presentation Direct Examination Witness: Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Defense/Defendant First Witness: Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Direct Examination Witness Presentation Direct Examination Witness Presentation Defense/Defendant Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Defense/Defendant Cross-Examination Direct Examination Witness Presentation Obefense/Defendant Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Witness Presentation Obefense/Defendant Third Witness: Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Obefense/Defendant Third Witness: Cross-Examination Port D	TO ALAST			9
Second Witness: Aus Witness Presentation Prosecution/Plaintiff Aug Direct Examination Third Witness: Defense/Defendant First Witness: Defense/Defendant Second Witness: Defense/Defendant Direct Examination Direct Examination Cross-Examination Direct Examination Direct Examination Cross-Examination Direct Examination Defense/Defendant Second Witness: Cross-Examination Defense/Defendant Direct Examination Cross-Examination Direct Examination Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Direct Examination Cross-Examination Defense/Defendant Direct Examination Witness Presentation Direct Examination Third Witness: Cross-Examination Third Witness: Cross-Examination Third Witness: Cross-Examination Direct Examination Direct Examination Third Witness: Cross-Examination Direct Examination Third Witness: Cross-Examination Direct Examination Direct Examination Third Witness: Cross-Examination Por D	VSAMA		7	
Second Witness: Aus Witness Presentation Prosecution/Plaintiff Aug Direct Examination Third Witness: Defense/Defendant First Witness: Defense/Defendant Second Witness: Defense/Defendant Direct Examination Direct Examination Cross-Examination Direct Examination Direct Examination Cross-Examination Direct Examination Defense/Defendant Second Witness: Cross-Examination Defense/Defendant Direct Examination Cross-Examination Direct Examination Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Direct Examination Cross-Examination Defense/Defendant Direct Examination Witness Presentation Direct Examination Third Witness: Cross-Examination Third Witness: Cross-Examination Third Witness: Cross-Examination Direct Examination Direct Examination Third Witness: Cross-Examination Direct Examination Third Witness: Cross-Examination Direct Examination Direct Examination Third Witness: Cross-Examination Por D	Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	6	
Prosecution/Plaintiff Magg, 2 Direct Examination Third Witness: DEFENSE Prosentation Defense/Defendant First Witness: Magg, 2 Direct Examination Defense/Defendant First Witness: Magg, 2 Direct Examination Witness Presentation Defense/Defendant Second Witness: Cross-Examination Defense/Defendant Second Witness: Cross-Examination Defense/Defendant Direct Examination Witness Presentation Direct Examination Witness Presentation Defense/Defendant Direct Examination Witness Presentation P or D	(1000)			10
Prosecution/Plaintiff Magg Direct Examination Third Witness: DANA Cyntha Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Defense/Defendant First Witness: Magg Direct Examination Witness Presentation Defense/Defendant Second Witness: Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Defense/Defendant Second Witness: Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Defense/Defendant Witness Presentation Witness Presentation O Defense/Defendant Third Witness: Cross-Examination Witness Presentation O Defense/Defendant Third Witness: Cross-Examination Third Witness: Cross-Examination Witness Presentation O CLOSING ARGUMENTS DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations. TIEBREAKER: (circle one) P or D	Austri	Witness Presentation	10	
Third Witness: Witness Presentation Witness Presentation Defense/Defendant Cyntha Direct Examination First Witness: Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Defense/Defendant Direct Examination Second Witness: Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Defense/Defendant Direct Examination Witness Presentation Defense/Defendant Direct Examination Witness Presentation Cross-Examination Defense/Defendant Direct Examination Third Witness: Cross-Examination Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Cross-Examination Third Witness: Presentation Cross-Examination Tiebre Arguments P or D	Prosecution/Plaintiff (GGA)	Direct Examination	8	
Defense/Defendant First Witness: Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Defense/Defendant Second Witness: Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Defense/Defendant Witness Presentation Defense/Defendant Cross-Examination Defense/Defendant Direct Examination Witness Presentation O Defense/Defendant Cross-Examination Direct Examination Witness Presentation O Cross-Examination Direct Examination O Defense/Defendant Third Witness: Cross-Examination Witness Presentation O CLOSING ARGUMENTS DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations. TIEBREAKER: (circle one) P or D		Cross-Examination		(0
First Witness:			8	
First Witness:	Defense/Defendant Cy JTu7	Direct Examination	Residence of the second	10
Defense/Defendant Second Witness: Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Defense/Defendant Third Witness: Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Cross-Examination Witness: Cross-Examination Witness Presentation CLOSING ARGUMENTS DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations. TIEBREAKER: (circle one) Direct Examination Witness Presentation P or D			18	
Second Witness: Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Defense/Defendant Third Witness: Cross-Examination Cross-Examination Witness Presentation CLOSING ARGUMENTS DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations. TIEBREAKER: (circle one) P or D	mara	Witness Presentation	The state of the state of	10
By Witness Presentation Defense/Defendant Third Witness: Cross-Examination Cross-Examination Witness Presentation Closing Arguments DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations. TIEBREAKER: (circle one) P or D	Defense/Defendant Towa	Direct Examination		10
Defense/Defendant Third Witness: Angle Cross-Examination Witness Presentation CLOSING ARGUMENTS DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations. TIEBREAKER: (circle one) Direct Examination (O) P or D	Second Witness: REAN A.	Cross-Examination	9	
Third Witness: A NGL Cross-Examination Witness Presentation CLOSING ARGUMENTS PEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations. TIEBREAKER: (circle one) P or D	BW	Witness Presentation		10
CLOSING ARGUMENTS DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations. TIEBREAKER: (circle one) P or D	Defense/Defendant KELSASSEM	Direct Examination		(0
CLOSING ARGUMENTS DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations. TIEBREAKER: (circle one) P or D	Third Witness: A NSW	Cross-Examination	10	
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations. TIEBREAKER: (circle one) P or D	Gota	Witness Presentation		(0)
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)	CLOSING ARGUMENTS Note	N	9	9
	DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points fo	or rules violations.	-	
99 107	TIEBREAKER: (circle	one)	Po	or D
			99	107

Team #3, Round 4 (D): Lost to Kettle Moraine #1 99-82, 99-94

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 2004 FEXTE	Defense/Respond		BC3
Prosecution/Plaintiff: (Team I.D. #)	SPAINCE		
DATE: 0/9/19		D: (CIRCLE ONE)	1 2 3 (4)
	rate the P and D in the car		
Do NOT use tracti	onal points nor award zer	o points.	
Not Effective Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding
1-2 3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10
		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS	Java	8	7
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	7	
First Witness: P Allarson Java	Cross-Examination		7
	Witness Presentation	8	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	9	
Second Witness: R Sones ASS Eur	Cross-Examination		9
Same and the second of the sec	Witness Presentation	9	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	7	
Third Witness: Day Cynthol	Cross-Examination	THE STATE OF	7
	Witness Presentation	8	
Defense/Defendant Gastor	Direct Examination		7
First Witness:	Cross-Examination	8	
morral	Witness Presentation		8
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		7
Second Witness: R Massless	Cross-Examination	9	
BSW	Witness Presentation		7
Defense/Defendant ALLS. A	Direct Examination		0
Third Witness:	Cross-Examination	0	0
Orania t	Witness Presentation	D	
CLOSING ADCUMENTS		Ò	7
CLOSING ARGUMENTS		8	0
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rul		contrastens	prior state (see
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)	P	or D
		99	(87)

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 2004 Futh	Defense/Respond		17 BC3				
Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below. Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points. (Team I.D. #) ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 3 4							
Not Effective Fair		Excellent	Outstanding				
1-2 3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10				
OPENING STATEMENTS	Java	PROSECUTION	DEFENSE				
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	9					
First Witness: Peyton Allen Satava	Cross-Examination		8				
	Witness Presentation	9					
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	9					
Second Witness: BILLIE DO ASSEN	Cross-Examination		8				
	Witness Presentation	9					
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	9					
Third Witness: Dana McCar Gyathra	Cross-Examination	1	9				
0	Witness Presentation	10					
Defense/Defendant Cy Stura	Direct Examination		9				
First Witness: Sam Smith	Cross-Examination	q					
maral	Witness Presentation	'	9				
Defense/Defendant Towa	Direct Examination		8				
Second Witness: Remorton Mossberg	Cross-Examination	O .					
BEN	Witness Presentation		9				
Defense/Defendant ASSEM	Direct Examination		9"				
Third Witness: (ELSEY ERAMMAR	Cross-Examination	9					
Greta	Witness Presentation		9				
CLOSING ARGUMENTS		8	8				
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rule	es violations.	Processing .	-				
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)).	(P) o	r D				
		(99)	(94)				

Round by Round Results

Place	<u>Team</u>	Round 1	Round 2	Round 3	Round 4	W-L	Ballots	Points
1	BA #1	KM #2 (W 3-0, 201-162)	Ocon #1 (W 3-0, 205-175)	Arrow (W 3-0, 206-172)	BA #2 (W 3-0, 205-190)	4-0	12	809
2	Waukesha South #2	BC #3 (W 3-0, 188-163)	KM #1 (L 1-2, 180-198)	WS #1 (W 3-0, 178-165)	Arrow (W 3-0, 196-190)	3-1	10	742
3	BC #1	WS #1 (W 3-0, 188-152)	Arrow (L 1-2, 190-193)	Ocon #2 (W 3-0 177-166)	BC #2 (W 3-0, 182-161)	3-1	10	731
4	Kettle Moraine #1	Ocon #2 (W 3-0, 167-145)	WS #2 (W 2-1, 198-180)	BA #2 (L 1-2, 164-173)	BC #3 (W 3-0, 188-176)	3-1	9	717
5	BA #2	Ocon #1 (W 2-1, 167-145)	BC #2 (W 3-0, 190-179)	KM #1 (W 2-1, 173-164)	BA #1 (L 0-3, 190-205)	3-1	7	719
6	Wauwatosa West	BC #2 (L 0-3, 167-195)	WS #1 (? 162-150)	Ocon #1 (W 2-1, 187-175)	Ocon #2 (W 3-0, 162-142)	2-2	6	678
7	BC #3	WS #2 (L 0-3, 163-188)	KM #2 (W 3-0, 173-152)	BC #2 (W 2-1, 190-173)	KM #1 (L 0-3, 176-188)	2-2	5	702
8	Waukesha South #1	BC #1 (L 0-3, 152-188)	TW (? 150-162)	WS #2 (L 0-3, 165-178)	KM #2 (W 3-0, 194-168)	2-2	5	661
9	Arrowhead	Bye	BC #1 (W 2-1, 193-190)	BA #1 (L 0-3, 172-206)	WS #2 (L 0-3, 190-196)	1-2	2	740
10	BC #2	Tosa West (W 3-0, 195-167)	BA #2 (L 0-3, 179-190)	BC #3 (L 1-2, 173-190)	BC #1 (L 0-3, 161-182)	1-3	4	708
11	Oconomowoc #1	BA #2 (L 1-2, 145-167)	BA #1 (L 0-3, 175-205)	TW (L 1-2, 175-187)	Bye	0-3	2	679
12	Kettle Moraine #2	BA #1 (L 0-3, 162-201)	BC #3 (L 0-3, 152-173)	Bye	WS #1 (L 0-3, 168-194)	0-3	0	643
13	Oconomowoc #2	KM #1 (L 0-3, 145-167)	Bye	BC #1 (L 0-3, 166-177)	TW (L 0-3, 142-162)	0-3	О	604

Mock Trial Scoring Summary

Waukesha Regional - 2/9/2019

1	Team 1963	W/3/201	W/3/205	W/3/206	W/3/197	4-0	12	809.00
2	Team 2024	W/3/188	L/1/180	W/3/178	W/3/196	3-1	10	742.00
3	Team 1915	W/3/182	L/1/190	W/3/177	W/3/182	3-1	10	731.00
	Team 2004	W/3/167	W/2/198	L/1/164	W/3/188	3-1	9	717.00
	Team 1964	W/2/167	W/3/190	W/2/173	L/0/189	3-1	7	719.00
	Team 1943	L/0/167	L/1/162	W/2/187	W/3/162	2-2	6	678.00
	Team 1917	L/0/163	W/3/173	W/2/190	L/0/176	2-2	5	702.00
	Team 2023	L/0/152	W/2/150	L/0/165	W/3/194	2-2	5	661.00
	Team 1945	Bye / 0 / 185	W/2/193	L/0/172	L/0/190	2-2	2	740.00
	Team 1916	W/3/195	L/0/179	L/1/173	L/0/161	1 - 3	4	708.00
	Team 2020	L/1/159	L/0/175	L/1/175	Bye / 0 / 170	1-3	2	679.00
	Team 2005	L/0/162	L/0/152	Bye / 0 / 161	L/0/168	1 - 3	0	643.00
	Team 2021	L/0/145	Bye / 0 / 151	L/0/166	L/0/142	1-3	0	604.00

Points awarded to a Bye round are subject to change. Bye round scoring is automatically adjusted throughout the competition.

1915: BC 1 1916: BC 2 1917: BC 3

1943: Tosa West 1945: Arrowhead

1963: BA 1 1964: BA 2

2004: Kettle Moraine 1 2005: Kettle Moraine 2 2020: Oconomowoc 1 2021: Oconomowoc 2 2023: Waukesha South 1 2024: Waukesha South 2