New Vision University

Research and Innovation Committee

Research Grant

Application Form and Instructions

19.03.2026

Table of Contents

Cover Page
1. Proposal Full Name and Abbreviation
2. Name of the Principal Investigator
3. Proposal Duration in months
4. Total Budget
5. Proposal Summary

1. Outline

1.1 Introduction to novelty and actuality of the research

1.2 Research project description

1.3 Compliance of research methodology with research aims and objectives

1.4 The infrastructure and resources required for the implementation of the research project

1.5 Development of perspectives for local and international collaborations

1.6 Societal relevance and alignment with NVU research priorities

References

2. Project Efficiency

2.1 What kind of product is created

2.2 Dissemination of the research outcomes; development of interdisciplinarity

2.3 Intellectual and material efficiency of the product

2.4 Career development of research team in the project, intellectual property creation and knowledge transfer

3. Research Personnel
3.1 Research project personnel and their qualifications

3.2 Distribution of the key personnel's responsibilities according to their competencies for the implementation of research goals

4. Budget Justification
4.1 Budget Description

4.2 Information about other donors

5. Project Timeframe, Monitoring and Evaluation

Call for Research Grants

Project proposal

Proposal Full title

Cover page:

- Name of the Principal Investigator

- Proposal Duration in months

- Total Budget

- NVU Research or SDG Priority Area

- Participation of doctoral student/student – YES/NO

Proposal summary

The abstract (summary) should, at a glance, provide the reader with a clear understanding of the objectives of the research proposal and how they will be achieved. The abstract will be used as the short description of your research proposal in the evaluation process and in communications to contact in particular the potential remote referees and/or inform the Commission and/or the programme management committees and/or relevant national funding. It must therefore be short and precise and should not contain confidential information. Please indicate the project's alignment with NVU research priorities, its contribution to doctoral/student development, and its expected outputs. Please use plain typed text, avoiding formulae and other special characters. There is a limit of 250 words.

Please note that the maximum number of project proposal pages must not exceed 10.
Font - Times New Roman, font size - 12, paper size - letter.

1. Outline

1.1 Introduction to novelty and actuality of the research

(Problem formulation. Describe the actuality, novelty and significance of the general problem/ Recommended word count - 400).

Evaluation Criteria (for reviewers only): max 5 points

1.2 Research project description

(Describe the explicit problem that your research project responds to / *Recommended* word count - 600).

Evaluation Criteria (for reviewers only): max 5 points

1.3 Compliance of research methodology with research aims and objectives

(Provide research aims and objectives of the proposed project. Describe research methods, which will be used in the research and justify the compliance of the research methodology with the aims and objectives of the research / Recommended word count – 400).

Evaluation Criteria (for reviewers only): max 5 points

1.4 The infrastructure and resources required for the implementation of the research project

(Describe the research infrastructure which will be used and/or developed during the project implementation / Recommended word count – 200).

Evaluation Criteria (for reviewers only): max 5 points

1.5 Development of perspectives for local and international collaborations

(Describe the development of perspectives for local and global collaborations during the project implementation / Recommended word count 400).

Evaluation Criteria (for reviewers only): max 5 points

1.6 Societal Relevance and Alignment with NVU Research Priorities

(Describe how the proposed project aligns with one or more NVU research priorities, its societal relevance, and its broader contribution to public knowledge, policy, practice, or innovation. If relevant, applicants may also indicate collaboration potential, interdisciplinary value, and alignment with the SDGs. Recommended word count 400).

Evaluation Criteria (for reviewers only): max 5 points

The maximum number of points for the Research Project section is 30

References

(1.1, 1.1.1 and 1.2 subsections must be justified by an overview of literature and citations must be provided in numeric order and with conserved style. For articles, it should follow Authors first/last names. Title of the article. Full journal name, volume: pages (publication year). For books: Authors' first/last names. Title of the book. Publisher name and publication place (publication year).

2. Project Efficiency

2.1 What kind of product is created

(Describe the intellectual or material product that is created within the research project/max. word count 300)

Evaluation Criteria (for reviewers only): max 5 points

2.2 Dissemination of the research outcomes; development of interdisciplinarity

(Provide a plan for disseminating research outcomes; dissemination among the target audience as well as communication with the broad society; describe the vision and approach of interdisciplinary development of the proposed research / Recommended word count - 200).

Evaluation Criteria (for reviewers only): max 5 points

2.3 Intellectual and material efficiency of the product, intellectual property creation and knowledge transfer

(Describe the project efficiency in the long-term perspective for the fundamental and/or technical progress; Recommended word count- 400).

Evaluation Criteria (for reviewers only): max 5 points

2.4 Doctoral/Student Support and Research Capacity Development

(Describe how the project will support doctoral/student involvement, mentoring, and research capacity development, including its contribution to the career development of the research team. Recommended word count - 400).

Evaluation Criteria (for reviewers only): max 5 points

The maximum number of points for the Project Efficiency section is 20

3. Research Personnel

3.1 Research project personnel and their qualifications

(Indicate name, surname, academic degree, position in the project, experience and scientific productivity for each member of the key personnel, including foreign advisor (if applicable) / Recommended word count for each member of the key personnel -150).

Evaluation Criteria (for reviewers only): max 5 points

3.2 Distribution of the key personnel's responsibilities according to their competencies for the implementation of research goals

(Provide information about the distribution of the key personnel's responsibilities, justify each member's competence for successful implementation of obligations as imposed on them. Indicate doctoral/student supervision responsibility, where relevant. Recommended word count for each member - 200).

Evaluation Criteria (for reviewers only): max 5 points

The maximum number of points for the Research Personnel section is 10

4. Budget *Justification*

4.1 Budget Description

(Describe the appropriateness of each budget composition and compliance with the objectives of the research project. The recommended budget is supposed to be no more than 50 000 USD (fifty thousand US Dollars), for projects envisaging creation of new laboratory or other research infrastructure - 300 000 USD (three hundred thousand US Dollars). Please consider that max. 30% should be allocated to salaries, whereas the full-time staff of NVU is not eligible for additional remuneration).

Evaluation Criteria (for reviewers only): max 5 points

4.2. Information about other donors

(Please describe information about the other donor/partner organization and indicate what part of the project materials will be funded by them, if there are any).

Evaluation Criteria (for reviewers only): max 5 points

Maximum number of points for the Budget Justification section is 10

The maximum number of total points for all sections is 70

5. Project Timeframe, Monitoring and Evaluation

2026	*Mar-Jun*	*Jul-Sept*	*Oct-Dec*	*Jan-Mar*	***2027***
Task 1					
Task 2					
Task 3					
Task 4					

Please suggest how to run your project monitoring and what criteria to use to evaluate its performance.

Monitoring	*Indicators*

** Please remove the first two pages before sending the document.*

*** Please note that in the case of biomedical research, the quarterly assessment should reflect the connection of scientific research products to the industry.*