



The Case: The Missing Heirloom

Background: A centuries-old heirloom bracelet has gone missing from the National Museum of Dubai's exhibit. This heirloom is not only valued for its monetary worth but also for its historical significance, hence the necklace's disappearance has raised suspicions. Jamila, a museum staff member that was present in the museum during the time of the robbery, is accused of the theft of the heirloom. Jamila is from a lower class family and has been working in the museum for 7 years now.

Roles:

- Prosecution: Represents the museum, aiming to prove that Jamila is responsible for the theft.
- Defense: Represents Jamila, arguing her innocence and presenting an alternative theory.
- Witnesses: Museum security personnel, a renowned historian as an expert witness, and Jamila
- Members of the jury

Key Arguments:

The central issue revolves around whether Jamila had the means and motive to steal the heirloom

- Prosecution emphasizes Jamila's access to the exhibit and her unusual behavior after the theft.
- Defense emphasizes Jamila's dedication to the museum and a lack of motive.

Evidence:

- Surveillance footage showing Jamila near the exhibit.
- Testimony from security personnel about Jamila's presence on the day of the theft.
- Jamila's alibi witnesses placing her in a different part of the museum.
- Expert testimony discussing the necklace's historical importance and its potential motives for theft.

The role of the Jury:

- The jury will deliberate to decide whether Jamila is guilty of stealing the missing heirloom.
- Discuss: How should the jury balance circumstantial evidence, like Jamila's presence near the exhibit, with other factors when determining guilt in a trial?"