Table of Contents

Franklin (1/5/19)

Team #1: 2-1, 2nd place

Def. Milwaukee Pius 79-73

Lost to Franklin #2 82-82, judge decision

Def. Mayville 66-60

Team #3: 1-2, DNP

Lost to Nicolet #1 92-82

Lost to Beaver Dam 71-67

Def. Nicolet #3 72-69

Brookfield Academy (1/19/19)

Team #1

Round 1 (P): Defeated Shorewood #3 90-80

Round 2 (D): Defeated Whitefish Bay #3 87-69

Round 3 (P): Lost to Shorewood #1 80-80, judge's tiebreak

Team #2

Round 1 (D): Lost to Whitefish Bay #2 90-78

Round 2 (P): Lost to Nicolet #1 74-73

Round 3 (D): Lost to Nicolet #3 70-66

Team #3

Round 1 (P): Lost to Shorewood #2 92-79

Round 2 (D): Defeated Dodgeland #1 78-70

Round 3 (D): Defeated Nicolet #2 79-75

Bay Port (1/26/19)

Team #1

Round 1 (P): Defeated Berlin #1

Round 2 (D): Defeated Wausau West #1

Round 3 (D): Defeated Bay Port #1

Team #2

Round 1 (D): Lost to DC Everest #2

Round 2 (P): Lost to Lakeland Union

Round 3 (P) Lost to Wausau West #1

Team #3

Round 1 (D): Lost to Rhinelander #1

Round 2 (P): Lost to Appleton Xavier #2

Round 3 (D): Lost to Appleton West #1

Regionals (Round by round results)

Team #1: Summary
Round 1 (P): Def. Waukesha South #1 91-76, 91-76
Round 2 (D): Lost to Arrowhead 95-94, 98-96
Round 3 (P): Def. Oconomowoc #2 78-74, 99-92
Round 4 (D): Def. Brookfield Central #2 91-82, 91-79

Team #2: Summary
Round 1 (P): Def. Wauwatosa West 101-76, 94-91
Round 2 (D): Lost to Brookfield Academy #2 96-86, 94-93
Round 3 (P): Lost to Brookfield Central #3 83-79, 107-99
Round 4 (P): Lost to Brookfield Central #1 91-82, 91-79

Team #3: Summary
Round 1 (D): Lost to Waukesha South #2 96-76, 92-87
Round 2 (P): Def. Kettle Moraine #2: 86-77, 87-75
Round 3 (D): Def. to Brookfield Central #2 83-79, 107-99
Round 4 (D): Lost to Kettle Moraine #1 99-82, 99-94

Franklin (1/5/19)

Team #1: Round #1 (def. Milwaukee Pius 79-73)

Wisconsin High School
MOCK TRIAL TOURNAMENT

SCORE SHEET

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1969 (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: BC (Team I.D. #)

DATE: 1·5·19 ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 3 4

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective	Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding
1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10

		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS		7	6
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	8	
First Witness:	Cross-Examination		6
	Witness Presentation	9	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	4	
Second Witness:	Cross-Examination		7
	Witness Presentation	6	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	7	
Third Witness:	Cross-Examination		4
	Witness Presentation	8	
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		9
First Witness:	Cross-Examination	7	
	Witness Presentation		9
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		8 7
Second Witness:	Cross-Examination	4	
	Witness Presentation		7
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		7
Third Witness:	Cross-Examination	7	
	Witness Presentation		10
CLOSING ARGUMENTS		9 6	9 7
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.			
TOTAL SCORE: Add scores in each column.		73	79
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		P or (D)	

Team #1: Round #2 (lost to Franklin #2, 82-82, judge decision)

Wisconsin High School
MOCK TRIAL TOURNAMENT
SCORE SHEET

Prosecution/Plaintiff: [illegible] BC (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: [illegible] 1941 (Team I.D. #)

DATE: Jan 5th ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 (2) 3 4

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective	Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding
1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10

		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS		8	7
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	9	
First Witness: PA	Cross-Examination		7
	Witness Presentation	9	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	8	
Second Witness: BJ	Cross-Examination		8
	Witness Presentation	6	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	7	
Third Witness: VM	Cross-Examination		9
	Witness Presentation	9	
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		6
First Witness: SS	Cross-Examination	8	
	Witness Presentation		5
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		8
Second Witness: RM	Cross Examination	7	
	Witness Presentation		7
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		8
Third Witness: KG	Cross-Examination	8	
	Witness Presentation		9
CLOSING ARGUMENTS		6	8
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.			
TOTAL SCORE: Add scores in each column.		82	82
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		P or (D)	

Team #1: Round #3 (def. Mayville 66-60)

Wisconsin High School
MOCK TRIAL TOURNAMENT
SCORE SHEET

Mayville

Prosecution/Plaintiff: [redacted] (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: Brookfield Central 1 (Team I.D. #)

DATE: Mock 1/15/14 ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 3 4

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective	Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding
1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10

		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS		5	5
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	5	
First Witness:	Cross-Examination		6
	Witness Presentation	6	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	6	
Second Witness:	Cross-Examination		6
	Witness Presentation	6	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	5	
Third Witness:	Cross-Examination		6
	Witness Presentation	5	
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		6
First Witness:	Cross-Examination	6	
	Witness Presentation		7
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		6
Second Witness:	Cross-Examination	6	
	Witness Presentation		6
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		6
Third Witness:	Cross-Examination	7	
	Witness Presentation		6
CLOSING ARGUMENTS		6	6
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.		-3	
TOTAL SCORE: Add scores in each column.		60	66
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		P or D	

Team #3: Round 1 (lost to Nicolet #1 92-82)

Wisconsin High School
MOCK TRIAL TOURNAMENT
SCORE SHEET

Prosecution/Plaintiff: Brookfield Central 2 (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: Nicolet 1 (Team I.D. #)

DATE: 1/5/2019 ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 0 2 3 4

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective	Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding
1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10

		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS		7	9
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	8	
First Witness:	Cross-Examination		7
	Witness Presentation	8	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	8	
Second Witness:	Cross-Examination		9
	Witness Presentation	8	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	9	
Third Witness:	Cross-Examination		9
	Witness Presentation	8	
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		8
First Witness:	Cross-Examination	8	
	Witness Presentation		8
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		9
Second Witness:	Cross-Examination	8	
	Witness Presentation		9
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		8
Third Witness:	Cross-Examination	8	
	Witness Presentation		8
CLOSING ARGUMENTS		7	8
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.			
TOTAL SCORE: Add scores in each column.		82	92
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		P or (D)	

Please deliver ballot to runner before debriefing.

NOTE: SCORES FINAL PENDING VERIFICATION.

Team #3: Round 2 (lost to Beaver Dam #1 71-67)

Wisconsin High School
MOCK TRIAL TOURNAMENT
SCORE SHEET

Prosecution/Plaintiff: Beaver Dam (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: BC #2 (Team I.D. #)

DATE: 1-5-19 ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 3 4

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective	Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding
1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10

		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS		5	7
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	6	
First Witness: Bill Jones	Cross-Examination		6
	Witness Presentation	6	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	5	
Second Witness: dana McCarthy	Cross-Examination		8
	Witness Presentation	7	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	8	
Third Witness: Peyton Allanson	Cross-Examination		7
	Witness Presentation	8	
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		7
First Witness: Sam Smith	Cross-Examination	4	
	Witness Presentation		6
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		4
Second Witness: Mossberg	Cross-Examination	9	
	Witness Presentation		5
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		6
Third Witness: Grammar	Cross-Examination	6	
	Witness Presentation		6
CLOSING ARGUMENTS		9	5
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.		-3	
TOTAL SCORE: Add scores in each column.		71	67
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		(P) or D	

Team #3: Round 3 (Def. Nicolet #3 72-69)

Wisconsin High School
MOCK TRIAL TOURNAMENT

SCORE SHEET

Prosecution/Plaintiff: Brookfield Central 2 (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: Nicolet 3 (Team I.D. #)

DATE: 1/5/19 ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 3 4

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective	Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding
1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10

		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS		6	6
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	6	
First Witness:	Cross-Examination		6
	Witness Presentation	6	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	6	
Second Witness:	Cross-Examination		5
	Witness Presentation	7	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	7	
Third Witness:	Cross-Examination		6
	Witness Presentation	6	
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		6
First Witness:	Cross-Examination	7	
	Witness Presentation		7
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		7
Second Witness:	Cross-Examination	7	
	Witness Presentation		8
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		6
Third Witness:	Cross-Examination	7	
	Witness Presentation		7
CLOSING ARGUMENTS		8	5
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.			
TOTAL SCORE: Add scores in each column.		72	69
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		P or D	

58
15

Please deliver ballot to runner before debriefing.

Brookfield Academy (1/19/19)

Team #1, Round 1: (P) Defeated Shorewood #3 90-80

T1, R1 Rd 1 - 110

BC 1 Shorewood 3

Wisconsin High School
MOCK TRIAL TOURNAMENT
SCORE SHEET

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1915 (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: 1960 (Team I.D. #)

DATE: 1/19/19 ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 3 4

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective	Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding
1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10

		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS Lili		6	7
Prosecution/Plaintiff Lili	Direct Examination	9	
First Witness:	Cross-Examination		5
Dana McCarthy Emily	Witness Presentation	10	
Prosecution/Plaintiff Tylow	Direct Examination	7	
Second Witness:	Cross-Examination		6
Billie Jones Adarsh	Witness Presentation	8	
Prosecution/Plaintiff Andrew	Direct Examination	9	
Third Witness:	Cross-Examination		8
Payton Allanson Camron	Witness Presentation	9	
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		7
First Witness: Andrew	Cross-Examination	7	
Remington Mossberg	Witness Presentation		8
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		8
Second Witness: Tylow	Cross-Examination	9	
Kelsey Grammer	Witness Presentation		8
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		8
Third Witness: Lili	Cross-Examination	7	
Sam Smith	Witness Presentation		8
CLOSING ARGUMENTS Tylow		9	7
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.			
TOTAL SCORE: Add scores in each column.		90	80
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		P or D	

Please deliver ballot to runner before debriefing.

NOTE: SCORES FINAL PENDING VERIFICATION.

DO NOT SEPARATE COPIES!!!!
WHITE - Coordinator Copy
YELLOW - Defense/Defendant Copy

Judge's Signature: [signature]

BL1 T1, R1 shorewood 3

Wisconsin High School
MOCK TRIAL TOURNAMENT

PRESIDING JUDGE SCORE SHEET

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1915 (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: 1960 (Team I.D. #)

DATE: 1/19/19 ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) (1) 2 3 4

PERFORMANCE DECISION: In my opinion, the better mock trial performance was shown by (circle one):

83 (Prosecution/Plaintiff) ~~Defense/Respondent~~ 81

COMMENTS:

Very close presentation. Strong individuals on both sides + really nice nuance in fact scenarios from both teams. D-lawyers could use a little more confidence and get off script. Everyone needs to be more precise with objections.

Nice job!

Judge's Signature: Karyn Gonso

Team #1, Round 2: (D) Defeated Whitefish Bay #3 87-69

T1, R2

Wisconsin High School
MOCK TRIAL TOURNAMENT

SCORE SHEET

Prosecution/Plaintiff: Whitefish Bay 3 (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: Brookfield Central 1 (Team I.D. #)

DATE: 1-19-19 ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 (2) 3 4

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective	Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding
1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10

		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS ANDREW		6	7
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	7	
First Witness:	Cross-Examination		8
	Witness Presentation	7	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	4	
Second Witness:	Cross-Examination		8
	Witness Presentation	4	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	7	
Third Witness:	Cross-Examination		8
	Witness Presentation	8	
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		8
First Witness:	Cross-Examination	6	
	Witness Presentation		8
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		8
Second Witness:	Cross-Examination	6	
	Witness Presentation		8
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		8
Third Witness:	Cross-Examination	7	
	Witness Presentation		8
CLOSING ARGUMENTS CAMERON		7	8
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.			
TOTAL SCORE: Add scores in each column.		69	87
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		P or D	

Please deliver ballot to runner before debriefing.

NOTE: SCORES FINAL PENDING VERIFICATION.

DO NOT SEPARATE COPIES!!!!
WHITE - Coordinator Copy
YELLOW - Defense/Defendant Copy

Judge's Signature: Roxanne Sinton

Team #1, Round 3: (P) Lost to Shorewood #1 80-80, judge's tiebreak

BC 1 T1, P3 shorewood 1

Wisconsin High School
MOCK TRIAL TOURNAMENT
SCORE SHEET

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1915 (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: 1958 (Team I.D. #)

DATE: 1/19/19 ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 (3) 4

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective	Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding
1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10

		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS Lili		5	9
Prosecution/Plaintiff Tylow	Direct Examination	7	
First Witness:	Cross-Examination		6
Adarsh	Witness Presentation	7	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	7	
Second Witness:	Cross-Examination		7
	Witness Presentation	8	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	8	
Third Witness:	Cross-Examination		7
	Witness Presentation	9	
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		7
First Witness: Lili	Cross-Examination	8	
	Witness Presentation		6
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		8
Second Witness: Tylow	Cross-Examination	9	
	Witness Presentation		9
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		7
Third Witness: Andrew	Cross-Examination	7	
	Witness Presentation		6
CLOSING ARGUMENTS Tylow		6	9
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.			
TOTAL SCORE: Add scores in each column.		81	81
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		P or (D)	

Please deliver ballot to runner before debriefing.

NOTE: SCORES FINAL PENDING VERIFICATION.

DO NOT SEPARATE COPIES!!!!
WHITE - Coordinator Copy
YELLOW - Defense/Defendant Copy
PINK - Prosecution/Petitioner Copy

Judge's Signature: [signature]

Team #2, Round 1: (D) Lost to Whitefish Bay #2 90-78

T 2, P 1

Wisconsin High School
MOCK TRIAL TOURNAMENT

SCORE SHEET 2

Prosecution/Plaintiff: Whitefish Bay 2 (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: Brookfield Central / 1916 (Team I.D. #)

DATE: 1-19-19 ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 3 4 2

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective	Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding
1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10

		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS Nabi7		8	7
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	9	
First Witness: Jones ANSh	Cross-Examination		8
	Witness Presentation	8	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	8	
Second Witness: Allanson Nabi7	Cross-Examination		7
	Witness Presentation	9	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	8	
Third Witness: McCarthy Maggi	Cross-Examination		6
	Witness Presentation	8	
Defense/Defendant ANSh	Direct Examination		8
First Witness: grammar	Cross-Examination	8	
Verdant	Witness Presentation		7
Defense/Defendant Nabi7	Direct Examination		7
Second Witness: Mossberg	Cross-Examination	8	
Ansh	Witness Presentation		7
Defense/Defendant Smith (Sam)	Direct Examination		7
Third Witness: Maggi	Cross-Examination	8	
Ansh	Witness Presentation		7
CLOSING ARGUMENTS ANSh		8	7
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.			
TOTAL SCORE: Add scores in each column.		90	78
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		P or D	

Please deliver ballot to runner before debriefing.

NOTE: SCORES FINAL PENDING VERIFICATION.

DO NOT SEPARATE COPIES!!!!
WHITE - Coordinator Copy
YELLOW - Defense/Defendant Copy
PINK - Prosecution/Petitioner Copy

Judge's Signature: R. Swor

Team #2, Round 2: (P) Lost to Nicolet #1 74-73

T2R2

Wisconsin High School
MOCK TRIAL TOURNAMENT
SCORE SHEET

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1916 BC2 (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: 1991 Nicolet 1 (Team I.D. #)

DATE: 1/19/19 ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 (2) 3 4

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective	Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding
1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10

		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS ANSh		7	8
Prosecution/Plaintiff ANSh	Direct Examination	6	
First Witness: Billy Jones	Cross-Examination		6
Vedant	Witness Presentation	7	
Prosecution/Plaintiff Nabil	Direct Examination	7	
Second Witness: Peyton Allanson	Cross-Examination		8
Aashvi	Witness Presentation	6	
Prosecution/Plaintiff maggie	Direct Examination	8	
Third Witness: Dana McCarthy	Cross-Examination		5
Aashvi	Witness Presentation	9	
Defense/Defendant maggie ↓	Direct Examination		7
First Witness: Sam Smith ↓	Cross-Examination	6	
	Witness Presentation		7
Defense/Defendant Nabil ↓	Direct Examination		8
Second Witness: Remington Moss ↓	Cross-Examination	6	
	Witness Presentation		8
Defense/Defendant ANSh ↓	Direct Examination		6
Third Witness: Kelsey Grammer ↓	Cross-Examination	6	
	Witness Presentation		6
CLOSING ARGUMENTS Nabil		5	5
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.			
TOTAL SCORE: Add scores in each column.		73	74
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		P or D	

Please deliver ballot to runner before debriefing.

NOTE: SCORES FINAL PENDING VERIFICATION.

Team #2, Round 3: (D) Lost to Nicolet #3 70-66

T2, R3

Wisconsin High School
MOCK TRIAL TOURNAMENT

SCORE SHEET

Prosecution/Plaintiff: Nicolet 3 (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: BC 2 (Team I.D. #)

DATE: 1/19/19 ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 3 4

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective	Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding
1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10

		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS Nabil		7	6
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	7	
First Witness: Dana McCarthy	Cross-Examination		5
	Witness Presentation	7	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	6	
Second Witness: Peyton Allenson	Cross-Examination		5
	Witness Presentation	6	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	6	
Third Witness: Billie Jones	Cross-Examination		6
	Witness Presentation	7	
Defense/Defendant Ansh	Direct Examination		6
First Witness: Kelsey Grammar Vedant	Cross-Examination	6	
	Witness Presentation		6
Defense/Defendant Nabil	Direct Examination		7
Second Witness: Remington Massberg Ansh	Cross-Examination	6	
	Witness Presentation		7
Defense/Defendant Maggie	Direct Examination		6
Third Witness: Sam Smith Ansh	Cross-Examination	7	
	Witness Presentation		6
CLOSING ARGUMENTS Ansh		5	6
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.			
TOTAL SCORE: Add scores in each column.		70	66
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		P or D	

Please deliver ballot to runner before debriefing.
DO NOT SEPARATE COPIES!!!

NOTE: SCORES FINAL PENDING VERIFICATION.

Team #3, Round 1: (P) Lost to Shorewood #2 92-79

T3, R1

Wisconsin High School
MOCK TRIAL TOURNAMENT
SCORE SHEET

Prosecution/Plaintiff: BC 3 (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: Shorewood 2 (Team I.D. #)

DATE: Jan 19th ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) (1) 2 3 4

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective	Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding
1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10

		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS Jana		7	9
Prosecution/Plaintiff Abbey	Direct Examination	6	
First Witness: BJ	Cross-Examination		8
Greta	Witness Presentation	6	
Prosecution/Plaintiff Cynthia	Direct Examination	7	
Second Witness: Om	Cross-Examination		7
maval	Witness Presentation	7	
Prosecution/Plaintiff Jana	Direct Examination	8	
Third Witness: PA	Cross-Examination		8
BW	Witness Presentation	8	
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		8
First Witness: D Cynthia	Cross-Examination	6	
	Witness Presentation		8
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		9
Second Witness: RM Jana	Cross-Examination	9	
	Witness Presentation		9
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		9
Third Witness: KL Abbey	Cross-Examination	8	
	Witness Presentation		8
CLOSING ARGUMENTS Cynthia		8	9
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.			
TOTAL SCORE: Add scores in each column.		79	92
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		P or D	

Please deliver ballot to runner before debriefing.
DO NOT SEPARATE COPIES!!!!

NOTE: SCORES FINAL PENDING VERIFICATION.

Team #3, Round 2: (D) Defeated Dodgeland #1 79-75

T3, R2

Wisconsin High School
MOCK TRIAL TOURNAMENT
SCORE SHEET

Prosecution/Plaintiff: Dodgeland #1 (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: Brookfield Central #3 (Team I.D. #)

DATE: 1/19/19 ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 (2) 3 4

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective	Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding
1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10

		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS Jana		6	7
Prosecution/Plaintiff Abbey	Direct Examination	4	
First Witness: Billy Jones	Cross-Examination		6
	Witness Presentation	4	
Prosecution/Plaintiff Paigon A.	Direct Examination	6	
Second Witness: Jana	Cross-Examination		7
	Witness Presentation	7	
Prosecution/Plaintiff Dana	Direct Examination	7	
Third Witness: Cynthia	Cross-Examination		7
	Witness Presentation	7	
Defense/Defendant Sam Smith	Direct Examination		7
First Witness: Cynthia	Cross-Examination	6	
Maral	Witness Presentation		8
Defense/Defendant Remington M.	Direct Examination		7
Second Witness: Jana	Cross-Examination	5	
BW	Witness Presentation		9
Defense/Defendant Kelsey G.	Direct Examination		7
Third Witness: Abbey	Cross-Examination	7	
Greta	Witness Presentation		7
CLOSING ARGUMENTS Abbey		6	6
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.		~~6~~	~~6~~
TOTAL SCORE: Add scores in each column.		70	78
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		P or D	

Please deliver ballot to runner before debriefing.

NOTE: SCORES FINAL PENDING VERIFICATION.

T3, R2

for both teams: my co-counsel & I

not me + my co-counsel

Wisconsin High School
MOCK TRIAL TOURNAMENT

PRESIDING JUDGE SCORE SHEET

Prosecution/Plaintiff: Dodgeland #1 (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: Brookfield Central #3 (Team I.D. #)

DATE: 1/19/19 ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 (2) 3 4

please review the direct + indirect object in sentences

PERFORMANCE DECISION: In my opinion, the better mock trial performance was shown by (circle one):

Prosecution/Plaintiff Defense/Respondent

Overall, both teams made a good effort!

COMMENTS: - on cross, force the witness to answer yes or no - otherwise the witness gains control.

- witnesses, develop a rapport w/ the judge by looking at the judge.
- don't point when talking.
- both teams actively explained their objection arguments, which was good.
- prosecution teacher / student need to express grief to be more realistic.

Judge's Signature: Melissa Lone Koenig

Please deliver to the Score Sheet Runner before debriefing.

DO NOT SEPARATE COPIES!!!!
WHITE - Coordinator Copy
YELLOW - Defense/Defendant Copy
PINK - Prosecution/Petitioner Copy

- Don't read opening or closing statements - they must be memorized

Team #3, Round 3: (D) Defeated Nicolet #2 79-75

T3, R3

Wisconsin High School
MOCK TRIAL TOURNAMENT
SCORE SHEET

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1992 (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: [illegible] (Team I.D. #) 1917

DATE: 1/19/19 ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 (3) 4

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective	Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding
1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10

		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE	
OPENING STATEMENTS Java		8	7	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	6		
First Witness: [illegible] Billie Jones	Cross-Examination		7	Abby
	Witness Presentation	6		
Prosecution/Plaintiff Dana McCarthy	Direct Examination	7		
Second Witness: [illegible]	Cross-Examination		8	Cynthia
	Witness Presentation	8		
Prosecution/Plaintiff Peyton Allanson	Direct Examination	7		
Third Witness: [illegible]	Cross-Examination		8	Java
	Witness Presentation	6		
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		7	Cynthia
First Witness: [illegible] Sam Smith	Cross-Examination	7		
	Witness Presentation		6	Maral
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		6	Java
Second Witness: [illegible] Remington Messberg	Cross-Examination	6		
	Witness Presentation		7	Ben
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		7	Abby
Third Witness: [illegible] Kelsey Grammar	Cross-Examination	7		
	Witness Presentation		8	Greta
CLOSING ARGUMENTS Abby		7	8	
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.				
TOTAL SCORE: Add scores in each column.		75	79	
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		P or D		

Please deliver ballot to runner before debriefing.

NOTE: SCORES FINAL PENDING VERIFICATION.

DO NOT SEPARATE COPIES!!!!
WHITE - Coordinator Copy

Judge's Signature: [signature]

Prosecution/Plaintiff: Nicolet II 1992 (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: Brookfield Central III 1917 (Team I.D. #)

DATE: 01 - 19 - 2019 ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 (3) 4

PERFORMANCE DECISION: In my opinion, the better mock trial performance was shown by (circle one):

Prosecution/Plaintiff | (Defense/Respondent)

COMMENTS:

O: good structure. Slow down. Vary tone

d_1: good use of exhibits. good character. more eye-contact

d_2: excellent character. Natural flow & good question fight objection! look @ rules; Relevance would be a good objection to know.

d_3: very stiff character. Slow down. good response to objections.

c_1 don't back down on objections. good handling of speculation

c_2: good point on lack of experience & knowledge. perfect handling of objection to break up questions

c_3: Don't ask open-ended questions. Good presence. good use of loser comment. Let pointsys. Wastes time

close: explain the burden. Use witness testimony in closing. Good pacing. Don't regurgitate testimony combine w/ elements.

Manage your time.

O: good theme. slow down. good explanation of burden

d_1: solid cross. little louder. good scope objection

c_2: good objection. Responded well to opposing counsel

c_3: excellent objection! concise questions. Good job w/ exploring negative space. good use of M. Direct back to question if they run.

d_1 handled objection well. friendly character, could use more good objection. cost.

d_2: good questions. make more eye-contact w/ judge. good command of facts.

d_3: clean direct. calm character. not defensive. good handling of loser comment. more eye contact

close: Don't start w/ petty comment. Don't regurgitate testimony. Make an argument. good refuting of state's case

Judge's Signature: Theo M. Britton

Please deliver to the Score Sheet Runner before debriefing.

DO NOT SEPARATE COPIES!!!!
WHITE - Coordinator Copy
YELLOW - Defense/Defendant Copy
PINK - Prosecution/Petitioner Copy

Bay Port (1/26/19)

Team #1, Round 1 (P): Defeated Berlin #1 94-73

T1, R1

BAY PORT MOCK TRIAL SCRIMMAGE JUDGE SCORE SHEET

PROSECUTION/PLAINTIFF: BCH#1 DEFENSE/RESPONDENT: Berlin #1

DATE: 1/26/19 ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) (1) 2 3

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below. Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective: (1-2) Fair:(3-4) Good:(5-6) Excellent:(7-8) Outstanding:(9-10)

		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS E.d.C / A.W. Lili		6 8	6
Prosecution/Plaintiff T.F.	Direct Examination Tylaw	7 8	
First Witness: A.W. S.R.	Cross-Examination		8 5
A.R.	Witness Presentation Adarsh	5 9	
Prosecution/Plaintiff E.d.C	Direct Examination Lili	8 8	
Second Witness: S.K. K.S.	Cross-Examination		8 4
E.O.	Witness Presentation Emily	9 7	
Prosecution/Plaintiff A.N.	Direct Examination Andrew	6 8	
Third Witness: A.W.	Cross-Examination		7 8
C.L.	Witness Presentation Cameron	8 9	
Defense/Defendant S.R.	Direct Examination		7 7
First Witness: E.d.C	Cross-Examination Lili	7 9	
M.M.	Witness Presentation		9 7
Defense/Defendant A.W.	Direct Examination		8 07
Second Witness: A.N.	Cross-Examination Andrew	2 10	
L.V.	Witness Presentation		4 6
Defense/Defendant K.S.	Direct Examination		8 8
Third Witness: T.F.	Cross-Examination Tylaw	7 9	
T.R.	Witness Presentation		8 10
CLOSING ARGUMENTS T.F. Tylaw		9	5
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations		7 9 4	7 3 8 0
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		(P)	D

Team #1, Round 2 (D): Defeated Wausau West #1 81-71

T1, R2

BAY PORT MOCK TRIAL SCRIMMAGE JUDGE SCORE SHEET

PROSECUTION/PLAINTIFF: Wausau West DEFENSE/RESPONDENT: BC #1

DATE: _____ ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 3

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below. Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective: (1-2) Fair:(3-4) Good:(5-6) Excellent:(7-8) Outstanding:(9-10)

		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS	Andrew	Tony 6	Andrew 9
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	7	
First Witness:	Cross-Examination Andrew		8
	Witness Presentation	5	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	8	
Second Witness:	Cross-Examination Lili		8
	Witness Presentation	9	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	6	
Third Witness:	Cross-Examination Cameron		7
	Witness Presentation	8	
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination Lili		7
First Witness:	Cross-Examination	7	
	Witness Presentation Emily		9
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination Cameron		8
Second Witness:	Cross-Examination	8	
	Witness Presentation Mylow		9
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination Andrew		8
Third Witness:	Cross-Examination	7	
	Witness Presentation Adarsh		8
CLOSING ARGUMENTS	Cameron	Zoe 9	Cameron 8
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations		71	73 81 80
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		P	D

Team #1, Round 3 (D): Defeated Bay Port #1 80-76

T1, R3

BAY PORT MOCK TRIAL SCRIMMAGE JUDGE SCORE SHEET

PROSECUTION/PLAINTIFF: Bay Port #1 DEFENSE/RESPONDENT: Brookfield Central

DATE: 1/26/19 ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 (3)

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below. Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective: (1-2) Fair:(3-4) Good:(5-6) Excellent:(7-8) Outstanding:(9-10)

		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS Andrew		6	7
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	6	
First Witness:	Cross-Examination Cameron		6
☆ Peyton Allanson	Witness Presentation	8	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	6	
Second Witness:	Cross-Examination Lili		7
Dana McCarthy	Witness Presentation	6	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	7	
Third Witness:	Cross-Examination Andrew		8
Billie Jones	Witness Presentation	8	
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination ~~Tyler~~		7
First Witness:	Cross-Examination Cameron	7	
R. Nossberg	Witness Presentation Tyler		8
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination Lili		7
Second Witness:	Cross-Examination	8	
Sam Smith	Witness Presentation Emily		7
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination Andrew		8
Third Witness:	Cross-Examination	7	
Kelsey Grammar	Witness Presentation Adarsh		8
CLOSING ARGUMENTS Cameron		7	7
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations		76	80
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		P	D

PERFORMANCE DECISION: In my opinion the better mock trial performance was shown by

Team #2, Round 1 (D): Lost to DC Everest #2 90-84

T2 R1

BAY PORT MOCK TRIAL SCRIMMAGE JUDGE SCORE SHEET

PROSECUTION/PLAINTIFF: 1935 DCV DEFENSE/RESPONDENT: 1976 BROOK C. B

DATE: 1-26-19 DC Everest ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 3

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below. Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

BC #2

Not Effective: (1-2) Fair:(3-4) Good:(5-6) Excellent:(7-8) Outstanding:(9-10)

		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS	Nabil	~~8~~ 7	8
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	8	
First Witness:	Cross-Examination Maggie		7
	Witness Presentation	9	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	9	
Second Witness:	Cross-Examination Ansh		7
	Witness Presentation	7	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	9	
Third Witness:	Cross-Examination Nabil		7
	Witness Presentation	7	
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination Ansh		8
First Witness:	Cross-Examination	8	
	Witness Presentation Vedant		8
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination Nabil		8
Second Witness:	Cross-Examination	10	
	Witness Presentation Aashir		10
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination Maggie		7
Third Witness:	Cross-Examination		
	Witness Presentation Aashir		9
CLOSING ARGUMENTS		9	7
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations		90 ~~85~~	84
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		P	D

Team #2, Round 2 (P): Lost to Lakeland Union 85-82

T2, R2

BAY PORT MOCK TRIAL SCRIMMAGE JUDGE SCORE SHEET

PROSECUTION/PLAINTIFF: BROOKFIELD CENT. B DEFENSE/RESPONDENT: LAKELAND UNION

DATE: 1/26/19 BC #2 ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 (2) 3

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below. Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Lakeland Union

Not Effective: (1-2) Fair:(3-4) Good:(5-6) Excellent:(7-8) Outstanding:(9-10)

		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS	Ansh	8	8
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination Ansh	8	
First Witness: JONES, B.	Cross-Examination		8
	Witness Presentation Vedant	8	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination Nabil	7	
Second Witness: ALLANSON, P.	Cross-Examination		7
	Witness Presentation Aashir	7	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination Maggie	8	
Third Witness: M. CADHY, D.	Cross-Examination		9
	Witness Presentation Aashir	7	
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		7
First Witness: SMITH, S.	Cross-Examination Maggie	7	
	Witness Presentation		7
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		8
Second Witness: GRAMM, K.	Cross-Examination Ansh	8	
	Witness Presentation		8
Defense/Defendant Rob h	Direct Examination		8
Third Witness: MOSSNAG, R	Cross-Examination Nabil	7	
	Witness Presentation		7
CLOSING ARGUMENTS		7	8
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations		82	85
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		P	(D)

Team #2, Round 3 (P) Lost to Wausau West #1 91-80

T2, R3

BAY PORT MOCK TRIAL SCRIMMAGE JUDGE SCORE SHEET

PROSECUTION/PLAINTIFF: Brookfield B DEFENSE/RESPONDENT: Wausau West

DATE: 1-26-19 ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 (3)

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below. Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective: (1-2) Fair:(3-4) Good:(5-6) Excellent:(7-8) Outstanding:(9-10)

		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS	Kasturi/Hjelsand	7	8
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	7	
First Witness: Jones	Cross-Examination		8
Thekkar	Witness Presentation	7	
Prosecution/Plaintiff Allerson	Direct Examination	8	
Second Witness: Saeed	Cross-Examination		8
	Witness Presentation	8	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	6	
Third Witness: Saeed	Cross-Examination		8
	Witness Presentation	7	
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		9
First Witness: Grammar	Cross-Examination	7	
Prosoecthsy	Witness Presentation		8
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		8
Second Witness: Smith	Cross-Examination	7	
Tiet2	Witness Presentation		8
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		8
Third Witness: Nussberg	Cross-Examination	8	
Trueblood	Witness Presentation		9
CLOSING ARGUMENTS	Hussaini/Plisch	8	9
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations		80	91
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		P	D

Team #3, Round 1 (D): Lost to Rhinelander #1 90-82

T3 RB1

BAY PORT MOCK TRIAL SCRIMMAGE JUDGE SCORE SHEET

PROSECUTION/PLAINTIFF: Rhinelander #1 DEFENSE/RESPONDENT: BC #1

DATE: 1-16-19 ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 3

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below. Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective: (1-2) Fair:(3-4) Good:(5-6) Excellent:(7-8) Outstanding:(9-10)

		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS Holly / Jana Jana		Holly 9	Jana 7
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	8	
First Witness: Ballydoner	Cross-Examination Abbey		8 9
Garrett	Witness Presentation 7	7 7	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination Jacob	7 8	
Second Witness: Pana	Cross-Examination Cynthia		9 8
Mellenge	Witness Presentation	7 7	
Prosecution/Plaintiff Jacob	Direct Examination Holly	8 7	
Third Witness: Payton	Cross-Examination Jana		18 9
Carmen	Witness Presentation	9 6	
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination Abbey		7 10
First Witness: Snow Smith	Cross-Examination Jacob	8	
Cynthia	Witness Presentation Cynthia		8 5
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination Jana		6 7
Second Witness: Rominger	Cross-Examination Ben	9 9	
Ben	Witness Presentation Ben		6 7
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination Abbey		7 9
Third Witness: KG	Cross-Examination Holly	9 7	
Gretta	Witness Presentation Greta		7 8
CLOSING ARGUMENTS Ben / Abbey		8 8	8 9
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations		90	82
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		P 87	D 80

PERFORMANCE DECISION: In my opinion the better mock trial performance was shown by

Team #3, Round 2 (P): Lost to Appleton Xavier #2 98-84

T3, R2

BAY PORT MOCK TRIAL SCRIMMAGE JUDGE SCORE SHEET

PROSECUTION/PLAINTIFF: BC #3 DEFENSE/RESPONDENT: Appleton Xavier #2

DATE: 11-6-17 ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 (2) 3

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below. Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective: (1-2) Fair:(3-4) Good:(5-6) Excellent:(7-8) Outstanding:(9-10)

		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS	Jana	7	9
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination Abby	8	
First Witness:	Cross-Examination		9
Jones	Witness Presentation Greta	7	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination Abby	8	
Second Witness:	Cross-Examination		8
McCarthy	Witness Presentation Cynthia	7	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination Jana	7	
Third Witness:	Cross-Examination		9
Allerton	Witness Presentation Ben	6	
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		10
First Witness: Grammer	Cross-Examination Abby		
	Witness Presentation		10
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		10
Second Witness: Smith	Cross-Examination Cynthia	9	
	Witness Presentation		7
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		9
Third Witness:	Cross-Examination Jana	7	
Remington	Witness Presentation		8
CLOSING ARGUMENTS	Cynthia	8	9
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations		7+ 9087	8284 98
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		P	D

Team #3, Round 3 (D): Lost to Appleton West #1 87-80

T3, R3

BAY PORT MOCK TRIAL SCRIMMAGE JUDGE SCORE SHEET

PROSECUTION/PLAINTIFF: 1921 DEFENSE/RESPONDENT: 1917

DATE: January 26 Appleton West ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 (3)

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below. Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

DC+B3

Not Effective: (1-2) Fair:(3-4) Good:(5-6) Excellent:(7-8) Outstanding:(9-10)

		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS	Java	~~8~~ 7 Billie	8 Remarkably
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	8	
First Witness: R	Cross-Examination Abbey		7
Billie Jones	Witness Presentation	8	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination Q	(9)	
Second Witness:	Cross-Examination Cynthia		7
Dina McGrath	Witness Presentation	8	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	8	
Third Witness:	Cross-Examination Java		7
Payton Allison	Witness Presentation	9	
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination Abbey		8
First Witness:	Cross-Examination	8	
Sam Smith	Witness Presentation Greta		8
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination Abbey		~~7~~ 7
Second Witness:	Cross-Examination Dana	9	
Mossberg	Witness Presentation Cynthia		8
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination Java		7
Third Witness:	Cross-Examination Allison	8	
Grammar	Witness Presentation Ben		7
CLOSING ARGUMENTS	Java	5	6
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations		87	80
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		P	D

PERFORMANCE DECISION: In my opinion the better mock trial performance was shown by

Regionals

Team #1 Summary

Fill out for each team and hand out with the score sheets.

Team #: 1915 Team Name: Brookfield Central

Team #1 - Summary

ROUND	OPPONENT	WIN | LOSS	BALLOTS	POINTS	SIDE	ROOM
1.	Waukesha South #1 2023	(W) | L	3	182	(P) | D	219
2.	Arrowhead 1945	W | (L)	1	190	P | (D)	267
3.	Oconomowoc 2021	(W) | L	3	177	(P) | D	215
4.	BC #2 1916	(W) | L	3	182	P | (D)	267

TOTALS Win \| Loss Record: 3-1W Ballots: 10 Points: 731

Team #1, Round 1 (P): Def. Waukesha South #1 91-76, 91-76

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1915 (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: 2023 Waukesha South 1 (Team I.D. #)

DATE: Feb 9, 2019 ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 3 4

T1, R1-P

PERFORMANCE DECISION: In my opinion, the better mock trial performance was shown by (circle one):

Prosecution/Plaintiff Defense/Respondent

COMMENTS:

π - Good use of witnesses. Excellent preparation. Good use of notes - minimal reliance.

Δ - Develop defense a little better. Good witness preparation.

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1915 BC1 (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: 2023 Waukesha South 1 (Team I.D. #)

DATE: 2/9/2019 T1, R1-P ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) (1) 2 3 4

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective	Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding
1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10

		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS	Lili	9	7
Prosecution/Plaintiff Tylan	Direct Examination	8	
First Witness: Billie Jones Adarsh	Cross-Examination		7
	Witness Presentation	8	
Prosecution/Plaintiff Savia	Direct Examination	8	
Second Witness: Dana McCarthy Cameron	Cross-Examination		7
	Witness Presentation	9	
Prosecution/Plaintiff Lili	Direct Examination	9	
Third Witness: Payton Allanson Emily	Cross-Examination		7
	Witness Presentation	9	
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		8
First Witness: Sam Smith Lili	Cross-Examination	9	
	Witness Presentation		7
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		7
Second Witness: Remicy Savia	Cross-Examination	8	
	Witness Presentation		7
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		6
Third Witness: Kelsey Tylan	Cross-Examination	7	
	Witness Presentation		8
CLOSING ARGUMENTS Tylan		8	5
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.		-1	
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		(P) or D	
		91	76

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1915 BC1 (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: 2023 Wauk Sha (Team I.D. #) Sath 1

DATE: Feb. 9, 2019 T1, P1-P ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) (1) 2 3 4

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective	Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding
1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10

		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS Lili		9	7
Prosecution/Plaintiff Tylan	Direct Examination	8	
First Witness: Billie Jones	Cross-Examination		7
Adarsh	Witness Presentation	9	
Prosecution/Plaintiff Sania	Direct Examination	7	
Second Witness: Dana McCarthy	Cross-Examination		7
Cameron	Witness Presentation	7	
Prosecution/Plaintiff Lili	Direct Examination	9	
Third Witness: Peyton Allanson	Cross-Examination		8
Emily	Witness Presentation	9	
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		7
First Witness: Sam Smith Lili	Cross-Examination	8	
	Witness Presentation		7
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		7
Second Witness: Remington Sawitzky	Cross-Examination	9	
	Witness Presentation		7
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		6
Third Witness: Kelsey Beard Tylan	Cross-Examination	8	
	Witness Presentation		7
CLOSING ARGUMENTS Tylan		9	6
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.		-1	
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		P or D	

91 76

Team #1, Round 2 (D): Lost to Arrowhead 95-94, 98-96

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1945 Arrowhead (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: 1915 BC1 (Team I.D. #)

DATE: 2/9/19 ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 (2) 3 4

T1, R2 - D

PERFORMANCE DECISION: In my opinion, the better mock trial performance was shown by (circle one):

Prosecution/Plaintiff (Defense/Respondent)

COMMENTS: Very evenly matched teams. Nice job. Very impressed

Prosecution -

Opening statement was well organized. I liked how he wove the elements of the crime in.

Direct examinations were well organized. Good job rehabilitating witness #1

The cross exams could have been more effective if it addressed the testimony actually provided. Mr. Groth did a nice job of limiting the witnesses answers. Try not to stay so scripted if the testimony comes out different than anticipated.

Closing - did a nice job of appealing to the jurors sense of "reasonableness". Try to grab on to something in Defenses closing

Defense - and turn it back on

Opening did a nice job of humanizing the defendent and also not afraid to attack the Burden of Proof.

Direct exams were well organized and flowed. Ms. Del Campo did a nice job eliciting testimony in a compassionate manner.

Great job over all understanding/respecting court room procedures.

Cross exams were susenct and ended on strong points.

Closing - nice job of shifting blame to anyone other than Kelsey.

Judge's Signature: [signature]

Please deliver to the Score Sheet Runner before debriefing.

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1945 Arrowhead (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: 1915 BC 1 (Team I.D. #)

DATE: 2/9/19 T1, P2-D ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 (2) 3 4

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective	Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding
1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10

		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS Andrew		9	10
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	10	
First Witness: Bill Jones Andrew	Cross-Examination		9
	Witness Presentation	8	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	9	
Second Witness: D. McCarthy Lily	Cross-Examination		6
	Witness Presentation	8	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	8	
Third Witness: P Allison Cameron	Cross-Examination		7
	Witness Presentation	7	
Defense/Defendant Cameron	Direct Examination		7
First Witness: R. Mossberg	Cross-Examination	9	
Sonia	Witness Presentation		9
Defense/Defendant Lily	Direct Examination		7
Second Witness: S Smith	Cross-Examination	8	
Emily	Witness Presentation		9
Defense/Defendant Andrew	Direct Examination		10
Third Witness: K Grammar	Cross-Examination	10	
Adarsh	Witness Presentation		10
CLOSING ARGUMENTS Cameron		9	10
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.		—	—
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		P or D	
		95	94

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1945 Arrowhead (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: 1915 BC 1 (Team I.D. #)

DATE: 2/9/19 T1, R2-D ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 (2) 3 4

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective	Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding
1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10

		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS Andrew		9	10
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	10	
First Witness: Billy Andrew	Cross-Examination		9
	Witness Presentation	10	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	9	
Second Witness: Dana Mackling	Cross-Examination		8
	Witness Presentation	9	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	8	
Third Witness: Peyton Cameron	Cross-Examination		8
	Witness Presentation	8	
Defense/Defendant Cameron	Direct Examination		7
First Witness: Remington Mossberg	Cross-Examination	7	
Sawa	Witness Presentation		9
Defense/Defendant Lizi	Direct Examination		8
Second Witness: Sara Smith	Cross-Examination	8	
Emily	Witness Presentation		8
Defense/Defendant Andrew	Direct Examination		9
Third Witness:	Cross-Examination	10	
Adarsh	Witness Presentation		10
CLOSING ARGUMENTS Cameron		10	10
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.		—	—
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		P or D	
		98	96

Team #1, Round 3 (P): Def. Oconomowoc #2 78-74, 99-92

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1915 RC 1 (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: 2021 Oconomowoc 2 (Team I.D. #)

DATE: 2-9-19 ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 (3) 4

T1, R3- P

PERFORMANCE DECISION: In my opinion, the better mock trial performance was shown by (circle one):

(Prosecution/Plaintiff) Defense/Respondent

COMMENTS:

Judge's Signature: [signature]

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1915 BC 1 (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: 2021 oconomowoc 2 (Team I.D. #)

DATE: ~~2/8/19~~ 2/9/19 T1, R3 - P ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 (3) 4

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective	Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding
1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10

		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS Lily		7	8
Prosecution/Plaintiff Tylan	Direct Examination	8	
First Witness:	Cross-Examination		6
Adarsh	Witness Presentation	6	
Prosecution/Plaintiff Lili	Direct Examination	6	
Second Witness: Dana McCarthy	Cross-Examination		5
Emily	Witness Presentation	7	
Prosecution/Plaintiff P Aravia	Direct Examination	8	
Third Witness:	Cross-Examination		6
Camron	Witness Presentation	8	
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		6
First Witness: K. Gra Tylan	Cross-Examination	~~8~~ 8	
	Witness Presentation		6
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		7
Second Witness: S. Smith Lili	Cross-Examination	6	
	Witness Presentation		8
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		7
Third Witness: R Anderson Sanya	Cross-Examination	7	
	Witness Presentation		8
CLOSING ARGUMENTS Tylan		7	7
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.		—	—
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		P or D	

78 74

Team #1, Round 4 (D): Def. Brookfield Central #2 91-82, 91-79

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1915 BC 1 (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: 2021 Oconomowoc 2 (Team I.D. #)

DATE: 2-9-19 ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 (3) 4

T1, R3- P

PERFORMANCE DECISION: In my opinion, the better mock trial performance was shown by (circle one):

(Prosecution/Plaintiff) Defense/Respondent

COMMENTS:

Judge's Signature: [signature]

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1915 BCA (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: 2021 Oconomowoc 2 (Team I.D. #)

DATE: 2/9/2019 T1, R3 - P ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 (3) 4

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective	Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding
1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10

		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS Lili		9	8
Prosecution/Plaintiff Tylan	Direct Examination	9	
First Witness: Billy Jones	Cross-Examination		8
Adarsh	Witness Presentation	10	
Prosecution/Plaintiff Lili	Direct Examination	8	
Second Witness: Dana McCarthy	Cross-Examination		8
Emily	Witness Presentation	9	
Prosecution/Plaintiff Santa	Direct Examination	9	
Third Witness: Peyton Allenson	Cross-Examination		8
Cameron	Witness Presentation	9	
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		8
First Witness: Kelsey Tylan	Cross-Examination	9	
	Witness Presentation		9
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		9
Second Witness: Sam Smith Lili	Cross-Examination	9	
	Witness Presentation		9
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		9
Third Witness: Remington Santa	Cross-Examination	9	
	Witness Presentation		8
CLOSING ARGUMENTS Tylan		9	8
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.		—	—
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		(P) or D	
		99	92

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1916 BC2 (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: 1915 BC1 (Team I.D. #)

DATE: Feb. 9, 2019 T2, R4-P ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 3 (4)

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective	Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding
1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10

		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS Ansh/Andrew		8	9
Prosecution/Plaintiff Ansh	Direct Examination	7	
First Witness: Billi Andrew	Cross-Examination		8
Vedant	Witness Presentation	8	
Prosecution/Plaintiff Nabil	Direct Examination	7	
Second Witness: Cameron	Cross-Examination		8
Aashvi	Witness Presentation	8	
Prosecution/Plaintiff Maggie	Direct Examination	7	
Third Witness: Dana McCarthy	Cross-Examination		8
Tejas	Witness Presentation	8	
Defense/Defendant Cameron	Direct Examination		8
First Witness: Remington Nabil	Cross-Examination	7	
Savia	Witness Presentation		9
Defense/Defendant Lili	Direct Examination		7
Second Witness: Sam Maggie	Cross-Examination	8	
Emily	Witness Presentation		7
Defense/Defendant Andrew	Direct Examination		8
Third Witness: Ansh Reid Adarsh	Cross-Examination	7	
Adarsh	Witness Presentation		9
CLOSING ARGUMENTS Nabil/Cameron		8	10
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.		0	
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		P or D	
		82	91

Team #2 Summary

Fill out for each team and hand out with the score sheets.

Team #: 1916 Team Name: Brookfield Central

Team #2 - Summary

ROUND	OPPONENT	WIN | LOSS	BALLOTS	POINTS	SIDE	ROOM
1. Tosa West	1943	(W) | L	3	195	(P) | D	1162
2. BAZ	1964	W | (L)	0	179	P | (D)	215
3. Kettle Moraine	2004	W | (L)	1	173	(P) | D	278
4. BC 1	1915	W | (L)	0	161	(P) | D	267

TOTALS Win | Loss Record: 1 | 3 Ballots: 4 Points: 708

Team #2, Round 1 (P): Def. Wauwatosa West 101-76, 94-91

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1916 BC2 (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: 1943 Wauwatosa West (Team I.D. #)

DATE: 2/9/2019 ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 3 4

T2, R1-P

PERFORMANCE DECISION: In my opinion, the better mock trial performance was shown by (circle one):

Prosecution/Plaintiff Defense/Respondent

COMMENTS:

- STATE'S OPENING WAS EXCELLENT. CADENCE PERFECT. CLEAR PRESENTATION. CLEAR PATH
- DEFENSE OPENING GREAT. VERY WELL PRESENTED. GOOD PATH
- GOOD DIRECT, VERY NICE CROSS, GREAT JOB W/ GETTING THE ADMISSION OF BIAS.
- GOOD JOB ENTERING EVIDENCE
- GREAT CROSS EXAM OF ALLANSON
- WITNESSES ARE GREAT!
- MAKE SURE TO FACE JURY MORE THAN THE JUDGE
- MOSSBERG GREAT MASTERY OF THE FACTS.
- GOOD DIRECT BY DEFENSE
- GREAT CROSS OF REMINGTON
- SMITH WAS GREAT
- GREAT CROSS OF SMITH, REALLY GREAT CROSS, GREAT CADENCE
- ATTORNEYS ARE REALLY GREAT, VERY CALM and clear.
- GOOD OBJECTION DURING GRAMMER'S DIRECT.
- GREAT ATTITUDE BY EVERYONE
- VERY GOOD CLOSINGS
- WITNESSES NEED TO PAY ATTENTION WHILE WAITING TO TESTIFY.
- GREAT DIRECT OF GRAMMER
- MAKE SURE CLOSINGS ARE DIRECTED TO THE JURY, THEY DECIDE
- GREAT MEMORIZATION

Judge's Signature:

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1916 BC2 (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: 1943 Wawwatosa (Team I.D. #) WEST

DATE: 2/9/19 T2, R1-P ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) (1) 2 3 4

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective	Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding
1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10

			PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS	Arsh		9	7
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Arsh	Direct Examination	9	
First Witness:		Cross-Examination		8
	Vedant	Witness Presentation	7	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Nabil	Direct Examination	10	
Second Witness:		Cross-Examination		9
	Aashvi	Witness Presentation	9	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Maggie	Direct Examination	8	
Third Witness:		Cross-Examination		9
	Tejas	Witness Presentation	8	
Defense/Defendant		Direct Examination		8
First Witness:	Nabil	Cross-Examination	9	
		Witness Presentation		8
Defense/Defendant		Direct Examination		8
Second Witness:	Maggie	Cross-Examination	8	
		Witness Presentation		9
Defense/Defendant		Direct Examination		8
Third Witness:	Arsh	Cross-Examination	9	
		Witness Presentation		8
CLOSING ARGUMENTS	Nabil		8	9
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.				
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)			94	P or D 91

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1916 BC2 (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: 1943 Wawatosa (Team I.D. #) W234

DATE: 2/9/19 T2R2-P ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 3 4

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective	Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding
1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10

		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS ANSh		9	6
Prosecution/Plaintiff Billie Jones ANSh	Direct Examination	9	
First Witness:	Cross-Examination		5
VRAnt	Witness Presentation	10	
Prosecution/Plaintiff Peyton Al Nabil	Direct Examination	10	
Second Witness:	Cross-Examination		6
Aashir	Witness Presentation	10	
Prosecution/Plaintiff Dana Mc Maggie	Direct Examination	9	
Third Witness:	Cross-Examination		7
Tejas	Witness Presentation	9	
Defense/Defendant Remington Mossberg	Direct Examination		8
First Witness: Nabil	Cross-Examination	10	
	Witness Presentation		9
Defense/Defendant Sam Smith	Direct Examination		7
Second Witness: Maggie	Cross-Examination	9	
	Witness Presentation		9
Defense/Defendant Koby Gramman	Direct Examination		6
Third Witness: ANSh	Cross-Examination	8	
	Witness Presentation		7
CLOSING ARGUMENTS Nabil 101		8	6 75
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.		0	0
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		P or D	

101 76

Team #2, Round 2 (D): Lost to Brookfield Academy #2 96-86, 94-93

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1904 BAZ (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: 1916 BCZ (Team I.D. #)

DATE: 2/7/19 ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 (2) 3 4

#2, R2-D

PERFORMANCE DECISION: In my opinion, the better mock trial performance was shown by (circle one):

(Prosecution/Plaintiff) Defense/Respondent

COMMENTS:

Good luck in both rounds!

Review opening, use testimony in closing

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1964 BAZ (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: 1916 BCZ (Team I.D. #)

DATE: 2/9/19 T2, R2-D ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 (2) 3 4

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective	Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding
1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10

		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS Tayte / Nabil Nabil		10	9
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination Tayte	9	
First Witness: Billie Innes Ansh	Cross-Examination Ansh		8
	Witness Presentation	10	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination Ashley	8	
Second Witness: Peyton Allenson Nabil	Cross-Examination Nabil		8
	Witness Presentation	8	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination Ochee	10	
Third Witness: Dana McCarthy Maggie	Cross-Examination Maggie		10
	Witness Presentation	10	
Defense/Defendant Ansh	Direct Examination Ansh		8
First Witness: Kelsey Grammar	Cross-Examination Tayte	8	
Vishant	Witness Presentation		7
Defense/Defendant Maggie	Direct Examination Maggie		8
Second Witness: Sam Smith	Cross-Examination Ochee	8	
Tejas	Witness Presentation		7
Defense/Defendant Nabil	Direct Examination Nabil		6
Third Witness: Remington Mossberg	Cross-Examination Ashley	7	
Aashur	Witness Presentation		7
CLOSING ARGUMENTS Ashley / Maggie Maggie		8	8
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.		—	—
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		P or D	
		96	86

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1964 BAZ (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: 1916 BCZ (Team I.D. #)

DATE: 2/9/19 T2 R2-D ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 (2) 3 4

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective	Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding
1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10

		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS Tayte / Nabil Nabil		9	9
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination Tayte	9	
First Witness: Billie ~~Ansh~~	Cross-Examination Ansh		8
	Witness Presentation	10	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination Ashley	8	
Second Witness: Payton Nabil	Cross-Examination Nabil		7
	Witness Presentation	9	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination Oishee	9	
Third Witness: Dana Maggie	Cross-Examination Maggie		9
	Witness Presentation	10	
Defense/Defendant Ansh	Direct Examination Ansh		8
First Witness: Kelsey	Cross-Examination Tayte	7	
Vedant	Witness Presentation		10
Defense/Defendant Nabil ~~Maggie~~	Direct Examination Nabil		7
Second Witness: Kennington	Cross-Examination Ashley	7	
Aashir Tyas	Witness Presentation		9
Defense/Defendant Maggie Nabil	Direct Examination Maggie		7
Third Witness: Sam	Cross-Examination Oishee	8	
Tyas ~~Aashir~~	Witness Presentation		9
CLOSING ARGUMENTS Ashley / Maggie Maggie		8	10
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.			
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		(P) or D	
		94	93

Team #2, Round 3 (P): Lost to Brookfield Central #3 83-79, 107-99

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1916 BC2 (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: 1917 BC3 (Team I.D. #)

DATE: 2/9/2019 ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 3 4

T2, R3-P

PERFORMANCE DECISION: In my opinion, the better mock trial performance was shown by (circle one):

Prosecution/Plaintiff Defense/Respondent

COMMENTS:

Very nice presentation by both teams: Teams Property matched. Great use of exhibits. Good use of time. Both teams were paced nicely. Great knowledge of the rules of evidence, nice objections.

It's OK to use Jury instructions and to argue your position strongly - believe in your case!

Judge's Signature: [signature]

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1916 BC2 (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: 1917 BC3 (Team I.D. #)

DATE: 02/09/2019 T4R3-P ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 (3) 4

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective	Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding
1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10

		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS Ansh / Jaya		7	8
Prosecution/Plaintiff Ansh	Direct Examination	7	
First Witness: Greta	Cross-Examination		7
Vedant	Witness Presentation	6	
Prosecution/Plaintiff Nabil	Direct Examination	8	
Second Witness: BW	Cross-Examination		9
Aashvi	Witness Presentation	8	
Prosecution/Plaintiff Maggie	Direct Examination	7	
Third Witness: Manal	Cross-Examination		8
Tejas	Witness Presentation	8	
Defense/Defendant Cynthia	Direct Examination		7
First Witness: Maggie	Cross-Examination	7	
Manal	Witness Presentation		7
Defense/Defendant Jaya	Direct Examination		8
Second Witness: Nabil	Cross-Examination	7	
BW	Witness Presentation		9
Defense/Defendant Ashley	Direct Examination		7
Third Witness: Ansh	Cross-Examination	7	
Greta	Witness Presentation		6
CLOSING ARGUMENTS Nabil		7	7
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.			
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		P or (D)	
		79	83

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1916 BC2 (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: 1917 BC3 (Team I.D. #)

DATE: 2/9/19 T2, R3 - P ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 (3) 4

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective	Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding
1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10

		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS Ansh / Java		9	9
Prosecution/Plaintiff Ansh	Direct Examination	8	
First Witness: BILLY JONES Greta	Cross-Examination		9
Vedant	Witness Presentation	7	
Prosecution/Plaintiff Nabil	Direct Examination	6	
Second Witness: PEYTON BW	Cross-Examination		10
Aashir	Witness Presentation	10	
Prosecution/Plaintiff Maggie	Direct Examination	8	
Third Witness: DANA Manal	Cross-Examination		10
Tejas	Witness Presentation	8	
Defense/Defendant Cynthia	Direct Examination		10
First Witness: Maggie SAM SMITH	Cross-Examination	10	
Manal	Witness Presentation		10
Defense/Defendant Java	Direct Examination		10
Second Witness: REMINGTON M. Nabil	Cross-Examination	9	
BW	Witness Presentation		10
Defense/Defendant KELSEY Abbey	Direct Examination		10
Third Witness: Ansh	Cross-Examination	10	
Greta	Witness Presentation		10
CLOSING ARGUMENTS Nabil		9	9
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations. REBUTTAL		~~10~~	
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		P or (D)	

94 107

Please deliver ballot to runner before debriefing.

NOTE: SCORES FINAL PENDING VERIFICATION.

Team #2, Round 4 (P): Lost to Brookfield Central #1 91-82, 91-79

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1916 BC2 (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: 1915 BC1 (Team I.D. #)

DATE: 2-9-19 ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 3 (4)

T2, R4, P

PERFORMANCE DECISION: In my opinion, the better mock trial performance was shown by (circle one):

Prosecution/Plaintiff (Defense/Respondent)

COMMENTS:

If possible - don't read from notes
- note can be used ~~the~~ as checklist, but don't read verbatim.

Defense - opening Good - painted picture of defense theory.

Prosecution - Good job on cross examinations.

Defense - Good objections during direct exam (prosecution) witnesses.

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1916 BC2 (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: 1915 BC1 (Team I.D. #)

DATE: 2/9/19 T2, R4-P ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 3 (4)

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective	Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding
1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10

			PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS	Ansh	~~Keith~~ Andrew	8	10
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Ansh	Direct Examination	6	
First Witness:	Andrew	Cross-Examination		8
Jones	Vedant	Witness Presentation	7	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Nabil	Direct Examination	8	
Second Witness:	Cameron	Cross-Examination		9
Allanson	Aashir	Witness Presentation	7	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Maggie	Direct Examination	7	
Third Witness:	Liz	Cross-Examination		7
McCarthy	Tejas	Witness Presentation	7	
Defense/Defendant	Cameron	Direct Examination		8
First Witness:	Nabil	Cross-Examination	7	
Mossberg	Sanya	Witness Presentation		8
Defense/Defendant	Liz	Direct Examination		7
Second Witness:	Maggie	Cross-Examination	9	
Smith	Emily	Witness Presentation		9
Defense/Defendant	Andrew	Direct Examination		8
Third Witness:	Ansh	Cross-Examination	7	
Grammar	Adarsh	Witness Presentation		8
CLOSING ARGUMENTS	Nabil	~~Tejas~~ Cameron	7	9
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.			-7	-0
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)			P or (D)	
			79	91

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1916 BC2 (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: 1915 BC1 (Team I.D. #)

DATE: Feb. 9, 2019 T2, R4-P ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 3 (4)

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective	Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding
1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10

		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS Ansh/Andrew		8	9
Prosecution/Plaintiff Ansh	Direct Examination	7	
First Witness: Billi Andrew	Cross-Examination		8
Vedant	Witness Presentation	8	
Prosecution/Plaintiff Nabil	Direct Examination	7	
Second Witness: Cameron	Cross-Examination		8
Aashvi	Witness Presentation	8	
Prosecution/Plaintiff Maggie	Direct Examination	7	
Third Witness: Dana McCarthy	Cross-Examination		8
Tejas	Witness Presentation	8	
Defense/Defendant Cameron	Direct Examination		8
First Witness: Remington Nabil	Cross-Examination	7	
Savia	Witness Presentation		9
Defense/Defendant Lili	Direct Examination		7
Second Witness: Sam Maggie	Cross-Examination	8	
Emily	Witness Presentation		7
Defense/Defendant Andrew	Direct Examination		8
Third Witness: Ansh Reid Adarsh	Cross-Examination	7	
Adarsh	Witness Presentation		9
CLOSING ARGUMENTS Nabil/Cameron		8	10
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.		0	
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		P or D	
		82	91

Team #3 Summary

Fill out for each team and hand out with the score sheets.

Team #: 1917 Team Name: Brookfield Central

ROUND	OPPONENT	WIN | LOSS	BALLOTS	POINTS	SIDE	ROOM
1.	Waukesha South 2024	W | (L)	0	163	P | (D)	367
2.	Kettle Moraine 2005	(W) | L	3	173	(P) | D	219
3.	BCL 1914	(W) | L	2	190	P | (D)	208
4.	Kettle Moraine 2004	W | (L)	0	170	P | (D)	215

TOTALS Win | Loss Record: 2-2 Ballots: 5 Points: 702

Team #3, Round 1 (D): Lost to Waukesha South #2 96-76, 92-87

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 2024 (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: 1917 BC3 (Team I.D. #)

DATE: 2/7/19 WaukeshaSouth2 ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 3 4

T3, R1 - D

PERFORMANCE DECISION: In my opinion, the better mock trial performance was shown by (circle one):

(Prosecution/Plaintiff) Defense/Respondent

COMMENTS:

Prosecution/Plaintiff - Fantastic opening statement. The direct examinations were concise and flowed well. The cross-examinations distinguished the Plaintiffs team from the defense in that it was directly responsive to the direct examination.

Defense - Also did a remarkable job. Both the opening and closing statements were very compelling. This is a very close call, however, the Prosecution's cross examination skills put them on top.

Judge's Signature: [signature]

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 2024 Waukesh (Team I.D. #) Sath 2 Defense/Respondent: 1917 BC3 (Team I.D. #)

DATE: 2/9/19 T3 R1-D ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 3 4

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective	Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding
1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10

		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS Java		8	8
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	8	
First Witness: Billie Jones Abbey	Cross-Examination		7
	Witness Presentation	8	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	9	
Second Witness: Dana N Cynthia	Cross-Examination		7
	Witness Presentation	10	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	8	
Third Witness: Oliver A Java	Cross-Examination		8
	Witness Presentation	9	
Defense/Defendant Cynthia	Direct Examination		6
First Witness: Sun Smith	Cross-Examination	9	
Maval	Witness Presentation		7
Defense/Defendant Java	Direct Examination		8
Second Witness: R Mossberg	Cross-Examination	9	
BW	Witness Presentation		7
Defense/Defendant Abbey	Direct Examination		6
Third Witness: K. Grammar	Cross-Examination	9	
Greta	Witness Presentation		6
CLOSING ARGUMENTS		9	6
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.			
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		P or D	
		96	76

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 2024 Waukesha (Team I.D. #) Sath 2 Defense/Respondent: 1917 BC3 (Team I.D. #)

DATE: 2/9/19 T3 R1-D ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) (1) 2 3 4

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective	Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding
1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10

		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS Jana		8	8
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	7	
First Witness: Billy Abbey	Cross-Examination		7
	Witness Presentation	9	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	8	
Second Witness: ~~D~~ Cynthia Dana	Cross-Examination		9
	Witness Presentation	10	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	7	
Third Witness: Payton Jana Officer	Cross-Examination		7
	Witness Presentation	7	
Defense/Defendant Cynthia	Direct Examination		8
First Witness: Sam Smith	Cross-Examination	9	
marial	Witness Presentation		7
Defense/Defendant Jana	Direct Examination		8
Second Witness: Remington Mosburg	Cross-Examination	9	
BSW	Witness Presentation		8
Defense/Defendant Abbey	Direct Examination		8
Third Witness: Kelsey Grammar	Cross-Examination	9	
Greta	Witness Presentation		9
CLOSING ARGUMENTS		9	8
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.			
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		(P) or D	

Typing calculation: P-92, D-87 92 87

Team #3, Round 2 (P): Def. Kettle Moraine #2: 86-77, 87-75

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1917 8<3 (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: 2665 Kettle (Team I.D. #) Moraine2

DATE: Feb 9, 2019 ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 (2) 3 4

T3, R2-P

PERFORMANCE DECISION: In my opinion, the better mock trial performance was shown by (circle one):

(Prosecution/Plaintiff) Defense/Respondent

COMMENTS:

P - Good all around. Excellent preparation, limited use of notes. Good use of exhibits. Attorneys handled witnesses very well. Closing was great - used D arguments and spun them.

D - Good job. Good attempts at objections, just be more assertive w/them. ~~Preparation~~ Witness preparation was very good.

Judge's Signature: [signature]

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1917 BC3 (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: 2005 kettle (Team I.D. #) Morain22

DATE: February 9, 2019 T3, R2-P ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 (2) 3 4

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective	Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding
1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10

		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS Jana		6	7
Prosecution/Plaintiff Abby	Direct Examination	7	
First Witness: Billie Jones A Greta	Cross-Examination		8
	Witness Presentation	8	
Prosecution/Plaintiff Jana	Direct Examination	8	
Second Witness: Payton Allanson BSW	Cross-Examination		6
	Witness Presentation	7	
Prosecution/Plaintiff Cynthia	Direct Examination	8	
Third Witness: Dana McCarthy moral	Cross-Examination		6
	Witness Presentation	8	
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		7
First Witness: Remington Jana	Cross-Examination	7	
	Witness Presentation		8
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		6
Second Witness: Sam Cynthia	Cross-Examination	9	
	Witness Presentation		7
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		8
Third Witness: Kelsey Abby	Cross-Examination	8	
	Witness Presentation		8
CLOSING ARGUMENTS		9	8
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.		0	-2
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		P or D	
		86	77

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1917 BC3 (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: 2005 Kettle (Team I.D. #) Moraine 2

DATE: 2/9/2019 T3, R2-P ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 (2) 3 4

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective	Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding
1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10

		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS Java		8	6
Prosecution/Plaintiff Abbey	Direct Examination	8	
First Witness: Billie Jones	Cross-Examination		7
Greta	Witness Presentation	9	
Prosecution/Plaintiff Java	Direct Examination	7	
Second Witness: Peyton Allanson	Cross-Examination		6
BSW	Witness Presentation	8	
Prosecution/Plaintiff Cynthia	Direct Examination	7	
Third Witness: Dana McCarthy	Cross-Examination		7
Maval	Witness Presentation	8	
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		7
First Witness: Remington Java	Cross-Examination	8	
	Witness Presentation		8
Defense/Defendant	Direct Examination		6
Second Witness: Sam Cynthia	Cross-Examination	8	
	Witness Presentation		7
Defense/Defendant Kelsey Graham	Direct Examination		7
Third Witness: Abbey	Cross-Examination	7	
	Witness Presentation		8
CLOSING ARGUMENTS		9	8
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.		1	-2
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		(P) or D	
		87	75

Team #3, Round 3 (D): Def. Brookfield Central #2 83-79, 107-99

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1916 BC2 (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: 1917 BC3 (Team I.D. #)

DATE: 2/9/2019 ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 (3) 4

T3, R3-D

PERFORMANCE DECISION: In my opinion, the better mock trial performance was shown by (circle one):

(Prosecution/Plaintiff) Defense/Respondent

COMMENTS:

Very nice presentation by both teams. Teams properly matched. Great use of exhibits. Good use of time. Both teams were paced nicely. Great knowledge of the rules of evidence, nice objections.

It's OK to use jury instructions and to argue your position strongly - believe in your case!

Judge's Signature: [signature]

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1916 BC2 (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: 1917 BC3 (Team I.D. #)

DATE: 02/09/2019 T3, R3-D ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 (3) 4

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective	Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding
1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10

			PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS	Ansh / Jawa		7	8
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Ansh	Direct Examination	7	
First Witness:	Abbey	Cross-Examination		7
	Vedant	Witness Presentation	6	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Nabil	Direct Examination	8	
Second Witness:	Jawa	Cross-Examination		9
	Aashir	Witness Presentation	8	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Maggie	Direct Examination	7	
Third Witness:	Cynthia	Cross-Examination		8
	Tejas	Witness Presentation	8	
Defense/Defendant	Cynthia	Direct Examination		7
First Witness:	Maggie	Cross-Examination	7	
	Manal	Witness Presentation		7
Defense/Defendant	Jawa	Direct Examination		8
Second Witness:	Nabil	Cross-Examination	7	
	BW	Witness Presentation		9
Defense/Defendant	Abbey	Direct Examination		7
Third Witness:	Ansh	Cross-Examination	7	
	Greta	Witness Presentation		6
CLOSING ARGUMENTS	Nabil		7	7
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.				
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)			P or (D)	

79 83

Please deliver ballot to runner before debriefing

NOTE: SCORES FINAL PENDING VERIFICATION

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 1916 BC2 (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: 1917 BC3 (Team I.D. #)

DATE: 2/9/19 T3, R3 - D ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 (3) 4

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective	Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding
1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10

		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS Ansh/Jawa		9	9
Prosecution/Plaintiff Ansh	Direct Examination	8	
First Witness: BILLY JON Abbey	Cross-Examination		9
VEdant	Witness Presentation	7	
Prosecution/Plaintiff Nabil	Direct Examination	6	
Second Witness: PEYTON Jawa	Cross-Examination		10
Aashir	Witness Presentation	10	
Prosecution/Plaintiff Maggie	Direct Examination	8	
Third Witness: DANA Cynthia	Cross-Examination		10
Tejas	Witness Presentation	8	
Defense/Defendant Cynthia	Direct Examination		10
First Witness: Maggie SMITH	Cross-Examination	10	
Manal	Witness Presentation		10
Defense/Defendant Jawa	Direct Examination		10
Second Witness: REAGAN H. Nabil	Cross-Examination	9	
BW	Witness Presentation		10
Defense/Defendant KELSEY Abbey	Direct Examination		10
Third Witness: Ansh	Cross-Examination	10	
Greta	Witness Presentation		10
CLOSING ARGUMENTS Nabil		9	9
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations. RECEIVED			
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		P or (D)	
		99	107

Team #3, Round 4 (D): Lost to Kettle Moraine #1 99-82, 99-94

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 2004 Kettle (Team I.D. #) Moraine #1 Defense/Respondent: 1917 BC3 (Team I.D. #)

DATE: 2/9/19 T3, R4, D ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 3 (4)

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective	Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding
1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10

		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS Java		8	7
Prosecution/Plaintiff ~~Java~~	Direct Examination	7	
First Witness: P Allison Java	Cross-Examination		7
	Witness Presentation	8	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	9	
Second Witness: B. Jones Abby	Cross-Examination		9
	Witness Presentation	9	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	7	
Third Witness: Dana McC Cynthia	Cross-Examination		7
	Witness Presentation	8	
Defense/Defendant Cynthia	Direct Examination		7
First Witness: Sam Smith	Cross-Examination	8	
Manal	Witness Presentation		8
Defense/Defendant Java	Direct Examination		7
Second Witness: R Massberg	Cross-Examination	9	
BW	Witness Presentation		7
Defense/Defendant Abby	Direct Examination		8
Third Witness: K Grammer	Cross-Examination	8	
Greta	Witness Presentation		7
CLOSING ARGUMENTS		8	8
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.		—	—
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		P or D	

99 82

Please deliver ballot to runner before debriefing NOTE: SCORES FINAL PENDING VERIFICATION

Prosecution/Plaintiff: 2004 Keith2 (Team I.D. #) Defense/Respondent: BC3 1917 BC3 (Team I.D. #)

DATE: 2/9/19 Morning #1 T3, R4, D ROUND: (CIRCLE ONE) 1 2 3 (4)

Using a scale of 1 to 10, rate the P and D in the categories below.
Do NOT use fractional points nor award zero points.

Not Effective	Fair	Good	Excellent	Outstanding
1-2	3-4	5-6	7-8	9-10

		PROSECUTION	DEFENSE
OPENING STATEMENTS Jawa		9	8
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	9	
First Witness: Peyton Allens Jawa	Cross-Examination		8
	Witness Presentation	9	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	9	
Second Witness: Billie Jo Abbey	Cross-Examination		8
	Witness Presentation	9	
Prosecution/Plaintiff	Direct Examination	9	
Third Witness: Dana McCar Cynthia	Cross-Examination		9
	Witness Presentation	10	
Defense/Defendant Cynthia	Direct Examination		9
First Witness: Sam Smith	Cross-Examination	9	
maval	Witness Presentation		9
Defense/Defendant Jawa	Direct Examination		8
Second Witness: Remington Mosberg	Cross-Examination	9	
BW	Witness Presentation		9
Defense/Defendant Abbey	Direct Examination		9
Third Witness: KELSEY GRAMMAR	Cross-Examination	9	
Greta	Witness Presentation		9
CLOSING ARGUMENTS		8	8
DEDUCTIONS: Subtract Points for rules violations.		—	—
TIEBREAKER: (circle one)		P or D	

99 94

Round by Round Results

Place	Team	Round 1	Round 2	Round 3	Round 4	W-L	Ballots	Points
1	BA #1	KM #2 (W 3-0, 201-162)	Ocon #1 (W 3-0, 205-175)	Arrow (W 3-0, 206-172)	BA #2 (W 3-0, 205-190)	4-0	12	809
2	Waukesha South #2	BC #3 (W 3-0, 188-163)	KM #1 (L 1-2, 180-198)	WS #1 (W 3-0, 178-165)	Arrow (W 3-0, 196-190)	3-1	10	742
3	BC #1	WS #1 (W 3-0, 188-152)	Arrow (L 1-2, 190-193)	Ocon #2 (W 3-0 177-166)	BC #2 (W 3-0, 182-161)	3-1	10	731
4	Kettle Moraine #1	Ocon #2 (W 3-0, 167-145)	WS #2 (W 2-1, 198-180)	BA #2 (L 1-2, 164-173)	BC #3 (W 3-0, 188-176)	3-1	9	717
5	BA #2	Ocon #1 (W 2-1, 167-145)	BC #2 (W 3-0, 190-179)	KM #1 (W 2-1, 173-164)	BA #1 (L 0-3, 190-205)	3-1	7	719
6	Wauwatosa West	BC #2 (L 0-3, 167-195)	WS #1 (? 162-150)	Ocon #1 (W 2-1, 187-175)	Ocon #2 (W 3-0, 162-142)	2-2	6	678
7	BC #3	WS #2 (L 0-3, 163-188)	KM #2 (W 3-0, 173-152)	BC #2 (W 2-1, 190-173)	KM #1 (L 0-3, 176-188)	2-2	5	702
8	Waukesha South #1	BC #1 (L 0-3, 152-188)	TW (? 150-162)	WS #2 (L 0-3, 165-178)	KM #2 (W 3-0, 194-168)	2-2	5	661
9	Arrowhead	Bye	BC #1 (W 2-1, 193-190)	BA #1 (L 0-3, 172-206)	WS #2 (L 0-3, 190-196)	1-2	2	740
10	BC #2	Tosa West (W 3-0, 195-167)	BA #2 (L 0-3, 179-190)	BC #3 (L 1-2, 173-190)	BC #1 (L 0-3, 161-182)	1-3	4	708
11	Oconomowoc #1	BA #2 (L 1-2, 145-167)	BA #1 (L 0-3, 175-205)	TW (L 1-2, 175-187)	Bye	0-3	2	679
12	Kettle Moraine #2	BA #1 (L 0-3, 162-201)	BC #3 (L 0-3, 152-173)	Bye	WS #1 (L 0-3, 168-194)	0-3	0	643
13	Oconomowoc #2	KM #1 (L 0-3, 145-167)	Bye	BC #1 (L 0-3, 166-177)	TW (L 0-3, 142-162)	0-3	0	604

Mock Trial Scoring Summary

Waukesha Regional - 2/9/2019

RANK		ROUND 1	ROUND 2	ROUND 3	ROUND 4	WIN-LOSS	BALLOTS	POINTS
1	Team 1963	W / 3 / 201	W / 3 / 205	W / 3 / 206	W / 3 / 197	4 - 0	12	809.00
2	Team 2024	W / 3 / 188	L / 1 / 180	W / 3 / 178	W / 3 / 196	3 - 1	10	742.00
3	Team 1915	W / 3 / 182	L / 1 / 190	W / 3 / 177	W / 3 / 182	3 - 1	10	731.00
4	Team 2004	W / 3 / 167	W / 2 / 198	L / 1 / 164	W / 3 / 188	3 - 1	9	717.00
5	Team 1964	W / 2 / 167	W / 3 / 190	W / 2 / 173	L / 0 / 189	3 - 1	7	719.00
6	Team 1943	L / 0 / 167	L / 1 / 162	W / 2 / 187	W / 3 / 162	2 - 2	6	678.00
7	Team 1917	L / 0 / 163	W / 3 / 173	W / 2 / 190	L / 0 / 176	2 - 2	5	702.00
8	Team 2023	L / 0 / 152	W / 2 / 150	L / 0 / 165	W / 3 / 194	2 - 2	5	661.00
9	Team 1945	Bye / 0 / 185	W / 2 / 193	L / 0 / 172	L / 0 / 190	2 - 2	2	740.00
10	Team 1916	W / 3 / 195	L / 0 / 179	L / 1 / 173	L / 0 / 161	1 - 3	4	708.00
11	Team 2020	L / 1 / 159	L / 0 / 175	L / 1 / 175	Bye / 0 / 170	1 - 3	2	679.00
12	Team 2005	L / 0 / 162	L / 0 / 152	Bye / 0 / 161	L / 0 / 168	1 - 3	0	643.00
13	Team 2021	L / 0 / 145	Bye / 0 / 151	L / 0 / 166	L / 0 / 142	1 - 3	0	604.00

Points awarded to a Bye round are subject to change. Bye round scoring is automatically adjusted throughout the competition.

1915: BC 1
1916: BC 2
1917: BC 3
1943: Tosa West
1945: Arrowhead
1963: BA 1
1964: BA 2
2004: Kettle Moraine 1
2005: Kettle Moraine 2
2020: Oconomowoc 1
2021: Oconomowoc 2
2023: Waukesha South 1
2024: Waukesha South 2