TITLE IN PORTUGUESE

TITLE IN ENGLISH

TITLE IN SPANISH

The title must be written in Portuguese, English, and Spanish, contain up to 18 words (excluding connectors), be concise, and clearly express its content. It must not include commercial names, abbreviations, time periods, or specific institution names.

AUTHOR 1: Last Name, First Name, e.g., Gonzalez, Maria
Institution/Department/Country: Ciencia por la Verdad S.A.S, General Management, Ecuador.
Email: contacto@cienciaporlaverdad.com
ORCID Author 1: https://orcid.org/0009-0008-5240-4361

AUTHOR 2: Last Name, First Name, e.g., Perez, Ana
Institution/Department/Country: Universidad Central, Faculty of Law, Venezuela.
Email: aperez@ucv.ve
ORCID Author 2: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1234-5678

Repeat according to the number of authors.

Corresponding author's email: Must belong to one of the document's authors, e.g., contacto@cienciaporlaverdad.com

Author details are provided for the editorial board's knowledge only. This information will be omitted during the peer-review process.

ABSTRACT

The abstract should be a structured summary of up to 250 words, allowing the reader to understand the main points of the review. Include the following elements:

- **Objectives:** Clearly describe the purpose of the review.

- **Methodology:** Briefly explain the search strategies, databases consulted, and study selection criteria.

- **Analysis:** Summarize key findings, identifying patterns, trends, and gaps in the literature.

- **Conclusions:** Mention the implications of the findings and possible directions for future research.

Avoid the use of acronyms, abbreviations, or bibliographic citations in this section.

Keywords: Select 4 to 6 relevant terms that facilitate indexing and searching for the article in scientific databases. Using the THESAURUS – UNESCO as a reference is recommended.

INTRODUCTION

The introduction should precisely address the central problem of the study along with the objectives or hypotheses to be tested. Background information must be based on recent and relevant bibliographic references from peer-reviewed publications. It is essential to clearly establish the purpose, foundation of the work, expected results, and adopted perspective.

- **Topic relevance:** Explain why the topic is important for the field of study and justify the need for a review.

- **Background:** Provide an overview of the current state of knowledge, identifying specific problems or gaps.

- **Objectives:** Clearly formulate the objectives of the review and specify the questions it intends to answer.

The introduction should be clear, direct, and based on recent and relevant references, without including original experimental data. It must maintain a logical order from general to specific and briefly explain the study's variables or categories. It should not resemble a thesis introduction but rather be clear, concise, and well-structured.

METHODOLOGY

Describe in detail the methodological approach followed to conduct the review.

Include:

- **Information sources:** List the databases consulted (e.g., PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science).

- **Search criteria:** Specify the keywords, Boolean operators, and filters applied.

- **Inclusion and exclusion criteria:** Define which studies were deemed relevant and which were excluded, justifying these decisions.

- **Selection strategy:** Detail the article selection process, including the stages of search, title and abstract evaluation, and full-text review.

If any software was used for reference management or data analysis, mention it.

ANALYSIS

The analysis should be presented in an organized manner, using subheadings where necessary to improve comprehension. These should be clear, objective, and impartial, aligned with the methodology presented without repeating data in tables or figures.

- **Organization by themes:** Present findings grouped by key categories or themes identified in the reviewed studies.

- **Summary of findings:** Include tables or figures to synthesize relevant information, such as study characteristics, methodologies used, and main results.

- **Pattern identification:** Highlight common trends, significant discrepancies, and gaps in existing literature.

The analysis must align with the stated objectives and be presented clearly and logically.

DISCUSSION

- **Study comparison:** Critically analyze the similarities and differences between the reviewed studies, considering factors like methodological design, population studied, and results obtained.

- **Strengths and limitations:** Evaluate the quality and consistency of existing literature, identifying possible biases or areas requiring further research.

- **Implications:** Discuss how the findings contribute to the field of study and their implications for professional practice or future research.

The discussion should be argumentative and provide an integrative perspective on the results.

CONCLUSIONS

Conclusions should be categorical, brief, and precise, highlighting concrete contributions to knowledge supported by demonstrable and verifiable results from the work itself.

- **Findings synthesis:** Summarize the most important contributions of the review.

- **Relevance:** Explain how the results advance knowledge in the studied area.

- **Recommendations:** Propose future research lines based on the identified gaps.

Conclusions must be brief, precise, and based on the reported findings.

REFERENCES

The manuscript must include a minimum of 30 references with scientific value, following the APA style in its latest edition. Pages without authors, blogs, or other non-academic sources must not be included. All citations mentioned in the text must be correctly referenced in this section.

GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS

- **Length:** The manuscript must contain up to 10,000 words, including title, abstract, keywords, tables, figures, article body, and bibliographic references.

- **Tables and Figures:** They may be included as long as they are relevant and properly connected to the text. They must be sequentially numbered and presented in high quality.

- **Structure:** The article structure must clearly follow the sections indicated in this document.

- **Submission and Format:** Articles must be submitted through the journal's official portal, complying with the specified graphic and technical standards.

REVIEW PROCESS

All submitted works will undergo anonymous peer review, ensuring an objective and rigorous process. This process lasts between 4 and 8 weeks. The author will receive feedback on their work and be notified of the manuscript's status: accepted, requiring modifications, or rejected.

LICENSES AND RIGHTS